

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

HEADQUARTERS, U.S. ARMY GARRISON FORT MONMOUTH FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY 07703-5101



August 26, 2009

From:

Howard M. Syvarth, TVS Hydrogeologist

To:

UST file

Subject:

UST Closure Reports for Building 261B/Tank Registration #: 81533

Enclosed are the file review sheets for the closure of the Underground Storage Tank(s) (USTs) located at the above referenced location. The UST was removed on May 5, 1999, and assigned a DICAR number of 99-05-05-1536-15.

After performing a file review to include submittals to NJDEP, I have determined that the tank closure and the subsequent report meet the minimum requirements established by NJDEP and as such are considered to be closed by both NJDEP and the Directorate of Public Works, US Army Garrison Fort Monmouth.

This document serves as the official site closure. All supporting documentation for the closure of this site can be found in the UST folder located in the library archive in Bldg. 173, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey.

Any questions regarding the information found in this or any other UST folder should be directed to:

Howard M. Syvarth
TECOM-Vinnell Services (TVS)
Staff Hydrogeologist, UST program
Directorate of Public Works, Ft. Monmouth, NJ
Email: Howard.M.Syvarth@us.army.mil

Sincerely,

Heward M. Syvarth

TVS Staff Hydrogeologist

Mr. Charles Appleby Subsurface Evaluator NJDEP #9974 Environmental Protection Specialist Directorate of Public Works

Site Name: 261 - B	NFA ISSUED: 1/10/200	3/1/0
Is the UST Residential: YES	File Review Date: // By: //ONARD Syt	<u> </u>
There is / are UST(s) located at this site.		
This NJDEP UST Registration # is: 81533 - to	· .	
This UST was 1000 Gallons in size, was made of STEEL ar	nd contained #2 FUEL OIL	/•
The UST was installed in and removed by TVS	on 5/5/1999	A Standard
Reporting Form was sent to the NJDEP on N	NJDEP Closure # Fed. Cas	se Mgr.
Subsurface Evaluator: C. Appleby	NJDEP#	
A Site Investigation Report was completed by	and submitted to the N	NJDEP on <i>3/5/2002</i>
The Revised Status as of completed		
The Current UST Database Comments are: Highest TPHC	5-5-99 >3000 ppm. Additio	onal samples required.
Residential UST with DICAR; all analytical results in complic	ance with NJDEP standards	. No Closure Report
required; Letter Report to close out DICAR submitted to NJD	EP on 03/05/02.	16
The Revised Comments as of	oleted by Novano	WARM is:
one of Report Submitted to NATO	of not in file	Soll Clara
ul =1,000 ppm. No waterto	on indication	sao to the
volume of sail necessary for	Umoval, Tonk	manifest
Database updated on by		
Remedial Phases: [] PA [] SI [] RI	[]RAW []CI	EA []NFA
[] Project transferred to the Fo	ort Monmouth Restora	tion Program
Project # FTMM		

Has the property been or is the property remediation with NJDEP oversight?	y currently the subject of any	[X]Yes	[]N
If Yes, provide the following:	•	,	
Case Number 99-05-05-1536-15			
Case Lead (US Army)	Desai .		
Case Manager (NJDEP)	urts		
Case Status Data base " Case Closed ,	As of this date: //10/03		
Was a Preliminary Assessment (PA) pe N.J.A.C. 7: 26E-3.1?	erformed in accordance with	[]Yes	[X]No
Were any Areas of Concern (AOCs) ide If yes proceed to question 4. If no, proc		[X]Yes	[]No
Were any potentially contaminated AO	Cs identified?	[XiYes	[]No
List and describe all potentially contan	ninated AOCs.	. / "	
UST Area [X] Underground Piping A	area 🔀 Dispenser Area [] U	JST Fill Area []	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
List and describe all AOCs subject to th UST Area [] Underground Piping A	ne 7:26E Regulations. Area X] Dispenser Area [] U	JST Fill Area []	
Was a discharge of a hazardous substan		ed? [X]Yes	[]No
If so, was the discharge to: (check all the	11 17	. Mit . A E B	
- / -	urface Water [] Ecologically S	ensitive Area []	
Other [] (specify)			

