

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY**

### HEADQUARTERS, U.S. ARMY GARRISON FORT MONMOUTH FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY 07703-5101



August 26, 2009

From:

Howard M. Syvarth, TVS Hydrogeologist

To:

UST file

Subject:

UST Closure Reports for Building 750/Tank Registration #: 81533-191

Enclosed are the file review sheets for the closure of the Underground Storage Tank(s) (USTs) located at the above referenced location.

This tank was removed without incident. There was no evidence of discharge from the tank or of a historical release. This site had three regulated tanks; one of the tanks (81533-191) once contained diesel fuel, and the second tank (81533-192) contained gasoline. The third tank (81533-198) contained waste oil and was found to be leaking. Monitoring wells were installed and revealed contaminated groundwater. The site has been transferred to the Ft. Monmouth IRP site program.

All supporting documentation for the closure of the UST can be found in the UST folder located in the library archive in Bldg. 173, Directorate of Public Works, US Army Garrison Fort Monmouth, New Jersey.

Any questions regarding the information found in this or any other UST folder should be directed to:

Howard M. Syvarth TECOM-Vinnell Services (TVS) Staff Hydrogeologist, UST program Directorate of Public Works, Ft. Monmouth, NJ

Email: Howard.M.Syvarth@us.army.mil

Sincerely

Howard M. Syvarth

TVS Staff Hydrogeologist

Mr. Charles Appleby Subsurface Evaluator NJDEP #9974 Environmental Protection Specialist

Directorate of Public Works

Site Name: 750 -	NFA ISSUED:	
Is the UST Residential: NO	NFA ISSUED: File Review Date: /////08 By: //OWAA Syvm/h	<u></u>
There is / are UST(s) located at this site.	DJ. JOURNA SYDINA	
This NJDEP UST Registration # is: 81533 - 191 to	192	
This UST was $15000$ Gallons in size, was made of $FRP$ and	contained DIESEL.	
The UST was installed in 1986 and removed by STA	<i>YS/TVS</i> on <i>2/9/2005</i>	A
Standard Reporting Form was sent to the NJDEP on	NJDEP Closure # S-91-2	811 PIPING
Subsurface Evaluator: C. Appleby	NJDEP #	
A Site Investigation Report was completed by WESTON, Di		
NJDEP on		
The Current Status is: Removed; Report Submitted/Not Nec.	•	
The Revised Status as of completed	byi	is:
The Current UST Database Comments are: Monitoring well		auarterly
Must abandon fill pipe located under concrete into work bay, e.		
transferred to drums. TVS removed the UST 2/9/05. System close		
The Revised Comments as of 12/11/08 completed to FIRP,		is:
MOSECI 15 MIRT OF JRPS		
T. ( )		
Database updated onby	r initial r institution	
Remedial Phases:     PA       SI       RI	[ ] RAW [ ] CEA [	] NFA
Project transferred to the For	rt Monmouth Restoration Pr	ogram
Duciost # ETMM		

Site Name: 750 -	UED:	
Is the UST Residential: NO	•	ew Date:
•		
There is / are UST(s) located at tl	nis site.	
This NJDEP UST Registration # is: 8153	33 - 192 to <u>/92</u>	-
This UST was 8000 Gallons in size, was made o	f FRP and contained G	ASOLINE.
The UST was installed in 1986 and remo	ved by STAYS/TVS	on <i>2/11/2005</i>
A Standard Reporting Form was sent to the NJ	DEP on N	NJDEP Closure #
Subsurface Evaluator: C. Appleby		
A Site Investigation Report was completed by M		
NJDEP on		
The Current Status is: Removed; Report Submitte	ed/Not Nec.	
The Revised Status as of		is:
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
·	,	
The Current UST Database Comments are: Nee	eds GEO-GW. TVS remo	oved tank 2/11/2005. No
contamination. Report pending		
The Revised Comments as of	completed by	is:
Database updated on by		•
Remedial Phases: [ ] PA [ ] SI		
		outh Restoration Program
Project # FTMM		AM TEORGIANIAN TIAPININ
Project # HTMM	I <b>_</b>	

