

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

HEADQUARTERS, U.S. ARMY GARRISON FORT MONMOUTH



FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY 07703-5101

August 26, 2009

From:

Howard M. Syvarth, TVS Hydrogeologist

To:

UST file

Subject:

UST Closure Reports for Building 707/Tank Registration #: 81533-226

Enclosed are the file review sheets for the closure of the Underground Storage Tank(s) (USTs) located at the above referenced location. The UST was removed on May 13, 1994, and assigned a DICAR number of 94-05-13-0932-29.

The monitoring wells associated with this site are part of the IRP site program. The wells will also be used as part of the Area 700 regional groundwater study.

All supporting documentation for the closure of this site can be found in the UST folder located in the library archive in Bldg. 173, Directorate of Public Works, US Army Garrison Fort Monmouth, New Jersey.

Any questions regarding the information found in this or any other UST folder should be directed to:

Howard M. Syvarth
TECOM-Vinnell Services (TVS)
Staff Hydrogeologist, UST program
Directorate of Public Works, Ft. Monmouth, NJ
Email: Howard.M.Syvarth@us.army.mil

Sincerely,

Howard M. Syvarth

TVS Staff Hydrogeologist

Mr. Charles Appleby Subsurface Evaluator NJDEP #9974 Environmental Protection Specialist Directorate of Public Works

Site Name: 707 -	NEA ISSUED. 9/20/2000
	NFA ISSUED: 8/29/2000 File Review Date:/0/8/08/
Is the UST Residential: NO	By: Holdard Syvarth
There is / are UST(s) located at this site.	
This NJDEP UST Registration # is: 81533 - 226 to	0
This UST was 1000 Gallons in size, was made of STEEL ar	nd contained #2 FUEL OIL.
The UST was installed in and removed by CUTE IN	NC. \$349.14on
5/13/1994 A Standard Reporting Form was se	•
NJDEP Closure # NONE	
Subsurface Evaluator: C. Appleby	NJDEP #
A Site Investigation Report was completed by SMC	
6/1/2000	
The Current Status is: Removed; Report Submitted/Not Nec.	
The Revised Status as of completed	d by
The Current UST Database Comments are: Highest TPHC	was 13900 mg/kg. Site remediated to highest
TPHC at sidewall, 6 inches above groundwater of 11.1 mg/kg.	2400 gallons of groundwater vacuumed out of
excavation. Needs RI. Groundwater samples were all clean.	Closure Report submitted to NJD
The Revised Comments as of 10/8/08 comp	pleted by Doward Syrank is:
now part of IRP	
Database updated on by	
Remedial Phases: [] PA [] SI [] RI	
Project transferred to the Fo	ort Monmouth Restoration Program
Project # FTMM-	
TIOJOUTH E AMELIA	

Has the property been or is the property currently the subject of any remediation with NJDEP oversight?	∑JYes	[]No
If Yes, provide the following:		
Case Number 94-5-13-0932-29		
Case Lead (US Army) Apple by		
Case Manager (NJDEP)	-	
Case Status Data base " Case Closed , As of this date: 8/29/05		
Was a Preliminary Assessment (PA) performed in accordance with N.J.A.C. 7: 26E-3.1?	[]Yes	[X]No
Were any Areas of Concern (AOCs) identified? If yes proceed to question 4. If no, proceed to Check List (page 8).	[\sqrt{Yes}	[]No
Were any potentially contaminated AOCs identified?	[\]Yes	[]No
List and describe all potentially contaminated AOCs.	\	
UST Area [] Underground Piping Area [] Dispenser Area [] UST	Fill Area	
List and describe all AOCs subject to the 7:26E Regulations. UST Area [X] Underground Piping Area [X] Dispenser Area [] UST	Fill Area [🔀	
Was a discharge of a hazardous substance, contaminant or pollutant identified? If so, was the discharge to: (check all that apply) Soil [] Ground Water [] Surface Water [] Ecologically Sensi Other [] (specify)		[]1

How was the discharge identified? (check all that apply)				
Sample Analysis [] Olfactory Visual K Record/ Loss of Product [] Field Analysis []				
Other [] (specify)		····		
What was the source of the discharge?				
UST Area [] Underground Piping Area [] Dispenser Area [] UST I	Fill Area []			
Were any of the following conditions present? (Check all that apply.)				
Soil Staining [X] Distressed or Dead Vegetation [] Product Entering Storm Sewer []				
		r[]		
Other [] (specify)				
Were samples collected in accordance with the provisions of N.J.A.C. 7:26E and the Department's applicable Field Sampling Procedures Manual?	[\]Yes	[]No		
TPHC [X] VOA+10 [] BN+15 [] lead [] Other:	PP+40 []			
Were soil samples collected at the appropriate depth as per N.J.A.C. 7:26E	[为]Yes	[]No		
Were samples biased toward the most contaminated areas using field Instruments and/or visual and olfactory observations?	[X]Yes	[]No		
How was this accomplished?				
If only TPHC samples taken, were samples >1000mg/kg run for VOA+10?	[]Yes	≯]No		
Was the vertical and horizontal extent of soil contamination delineated prior to r	emediation?	`		
	[]Yes	X]No		
Explain:				
	Sample Analysis [] Olfactory Visual Record/ Loss of Product [Other [] (specify) What was the source of the discharge? UST Area [Underground Piping Area [] Dispenser Area [] UST I Were any of the following conditions present? (Check all that apply.) Soil Staining Distressed or Dead Vegetation [] Product Entering St Product Entering Basement [] Off-site Migration [] Product Observed of Other [] (specify)	Sample Analysis [] Olfactory Visual Record/ Loss of Product [] Field Analysis Other [] (specify) What was the source of the discharge? UST Area Underground Piping Area [] Dispenser Area [] UST Fill Area [] Were any of the following conditions present? (Check all that apply.) Soil Staining Distressed or Dead Vegetation [] Product Entering Storm Sewer [] Product Entering Basement [] Off-site Migration [] Product Observed on Surface Wate Other [] (specify) Were samples collected in accordance with the provisions of N.J.A.C. 7:26E and the Department's applicable Field Sampling Procedures Manual? Application Applic		

Fully describe the method of remediation? Source Removal and Disposal [] Free Product removal by Vacuum T	ruok []	
Other:		
If excavation was performed, what was the depth of the bottom of the exca	7	feet.
What is the approximate depth to saturated zone (seasonally high water tab How was this determined? Observed Measurement [] Monitoring Well Other:		feet.
Is the Site Tidally Impacted?	[]Yes	[]No
What is the percentage of silt/clay in the soil between the contaminant and	the saturated zone?	
How was this determined?	[X] Not A	vailable
Was ground water present in the excavation?	[]Yes	ιχ _{ing}
If yes, was there a sheen observed on ground water?	[]Yes	
Are there any Public Supply Wells within 2,000 feet of confirmed soil contamination? How was this determined? Well Search: []	[]Yes	No
Was contaminated soil removed from the site?	Yes	[]No
How much soil was removed?	cle one) LD15posA1	
Date taken:		
Were the analytical results for all soil post excavation/remediation samples below the Department's Lune 2008 residential soil cleanup criteria?	S [Yes	[]No

	If No, describe in detail in Comments Page 6			
22.	Was this an investigation pertaining to a non-regulated heating of Fort Monmouth Database: NO If yes, complete the following, If no go to 23	1 tank?	[]Yes	[X]No
	Do on-site structures have a basement/crawl space?		[]Yes	[]No
	Was staining observed on the basement/crawl space walls or floor	or? []Yes	[]No	[]NA
	Were petroleum odors observed in the basement/crawl space?	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
	Is a sump present in the basement/crawl space? If yes, please indicate location on site map.	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
	Was water observed in the sump?	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
	Was a sheen observed on the water in sump?	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
	If there was no water in the sump was the base of the sump invefor a petroleum discharge?	estigated []Yes	[]No	[]NA
	If the sump was investigated, was a discharge observed?	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
23.	Is a regulated underground storage tank the subject of the remedi	iation?	[\right]Yes	[]No
	If yes, complete the following, If no, go to 24			
	Was a closure approval or 14 day notification obtained prior to the closure of the tank? List Closure Approval/Notification Numbers NJDEP Closure #		Yes	[·]No
	Was the closure or any remediation performed by an individual and firm certified in closure and subsurface evaluation?]XYes	[]No
	Subsurface Evaluator: C. Appleby			
	Individual certification number:	=		
	Firm certification number:		_\	
	Was an Underground Storage Tank Facility Certification Question completed and submitted "delisting" the subject tanks?	onnaire	Yes	[]No
24.	Was a Baseline Ecological Evaluation conducted pursuant to N.J.	A.C 7:26E?	[]Yes	No
	If No, was the BEE a regulatory requirement at the time? (UST removed prior to 1997 or the UST is Residential?)		[]Yes	INA
	If Yes, is there a contaminant of concern present?		[X]Yes	[]No

	Questionnaire		
	Are there environmentally sensitive natural resources within or surrounding the property?	Yes	[]No
	Are there potential contaminant migration pathways present?]Yes	[]No
	Were potential ecological impacts identified?	[X]Yes	[]No
	Is a BEE planned to be completed for this site?	[]Yes	[]No
25.	Was the site restored in accordance to N. J.A.C. 7:26E-6.4(b)?	Yes	[]No
26.	Was the remedial investigation/action report prepared in accordance with N.J.A.C. 7:26E?]Yes	[]No
27.	Remediation completed date:		
28.	Are there currently, or have there ever been, any Deed Notices or Declarations of Environmental Restriction pursuant to N.J.S.A. 58:10B-1 et seq. and N.J.A.C. 7:26E-1 et seq. for the Site?	[]Yes	INo
	If yes, Attach a copy of the Deed Notice or Declaration of Environmental Restrict	ion.	/
29.	Has NJDEP ever issued a no further action letter ("NFA") for any portion of the Site?	[X]Yes	[]No
	NFA ISSUED: 8/29/2000		
	If Yes, in accordance with N.J.S.A. 58:10B-13(e), is there an order of magnitude difference between the currently applicable remediation standard or criterion and the contaminant level approved under such previously issued NFA?	[]Yes	[]No
31.	Subcontractors employed during the investigation/remediation (list all):		
	Name/Address:CUTE INC. \$349.14		
	Name/Address: SMC		
	Name/Address:		
	Name/Address:		
	Name/Address:		

COMMENTS:

	•
1	
c c	
·	
	,
	•
	PIRNT LEGIBLE.

Site Investigation - Remedial Investigation/Action Report Checklist: DATE: Soil Contamination currently exists on site []No []NA GW Contamination currently exists on site []No []NA (No []Yes Contaminated Soil Disposal Receipt (fully executed manifest) []NA Tank Disposal Certificate []No []NA Tank Contents Disposal Receipt (fully executed manifest) []No []NA Fill was "certified clean" in accordance with N.J.A.C.7:26E-6.4 []No []NA Scaled site map with AOCs and north arrow []NA []No Sample Results Summary Tables (N.J.A.C.7:26E-4.8) []No []NA Laboratory was certified to perform the required tests []No []NA Chain of Custody forms submitted []No []NA Signed laboratory deliverables checklist and Non-Conformance Summaries submitted []No []NA Problems identified in the laboratory deliverables checklist and Non-Conformance summaries []No []NA Holding times were met for all analyses []No []NA MDLs below most stringent soil cleanup criteria []NA []No Laboratory sample summary submitted []No []NA []NA OA/OC package (reduced deliverables) submitted []No VOC soil samples methanol preserved (sample weights included) []No []NA JYes []NA Electronic data package (home heating oil tanks exempt) []No λNο (]NA Well search submitted Yes **K**JNo Baseline Environmental Evaluation (home heating oil tanks exempt) []Yes Closure approval notification enclosed No ΙNΑ []No No Further Action letter(s) enclosed []NA