7.	How was the discharge identified? (check all that apply)					
	Sample Analysis [] Olfactory Visual [X] Record/Loss of Product [] Field Analysis []					
	Other [] (specify)		_			
8.	What was the source of the discharge?					
-	UST Area [] Underground Piping Area [] Dispenser Area [] UST	Fill Area []				
9.	Were any of the following conditions present? (Check all that apply.)					
	Soil Staining [] Distressed or Dead Vegetation [] Product Entering S	torm Sewer []			
	Product Entering Basement [] Off-site Migration [] Product Observed					
	Other [](specify)		,			
10.	Were samples collected in accordance with the provisions of N.J.A.C. 7:26E and the Department's applicable Field Sampling Procedures Manual?	Yes	[]No			
	TPHC [] VOA+10 [] BN+15 [] lead []	PP+40 [] .			
	Other:		_			
11.	Were soil samples collected at the appropriate depth as per N.J.A.C. 7:26E	∬Yes	[]No			
12.	Were samples biased toward the most contaminated areas using field Instruments and/or visual and olfactory observations?	[X]Yes	[]No			
	How was this accomplished?		· ·			
13.	If only TPHC samples taken, were samples >1000mg/kg run for VOA+10?	[]Yes	X]No			
14.	Was the vertical and horizontal extent of soil contamination delineated prior to	emediation?				
		[]Yes	 ✓]No			
	Explain:	•	•			

Fully describe the method of remediation?	г э	
Source Removal and Disposal Free Product removal by Vacuum Truck	l J	
Other:		
If excavation was performed, what was the depth of the bottom of the excavation	n? 7	feet.
What is the approximate depth to saturated zone (seasonally high water table)?	77	feet.
How was this determined? Observed Measurement 1 Monitoring Well []		
Other:		
Is the Site Tidally Impacted?	[]Yes	[X]No
		,
What is the percentage of silt/clay in the soil between the contaminant and the sa	nturated zone?	
How was this determined?		·
•	_ X Not A	vailable
Was ground water present in the excavation?	[]Yes	[X]No
If yes, was there a sheen observed on ground water?	[]Yes	[]No
Are there any Public Supply Wells within 2,000 feet of confirmed	F 377	100
soil contamination?	[]Yes	[X]No
How was this determined? Well Search: []		
	\ a	
Was contaminated soil removed from the site?	Yes	[]No
How much soil was removed?tons/cubic yards (circle on	e)	
To what facility was the soil taken? On Site Storage Soul safe		
Date taken:	•	
	1	
Were the analytical results for all soil post excavation/remediation samples below the Department's June 2008 residential soil cleanup criteria?	[×]Yes	[]No

Fort Monmouth Underground Storage Tank Assessment Questionnaire If No, describe in detail in Comments Page 6

	11 140, describe in detail in Comments 1 age 0		1		
22.	. Was this an investigation pertaining to a non-regulated heating oil tank? Fort Monmouth Database:: YES				[]Nọ
	If yes, complete the following, If no go to 23				
	Do on-site structures have a basement/crawl space?		[]Yes	[X]No
	Was staining observed on the basement/crawl space walls or floor	r?[]Yes	[]No	$AN[\chi]$
	Were petroleum odors observed in the basement/crawl space?	[]Yes	[]No	[X]NA
	Is a sump present in the basement/crawl space? If yes, please indicate location on site map.	[]Yes	[JNo	[X]NA
	Was water observed in the sump?	[]Yes	[]No	$AN[\chi]$
	Was a sheen observed on the water in sump?	[]Yes	[]No	[X]NA
	If there was no water in the sump was the base of the sump investor a petroleum discharge?	tigateď []Yes	[]No	[X]na
	If the sump was investigated, was a discharge observed?	[]Yes	[]No	[X]na
23.	Is a regulated underground storage tank the subject of the remedia	ution?	Į.]Yes	[X]No
	If yes, complete the following, If no, go to 24				
	Was a closure approval or 14 day notification obtained prior to the closure of the tank? List Closure Approval/Notification Numbers NJDEP Closure #		_]Yes	[]No
	Was the closure or any remediation performed by an individual and firm certified in closure and subsurface evaluation?		I]Yes	[]No
	Subsurface Evaluator: C. Appleby				
	Individual certification number:				
	Firm certification number:				
	Was an Underground Storage Tank Facility Certification Question completed and submitted "delisting" the subject tanks?	nnaire	[]Yes	[]No
24.	Was a Baseline Ecological Evaluation conducted pursuant to N.J.A	A.C 7:26E?	[]Yes	[X]No
	If No, was the BEE a regulatory requirement at the time? (UST removed prior to 1997 or the UST is Residential?)		[]Yes	[X]NA
	If Yes, is there a contaminant of concern present?		[]Yes	[]No