remediation with NJDEP oversight?	[	]Yes	[	]No
If Yes, provide the following:				
Case Number				
Case Lead (US Army)				
Case Manager (NJDEP)		•		
Case Status Data base " Case Closed , As of this date:				•
Was a Preliminary Assessment (PA) performed in accordance with N.J.A.C. 7: 26E-3.1?	Ĺ	]Yes	[	]No
Were any Areas of Concern (AOCs) identified? If yes proceed to question 4. If no, proceed to Check List (page 8).	Ĺ	]Yes	[	]No
Were any potentially contaminated AOCs identified?	[	]Yes	[	]No
List and describe all potentially contaminated AOCs.				
UST Area [ ] Underground Piping Area [ ] Dispenser Area [ ] UST	Fill A	rea [ ]		
				_
List and describe all AOCs subject to the 7:26E Regulations.  UST Area [ ] Underground Piping Area [ ] Dispenser Area [ ] UST	Fill A	rea [ ]		-
Was a discharge of a hazardous substance, contaminant or pollutant identified?  If so, was the discharge to: (check all that apply)	[	]Yes	[	 ]No
Soil [ ] Ground Water [ ] Surface Water [ ] Ecologically Sensit Other [ ] (specify)	tive A	rea [ ]		

Sample Analysis [ ] Olfactory [ ] Visual [ ] Record/ Loss of Product [	] Field Analysi	s [ ]
Other [ ] (specify)		<del>.</del>
What was the source of the discharge?		
	town Carron F 1	
		"f 7
		rl ]
Other [ ] (specify)		
Ware complete collected in accordance with the provisions of N.I.A.C. 7:26F		
and the Department's applicable Field Sampling Procedures Manual?	[ ]Yes	[ ]No
TPHC [ ] VOA+10 [ ] BN+15 [ ] lead [ ]	PP+40 [ ]	
Other:		
Were soil samples collected at the appropriate depth as per N.J.A.C. 7:26E	[ ]Yes	[ ]No
Were samples biased toward the most contaminated areas using field Instruments and/or visual and olfactory observations?	[ ]Yes	[ ]No
How was this accomplished?		
If only TPHC samples taken, were samples >1000mg/kg run for VOA+10?	[ ]Yes	[ ]No
Was the vertical and horizontal extent of soil contamination delineated prior to r	remediation?	
	[ ]Yes	[ ]No
Explain:		
	What was the source of the discharge?  UST Area [ ] Underground Piping Area [ ] Dispenser Area [ ] UST I  Were any of the following conditions present? (Check all that apply.)  Soil Staining [ ] Distressed or Dead Vegetation [ ] Product Entering S  Product Entering Basement [ ] Off-site Migration [ ] Product Observed of Other [ ] (specify)  Were samples collected in accordance with the provisions of N.J.A.C. 7:26E and the Department's applicable Field Sampling Procedures Manual?  TPHC [ ] VOA+10 [ ] BN+15 [ ] lead [ ]  Other:  Were soil samples collected at the appropriate depth as per N.J.A.C. 7:26E  Were samples biased toward the most contaminated areas using field Instruments and/or visual and olfactory observations?  How was this accomplished?  If only TPHC samples taken, were samples >1000mg/kg run for VOA+10?  Was the vertical and horizontal extent of soil contamination delineated prior to reach the samples of the sa	What was the source of the discharge?  UST Area [ ] Underground Piping Area [ ] Dispenser Area [ ] UST Fill Area [ ]  Were any of the following conditions present? (Check all that apply.)  Soil Staining [ ] Distressed or Dead Vegetation [ ] Product Entering Storm Sewer [ ]  Product Entering Basement [ ] Off-site Migration [ ] Product Observed on Surface Wate Other [ ] (specify)

Other:	V.		<del>-</del>	
If excavation was performed, what was	the depth of the bottom of the excavati	on?	fe	eet.
What is the approximate depth to saturat	ted zone (seasonally high water table)?		fe	eet.
How was this determined? Observed M Other:				
Is the Site Tidally Impacted?		·[ ]Ye	s [	]1
What is the percentage of silt/clay in the . How was this	e soil between the contaminant and the is determined?			
			ot Availa	
Was ground water present in the excava-	tion?	[ ]Ye	s [	]
If yes, was there a sheen observed on gr	round water?	[ ]Ye	s [	]1
Are there any Public Supply Wells within soil contamination?	in 2,000 feet of confirmed	[ ]Ye	s [	][
How was this determined? Well Search	h:[ ]			<u></u>
Was contaminated soil removed from th	ne site?	[ ]Ye:	s [	]N
How much soil was removed?	tons/cubic yards (circle c	one)		
To what facility was the soil taken?				
Date taken:				
Were the analytical results for all soil po	ost excavation/remediation samples			
below the Department's June 2008 resid		[ ]Ye:	s [	1

If No, describe in detail in Comments Page 6

22.	Was this an investigation pertaining to a non-regulated heating oil Fort Monmouth Database: NO  If yes, complete the following, If no go to 23	tank?	[	]Yes	[	]No
	Do on-site structures have a basement/crawl space?		[	]Yes	]	]No
	Was staining observed on the basement/crawl space walls or floo	or? [ ]Yes	<u>[</u>	]No	[	]NA
	Were petroleum odors observed in the basement/crawl space?	[ ]Yes	[	]No	. [	]NA
	Is a sump present in the basement/crawl space?  If yes, please indicate location on site map.	[ ]Yes	[	]No	[	]NA
	Was water observed in the sump?	[ ]Yes	[	]No	-[	]NA
	Was a sheen observed on the water in sump?	[ ]Yes	[	]No	. [	]NA
	If there was no water in the sump was the base of the sump investor a petroleum discharge?	stigated [ ]Yes	[	]No	[	]NA
	If the sump was investigated, was a discharge observed?	[ ]Yes		]No	[	]NA
23.	Is a regulated underground storage tank the subject of the remedi	ation?	[	]Yes	[	]No
	If yes, complete the following, If no, go to 24					
	Was a closure approval or 14 day notification obtained prior to the closure of the tank?  List Closure Approval/Notification Numbers NJDEP Closure #		_	]Yes	[	]No
	Was the closure or any remediation performed by an individual and firm certified in closure and subsurface evaluation?		. [	]Yes	[	]No
	Subsurface Evaluator: C. Appleby					
	Individual certification number:		<del>_</del>			
	Firm certification number:		_			
	Was an Underground Storage Tank Facility Certification Question completed and submitted "delisting" the subject tanks?	nnaire	[	]Yes	[	]No
24.	Was a Baseline Ecological Evaluation conducted pursuant to N.J.	A.C 7:26E?	[	]Yes	Ţ	]No
	If No, was the BEE a regulatory requirement at the time? (UST removed prior to 1997 or the UST is Residential?)		[	]Yes	[	]NA
	If Yes, is there a contaminant of concern present?		[	]Yes		]No

	Are there environmentally sensitive natural resources within or surrounding the property?	<u>[</u>	]Yes	[ ]No
	Are there potential contaminant migration pathways present?	[	]Yes	[ ]No
	Were potential ecological impacts identified?	[	]Yes	[ ]No
	Is a BEE planned to be completed for this site?	[	]Yes	[ ]No
25.	Was the site restored in accordance to N. J.A.C. 7:26E-6.4(b)?	[	]Yes	[ ]No
26.	Was the remedial investigation/action report prepared in accordance with N.J.A.C. 7:26E?	[	]Yes	[ ]No
27.	Remediation completed date:			
28.	Are there currently, or have there ever been, any Deed Notices or Declarations of Environmental Restriction pursuant to N.J.S.A. 58:10B-1 et seq. and N.J.A.C. 7:26E-1 et seq. for the Site?	]	]Yes	[ ]No
	If yes, Attach a copy of the Deed Notice or Declaration of Environmental Restrict	tion.		
29.	Has NJDEP ever issued a no further action letter ("NFA") for any portion of the Site?	[	]Yes	[ ]No
	NFA ISSUED:			
	If Yes, in accordance with N.J.S.A. 58:10B-13(e), is there an order of magnitude difference between the currently applicable remediation standard or criterion and the contaminant level approved under such previously issued NFA?	<u>[</u>	]Yes	[ ]No
31.	Subcontractors employed during the investigation/remediation (list all):			
	Name/Address:ALL SERVICE ENVIRO.			
	Name/Address: WESTON/SMC			
	Name/Address:			
	Name/Address:			
	Name/Address:			
COM	MMENTS:			

	 	,
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		•
	 <u> </u>	
	 	<del> </del>
	·	
	 ·	
	 ·	
		, —
,	 	•
,	 	
	 ,	
•		
		PRNT LEGIBLE.

7

Si	Site Investigation - Remedial Investigation/Action Report Checklist: DATE:					
•	Soil Contamination currently exists on site	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA		
•	GW Contamination currently exists on site	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA		
•	Contaminated Soil Disposal Receipt (fully executed manifest)	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA		
•	Tank Disposal Certificate	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA		
•	Tank Contents Disposal Receipt (fully executed manifest)	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA		
•	Fill was "certified clean" in accordance with N.J.A.C.7:26E-6.4	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA		
•	Scaled site map with AOCs and north arrow	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA		
•	Sample Results Summary Tables (N.J.A.C.7:26E-4.8)	[ ]Yes .	[ ]No	[ ]NA		
•	Laboratory was certified to perform the required tests	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA		
•	Chain of Custody forms submitted	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA		
•	Signed laboratory deliverables checklist and Non-Conformance Summaries submitted	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA		
•	Problems identified in the laboratory deliverables checklist and Non-Conformance summaries	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA		
•	Holding times were met for all analyses	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA		
•	MDLs below most stringent soil cleanup criteria	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA		
•	Laboratory sample summary submitted	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA		
•	QA/QC package (reduced deliverables) submitted	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA		
•	VOC soil samples methanol preserved (sample weights included)	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA		
•	Electronic data package (home heating oil tanks exempt)	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA		
•	Well search submitted	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA		
•	Baseline Environmental Evaluation (home heating oil tanks exempt)	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA		
•	Closure approval notification enclosed	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA		
•	No Further Action letter(s) enclosed	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA		

Site Name: 750 -	CASE STATUS: Case Closed NFA ISSUED: //
Is the UST Residential: NO	File Review Date 1/1/08
There is / are UST(s) located at this site.	By: Alward Squarth
There is / are USI(s) located at this site.	
This NJDEP UST Registration # is: 81533 - 198 t	О
This UST was $1000$ Gallons in size, was made of $FRP$ and	contained WASTE OIL.
The UST was installed in 1986 and removed by ALI	L SERVICE ENVIROon
3/11/1998 A Standard Reporting Form was so	ent to the NJDEP on 3/17/93
NJDEP Closure # NJDEP DHWM	
Subsurface Evaluator: C. Appleby	NJDEP #
A Site Investigation Report was completed by WESTON/S	MC and submitted to the
NJDEP on 5/19/2000	
The Current Status is: Removed; Report Submitted/Not Nec.	
The Revised Status as of complete	ed byis:
The Current UST Database Comments are: SAS complete,	sent to NJDEPE-CBWHWE 11/16/93. ATC
Closure Report (May 19, 2000) recommends conditional NFA	with a CEA; monitoring ongoing.
The Revised Comments as of 12/11/08 com	pleted by Howard Syvasth is:
The Revised Comments as of 12/11/08 comp Three tanks & Subsequent LTM port y Noil pontanimation addressed.	the IRP program all
soil pontanimation addressed.	
Database updated on by	•
Remedial Phases: [ ] PA [ ] SI [ ] RI	[ ] RAW [ ] CEA [ ] NFA
Project transferred to the F	ort Monmouth Restoration Program
Project # FTMM	· ·

Has the property been or is the property currently the subject of any remediation with NJDEP oversight?	[]Yes	[ ]No
If Yes, provide the following:		
Case Number 92-05-07-1600		
Case Lead (US Army) Appleby		
Case Manager (NJDEP)		
Case Status Data base "Case Open , As of this date: OPEN		
Was a Preliminary Assessment (PA) performed in accordance with N.J.A.C. 7: 26E-3.1?	[ ]Yes	[X]No
Were any Areas of Concern (AOCs) identified? If yes proceed to question 4. If no, proceed to Check List (page 8).	[X]Yes	[ ]No
Were any potentially contaminated AOCs identified?	[\[Yes	[ ]No
List and describe all potentially contaminated AOCs.	<b>/</b> .	
UST Area [≯] Underground Piping Area [X] Dispenser Area [ ] UST I	Fill Area [X]	
		·····
List and describe all AOCs subject to the 7:26E Regulations.  UST Area [X] Underground Piping Area [ ] Dispenser Area [ ] UST I	Fill Area [ ]	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Was a discharge of a hazardous substance, contaminant or pollutant identified?  If so, was the discharge to: (check all that apply)	≻]Yes	[ · ]No
Soil Soil Soil Surface Water [ ] Ecologically Sensit	ive Area [ ]	

7.	How was the discharge identified? (check all that apply)  Sample Analysis [ ] Olfactory [X] Visual [X] Record/ Loss of Product [ Other [ ] (specify)		s[] —
8.	What was the source of the discharge?  UST Area [X] Underground Piping Area [ ] Dispenser Area [ ] UST	Fill Area [ ]	*************
9.	Were any of the following conditions present? (Check all that apply.)  Soil Staining [ ] Distressed or Dead Vegetation [ ] Product Entering S		
	Product Entering Basement [ ] Off-site Migration [ ] Product Observed of Other [ ] (specify)		·[]
10.	Were samples collected in accordance with the provisions of N.J.A.C. 7:26E and the Department's applicable Field Sampling Procedures Manual?	X]Yes	[ ]No
	TPHC [ ] VOA+10 [ ] BN+15 [ ] lead [ ] Other:	PP+40 K]	
11.	Were soil samples collected at the appropriate depth as per N.J.A.C. 7:26E	[X]Yes	[ ]No
12.	Were samples biased toward the most contaminated areas using field Instruments and/or visual and olfactory observations?	Yes Yes	[ ]No
	How was this accomplished?		
13.	If only TPHC samples taken, were samples >1000mg/kg run for VOA+10?	[X]Yes	[ ]No
14.	Was the vertical and horizontal extent of soil contamination delineated prior to r	remediation?	[ <b>/</b> ]No
	Explain: Soils removed based on ulonal impact		

Source Removal and Disposal [>] Free Produ Other:			
If excavation was performed, what was the depth of	of the bottom of the excavation?		feet.
What is the approximate depth to saturated zone (s	easonally high water table)?		feet.
How was this determined? Observed Measuremer Other:			
Is the Site Tidally Impacted?		[ ]Yes	· [&]No
What is the percentage of silt/clay in the soil between			
		Not A	
Was ground water present in the excavation?		[\(\sum_{Yes}\)	[ ]No
If yes, was there a sheen observed on ground water	er?	[X]Yes	[ ]No
Are there any Public Supply Wells within 2,000 fe soil contamination?	et of confirmed	[ ]Yes	[X]No
How was this determined? Well Search: [ ]			
Was contaminated soil removed from the site?		[X]Yes	[ ]No
How much soil was removed??	tons/cubic yards (circle one)		
To what facility was the soil taken?			
Date taken:			4.
		•	
Were the analytical results for all soil post excavar			

# Fort Monmouth Underground Storage Tank Assessment Questionnaire If No, describe in detail in Comments Page 6

	11 110, describe in detail in Comments 1 age o		<b>\</b>
22.	Was this an investigation pertaining to a non-regulated heating oil tank?  Fort Monmouth Database: NO  If yes, complete the following, If no go to 23	[ ]Yes	[V]No
	Do on-site structures have a basement/crawl space?	[ ]Yes	[ ]No
	Was staining observed on the basement/crawl space walls or floor? [ ]Y	es [ ]No	[ ]NA
	Were petroleum odors observed in the basement/crawl space? [ ]Y	es []No	[ ]NA
	Is a sump present in the basement/crawl space? [ ]Y  If yes, please indicate location on site map.	es [ ]No	[ ]NA
	Was water observed in the sump? [ ]Y	es [ ]No	[ ]NA
	Was a sheen observed on the water in sump? [ ]Y	es [ ]No	[ ]NA
	If there was no water in the sump was the base of the sump investigated for a petroleum discharge?	es [ ]No	[ ]NA
	If the sump was investigated, was a discharge observed? [ ]Ye	es [ ]No	[ ]NA
23.	Is a regulated underground storage tank the subject of the remediation?	[ ]Yes	[ ]No
	If yes, complete the following, If no, go to 24		
	Was a closure approval or 14 day notification obtained prior to the closure of the tank?  List Closure Approval/Notification Numbers NJDEP Closure #	[ <b>)</b> ]Yes	[ ]No
	Was the closure or any remediation performed by an individual and firm certified in closure and subsurface evaluation?	[ <mark>&gt;</mark> ]Yes	[ ]No
	Subsurface Evaluator: C. Appleby		
	Individual certification number:	<u>.</u>	
	Firm certification number:		
	Was an Underground Storage Tank Facility Certification Questionnaire completed and submitted "delisting" the subject tanks?	[ ]Yes	[X]No
24.	Was a Baseline Ecological Evaluation conducted pursuant to N.J.A.C 7:20	5E? [ ]Yes	[X]No
	If No, was the BEE a regulatory requirement at the time? (UST removed prior to 1997 or the UST is Residential?)	[ ]Yes	[ <b>X</b> ]NA
	If Yes, is there a contaminant of concern present?	[ ]Yes	[ ]No

	Are there environmentally sensitive natural resources within or surrounding the property?	[ ]Yes	[ ]No
	Are there potential contaminant migration pathways present?	[ ]Yes	[ ]No
	Were potential ecological impacts identified?	[ ]Yes	[ ]No
	Is a BEE planned to be completed for this site?	[ ]Yes	[ ]No
25.	Was the site restored in accordance to N. J.A.C. 7:26E-6.4(b)?	[X]Yes	[ ]No
26.	Was the remedial investigation/action report prepared in accordance with N.J.A.C. 7:26E?	[\big Yes	[ ]No
27.	Remediation completed date:		
28.	Are there currently, or have there ever been, any Deed Notices or Declarations of Environmental Restriction pursuant to N.J.S.A. 58:10B-1 et seq. and N.J.A.C. 7:26E-1 et seq. for the Site?	[ ]Yes	[X]No
	If yes, Attach a copy of the Deed Notice or Declaration of Environmental Restriction	on.	,
29.	Has NJDEP ever issued a no further action letter ("NFA") for any portion of the Site?	[ ]Yes	[X]No
	NFA ISSUED:		•
	If Yes, in accordance with N.J.S.A. 58:10B-13(e), is there an order of magnitude difference between the currently applicable remediation standard or criterion and the contaminant level approved under such previously issued NFA?	[ ]Yes	∭No
31.	Subcontractors employed during the investigation/remediation (list all):		
٠	Name/Address:STAYS/TVS		
	Name/Address: WESTON, SMC		
	Name/Address:		
	Name/Address:		
	Name/Address:		
	AMENITS.		

·	
, `	,
·	

Site Investigation - Remedial Investigation/Action Report Checklist: DATE:				
•	Soil Contamination currently exists on site	Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	GW Contamination currently exists on site	[XYes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	Contaminated Soil Disposal Receipt (fully executed manifest)	[ ]Yes	[ <b>]</b> No	[ ]NA
•	Tank Disposal Certificate	[X]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	Tank Contents Disposal Receipt (fully executed manifest)	[ <b>X</b> ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	Fill was "certified clean" in accordance with N.J.A.C.7:26E-6.4	[X]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	Scaled site map with AOCs and north arrow	[y]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	Sample Results Summary Tables (N.J.A.C.7:26E-4.8)	[X]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	Laboratory was certified to perform the required tests	[X]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	Chain of Custody forms submitted	[ $\gamma$ ]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	Signed laboratory deliverables checklist and Non-Conformance Summaries submitted	[X]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	Problems identified in the laboratory deliverables checklist and Non-Conformance summaries	[X]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	Holding times were met for all analyses	[X]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	MDLs below most stringent soil cleanup criteria	[X]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	Laboratory sample summary submitted	[X]Ýes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	QA/QC package (reduced deliverables) submitted	[X]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	VOC soil samples methanol preserved (sample weights included)	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	[X]NA
•	Electronic data package (home heating oil tanks exempt)	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	∭NA
•	Well search submitted	[X]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	Baseline Environmental Evaluation (home heating oil tanks exempt)	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	X]NA
•	Closure approval notification enclosed	[ ]Yes	[×]No	[ ]NA
•	No Further Action letter(s) enclosed	[ ]Yes	[\X\]No	[ ]NA