



#### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

Headquarters, U.S. Army Garrison Fort Monmouth Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703-5000

April 8, 1994

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

Directorate of Public Works

New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy Bureau of Underground Storage Tanks Tank Management Section CN 029, 401 East State Street Trenton, NJ 08625 - 0028 ATTN: Mrs. J. DiClaudio, Facility Case Manager

Re: Site Assesment Summary Report pertaining to the UST removal and discharge corrective action activities at: UST #00192486-30 (Bldg. 3050)
CASE # 92-1-28-1324-37

Dear Mrs. DiClaudio:

This is in response to the above referenced UST closure. Mr. Appleby, Environmental Protection Specialist (UST Cert. #2056) has inspected the above referenced site and has not identified any immediate health or environmental concerns. To-Date the UST has been removed and we are awaiting additional sampling at the site to establish the VOC concentrations and subsequent remedial activities as needed. All activities will be performed IAW all Federal and State regulations and overseen by Mr. Appleby.

I have enclosed an Underground Storage Tank Closure and Site Investigation Report which was prepared by Roy F. Weston Inc..

If the information provided in this enclosure is inadequate or you require further information with regard to any UST activities please contact Mr. Appleby, at (908) 532-6224.

Mr. Charles Appleby

Environmenta Protection Spec.

Subsurface/Closure NJDEPE Cert. # 2056 Sincerely,

Mr. James Ott
Acting Director
Directorate of
Public Works

ENCLOSURES:
NJDEPE UST SAS FORM AND RESPONSES
UST CLOSURE REPORT

UST-01	į	4
2/91		

## STATE OF NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

	UST#	
	Data Rec'd	
į	TMS ₩	
ļ	Swill	
ı	Juli	

DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES
BUREAU OF UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS
TANK MANAGEMENT SECTION

CN 029, 401 EAST STATE STREET TRENTON, N.J. 08625-0029

# UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK SITE ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

Under the provisions of the Underground Storage of Hazardous Substances Act in accordance with N.J.A.C. 7:14B

This Summary form shall be used by all owners and operators of Underground Storage Tank Systems (USTS) who have either reported a release and are subject to the site assessment requirements of N.J.A.C. 7:148-8.2 or who have closed USTS pursuant to N.J.A.C. 7:148-9.1 et seq. and are subject to the site assessment requirements of N.J.A.C. 7:148-9.2 and 9.3.

#### INSTRUCTIONS:

1.

- Please print legibly or type.
- Fill in all applicable blanks. This form will require various attachments in order to complete the Summary. The technical guidance document, Interim Closure Requirements for UST's, explains the regulatory (and technical) requirements for closure and the Scope of Work, Investigation and Corrective Action Requirements for Discharges from Underground Storage Tanks and Piping Systems explains the regulatory (and technical) requirements for corrective action.
- · Return one original of the form and all required attachments to the above address.
- Attach a scaled site diagram of the subject facility which shows the information specified in Item IV B of this form.
- Explain any "No" or "N/A" response on a separate sheet.

	Date of Submission		02 February	1994
	019:	2486	-30	
	FACILI	TY	REGISTRATIO	ON #
FACILITY NAME AND ADDRESS				
U S Army Fort Monmouth				
Building 167 DEH				
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703  Felephone No. (908) 532-1475	County Monmouth	···		
( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )				
DWNER'S NAME AND ADDRESS, if different from above	A			
				1
		······································		

11,	DISCHARGE REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	
	A. Was contamination found? X Yes No If Yes, Case No. 92-1-28-1324-37 (Note: All discharges must be reported to the Environmental Action Hotline (609) 292-7172)	
	B. The substance(s) discharged was(were) #2 Fuel 0il	
	C. Have any vapor hazards been mitigated? X Yes No N/A	
Ш.	DECOMMISSIONING OF TANK SYSTEMS Closure Approval No. C-91-2839	
	The site assessment requirements associated with tank decommissioning are explained in the Techniquidance Document, Interim Closure Requirements for UST's, Section V. A-D. Attach complication of the methods used and the results obtained for each of the steps of the decommissioning used. Please include a site map which shows the locations of all samples and borings, location of all tanks and piping runs at the facility at the beginning of the tank closure operation and annotated differentiate the status of all tanks and piping (e.g., removed, abandoned, temporarily closed, etc.). It is properly a same site map can be used to document other parts of the site assessment requirements, if it is properly a legibly annotated.	ete <u>ink</u> the ited he
IV.	SITE ASSESSMENT REQUIREMENTS	
	A. Excavated Soil	
	Any evidence of contamination in excavated soil will require that the soil be classified as either Hazardi Waste or Non-Hazardous Waste. Please include all required documentation of compliance with requirements for handling contaminated excavated soil (if any was present) as explained in the techniquidance documents for closure and corrective action. Describe amount of soil removed, its classification and disposal location.	the cai
	B. Scaled Site Diagrams	
	Scaled site diagrams must be attached which include the following information:	
	<ul> <li>a. North arrow and scale</li> <li>b. The locations of the ground water monitoring wells</li> <li>c. Location and depth of each soil sample and boring</li> <li>d. All major surface and sub-surface structures and utilities</li> <li>e. Approximate property boundaries</li> <li>f. All existing or closed underground storage tank systems, including appurtenant piping</li> <li>g. A cross-sectional view indicating depth of tank, stratigraphy and location of water table</li> <li>h. Locations of surface water bodies</li> </ul>	
	C. Soil samples and borings (check appropriate answer)	
	Were soil samples taken from the excavation as prescribed? X Yes NoN/A	
	2. Were soil borings taken at the tank system closure site as prescribed?YesNo $\frac{X}{}$ No	Α.
	3. Attach the analytical results in tabular form and include the following information about each sample:  a. Customer sample number (keyed to the site map)  b. The depth of the soil sample  c. Soil boring logs  d. Method detection limit of the method used	•

	D.	Ground Water Monitoring
		Number of ground water monitoring wells installed N/A
		2. Attach the analytical results of the ground water samples in tabular form. Include the following information for each sample from each well:
		a. Site diagram number for each well installed b. Depth of ground water surface c. Depth of screened interval d. Method detection limit of the method used e. Well logs f. Well permit numbers g. QA/QC Information as required
٧.	SO	IL CONTAMINATION
		Was soil contamination found? X Yes No If "Yes", please answer Question 6-E If "No", please answer Question 6
	;	The highest soil contamination still remaining in the ground has been determined to be:  1. $N/A$ opb total BTEX, $N/A$ opb total non-targeted VOC  2. $N/A$ opb total B/N, $N/A$ opb total non-targeted B/N  3. $7,200$ opm TPHC
	•	4. N/A opb N/A (for non-petroleum substance)
	C. 1	Remediation of free product contaminated soils
	3	All free product contaminated soil on the property boundaries and above the water table are believed to have been removed from the subsurface X Yes No     Free product contaminated soils are suspected to exist below the water table Yes X No     Free product contaminated soils are suspected to exist off the property boundaries. Yes X No     Was the vertical and horizontal extent of contamination determined? Yes X No N/A
	E. [	Does soil contamination intersect ground water?YesNoXN/A
VI.	GRO	DUND WATER CONTAMINATION
	h	Was ground water contamination found? Yes $\underline{X}$ No figure 1. Yes, please answer Questions B-G. figure 1. The first section for the
		The highest ground water contamination at any 1 sampling location and at any 1 sampling event to date has been determined to be:
	1	I. N/A ppb total BTEX, N/A ppb total non-targeted VOC
	2	2. N/A ppb total B/N, N/A ppb total non-targeted B/N  B. N/A ppb total MTBE, N/A ppb total TBA
	3	8. N/A ppo total MIBE, N/A ppo total IBA 1. N/A ppo N/A (for non-petroleum substance)
	5	i. greatest thickness of separate phase product found N/A
		6. separate phase product has been delineatedYesNo _X_N/A
	C. F	Result(s) of well search
		. A well search (including a review of manual well records) indicates that private, municipal or commercial wells do exist within the distances specified in the Scope of Work. X YesNoN/A  The number of these wells identified is10

	D.	Proximity of w	ells and contaminant plume			
		potential pa	west depth of any well noted ath(s) of the contaminant plum acts of pumping, subsurfacefeet from the source	e(s) is feet be structures, etc. on the	slow grade (cons a direction(s) of	ideration has been given ∞ntaminant migration).
		2. The shallo described i	west depth to the top of the wn D1 above) isfeet	reil screen for any well below grade. This well	in the potential is located	path of the plume(s) (as feet from the source.
		plume (as	at horizontal distance of a pri determined in D1) is begins at a depth of	feet from the source	nunicipal well in ce. This well is _	the potential path of the
	E.	A plan for sepa	arate phase product recovery h	as been included	_Yes No	N/A
	F.	A ground wate	r contour map has been subm NoN/A	itted which includes the	a ground water ei	evations for each well.
	G.	Delineation of	contamination			
			d water contaminants have	been delineated to	MCLs or lower	values at the property
		2. The plume Yes	is suspected to continue off th	e property at concentr	ations greater tha	an MCLs.
		3. Off property	y access (circle one): is be	ing sought has be	en approved	has been denied
/II.	SITE	ASSESSMEN	IT CERTIFICATION (prepar	er of site assessment	t plan - N.J.A.C.	7:148-8.3(b) &9.5(a)3]
	respo	nsible for the d	his certification as the "Qualifi esign and implementation of t the name of the certifying org	he site assessment pla	in as specified in	ed in N.J.A.C.7:14B-1.6) N.J.A.C. 7:14B-8:3(a) &
	and am d	complete an iware that th	enalty of law that the info d was obtained by proce nere are significant pena uding fines and/or impriso	dures in compliant lties for submitting	ce with $NJA$ .	C. 7:14B-8 and 9. I
					1/2	m (2
	NAME	E (Print or Type	) <u>Dinkerrai DeSai</u>	SIGNATU		
	сом	PANY NAME _	U.S. Army Fort Mono (Preparer of Site Assess	U	ATE 15 Febr	uary 1994
			(Preparer of Site Assess		* ====================================	
		TFYING ANIZATION	NJDEPE		ERTIFICATION JMBER	2266

VIII.	TANK DECOMM closure plan - N.J.A		ERTIFICATION (pare	en performin	g tank de:	enincissioning	; portion o
	compliance with	N.J.A.C. 7:14	aw that tank deco B-9.2(b)3. I am av incomplete informa	vare that the	ere are si ng fines a	gnificant pe nd/gr imprise	nalties for oppent."
	NAME (Print or Type	)Dinkerr	ai DeSai	_ SIGNATURE	= <u>4</u>	/2 al	
	COMPANY NAME _	U.S. Army (Performer of Ta	Ft Monmouth	DATE	15 Febr	18ry 1994	T-1
ix.	A. The following	cartification s	ISIBLE PARTY(IES) On the signed by the signe	the highest		individuai w	rith overal
	"I certify und accurate, and inaccurate, or	ler penalty of complete . I ar incomplete inf	law that the inform aware that there cormation, including	mation prov are significa fines and/or	ns penalti imprison _	es for submi. ment."	
	NAME (Print or T	ype) James	Ott, P.E.	_SIGNATURE		us (tt	<del></del>
	COMPANY NAM	E <u>U.S. A</u>	rmy Ft. Monmouth		DATE_	15 Februa	ry 1993
	N.J.A.C. 7:148-2  1. For a corporate 2. For a partners 3. For a municipe elected official 4. In cases where required in A report to be made information successive.	tion, by a principal thip or sole propriality, State, Feder I. e the highest rankabove is the same ide. In all other care penalty of laboratived in this	be signed as follows (  Il executive officer of at etorship, by a general properties of a composite partnership person as the official raises, the certifications of aw that I have personal authorization and alignmediately respons	least the level of artner or the property by either the hip, government equired to certiff A and B shall conally example attached do	of vice prestoprietor, reprincipal extat officer offy in B, only be made.	ident. spectively; or tecutive officer r official at the r r the certification that familian and that bas	facility as in in A  r with the sed on my
	that the submi significant per fines and/or in	tted informationalities for subsequent."	on is true, accurate nitting false, inacci	e, and compl urate, or inc	ete. I am omplete ii	aware that nformation,	there are
	NAME (Print or T)	/pe)					
	COMPANY NAME	:		DATE	•		

## ATTACHMENT I

### NO/NA RESPONSE EXPLANATION

SAS QUESTION #	<u>RESPONSE</u>	EXPLANATION
IIA.	% <b>No</b> <sub>10</sub> %	No contaminants were identified in soil samples at concentrations exceeding NJDEPE cleanup criteria.
IIB.	N/A	Same as above.
IIC.	N/A	Same as above.
ш.	N/A	Closure of Facility Registration No. 192486-30 was conducted under approval and onsite supervision of the NJDEPE Division of Hazardous Waste Management.
IV.C.2	N/A	No soil borings were proposed in the closure plan.
V.A	No .	No contaminants were identified in soil samples at concentrations exceeding NJDEPE cleanup criteria.
V.B.1-4	<b>N/A</b>	In compliance with current regulations, one (1) soil sample shall be obtained from the location of the highest TPHC level and analyzed for VOC's.
V.C.1-3	N/A	Same as V.A.
V.D	N/A	Same as V.A.
V.E	N/A	Same as V.A.
VI.A	No	No groundwater monitoring wells were installed as part of closure of Facility Registration No. 192486-30; therefore, no groundwater samples were collected.
VI.B. 1-6	N/A	Same as above.