	Are there environmentally sensitive natural resources within or surrounding the property?	[]Yes	[]No
	Are there potential contaminant migration pathways present?	[]Yes	[]No
	Were potential ecological impacts identified?	[]Yes	[]No
	Is a BEE planned to be completed for this site?	[]Yes	[]No
25.	Was the site restored in accordance to N. J.A.C. 7:26E-6.4(b)?	Y]Yes	[]No
26.	Was the remedial investigation/action report prepared in accordance with N.J.A.C. 7:26E?	[]Yes	[]No
27.	Remediation completed date: $S(13 9^{\circ})$		
28.	Are there currently, or have there ever been, any Deed Notices or Declarations of Environmental Restriction pursuant to N.J.S.A. 58:10B-1 et seq. and N.J.A.C. 7:26E-1 et seq. for the Site?	[]Yes	[X]No
	If yes, Attach a copy of the Deed Notice or Declaration of Environmental Restrict	ion.	
29.	Has NJDEP ever issued a no further action letter ("NFA") for any portion of the Site?	[X]Yes	[]No
	NFA ISSUED: 1/10/2003		
	If Yes, in accordance with N.J.S.A. 58:10B-13(e), is there an order of magnitude difference between the currently applicable remediation standard or criterion and the contaminant level approved under such previously issued NFA?	[]Yes	[X]No
31.	Subcontractors employed during the investigation/remediation (list all):		
	Name/Address:TVS		
	Name/Address:		
CON	MENTS:		

		 <u> </u>	_ ·		
		 ·			
<u> </u>			-		
·					
,					
		 	···		
		 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		 			
	-				•
		 	<u>.</u>		
		,			
	-				
•					
		 		,	-
		 	·····		
		 		<u> </u>	
			<u>.</u>		
				PRNT LEC	HBLE.
			The second secon	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	

Site Investigation - Remedial Investigation/Action Report Check	dist: DATE:		
Soil Contamination currently exists on site	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
GW Contamination currently exists on site	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
Contaminated Soil Disposal Receipt (fully executed manifest)	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
Tank Disposal Certificate	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
Tank Contents Disposal Receipt (fully executed manifest)	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
• Fill was "certified clean" in accordance with N.J.A.C.7:26E-6.4	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
Scaled site map with AOCs and north arrow	[]Yes	[]No	[]IIA
• Sample Results Summary Tables (N.J.A.C.7:26E-4.8)	[]Yes	.[]No	I]NA
Laboratory was certified to perform the required tests	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
Chain of Custody forms submitted	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
Signed laboratory deliverables checklist and Non-Conformance Summaries submitted	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
 Problems identified in the laboratory deliverables checklist and Non-Conformance summaries 	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
Holding times were met for all analyses	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
MDLs below most stringent soil cleanup criteria	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
Laboratory sample summary submitted	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
QA/QC package (reduced deliverables) submitted	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
VOC soil samples methanol preserved (sample weights included)	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
Electronic data package (home heating oil tanks exempt)	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
Well search submitted	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
Baseline Environmental Evaluation (home heating oil tanks exempt)	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
Closure approval notification enclosed	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
No Further Action letter(s) enclosed	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA