

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

HEADQUARTERS, U.S. ARMY GARRISON FORT MONMOUTH FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY 07703-5101



August 26, 2009

From:

Howard M. Syvarth, TVS Hydrogeologist

To:

UST file

Subject:

UST Closure Reports for Building 271/Tank Registration #: 81533-55

Enclosed are the file review sheets for the closure of the Underground Storage Tank(s) (USTs) located at the above referenced location. The UST was removed on June 9, 1994, and assigned a DICAR number of 943-06-09-1612-21.

After performing a file review to include submittals to NJDEP, I have determined that the tank closure and the subsequent report meet the minimum requirements established by NJDEP and as such are considered to be closed by both NJDEP and the Directorate of Public Works, US Army Garrison Fort Monmouth.

This document serves as the official site closure. All supporting documentation for the closure of this site can be found in the UST folder located in the library archive in Bldg. 173, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey.

Any questions regarding the information found in this or any other UST folder should be directed to:

Howard M. Syvarth
TECOM-Vinnell Services (TVS)
Staff Hydrogeologist, UST program
Directorate of Public Works, Ft. Monmouth, NJ
Email: Howard, M, Syvarth@us, army, mil

Sincerely

Howard M. Syvarth

TVS Staff Hydrogeologist

Mr. Charles Appleby Subsurface Evaluator NJDEP #9974 Environmental Protection Specialist Directorate of Public Works

Site Name: 271 -	NFA ISSUED: 1/10/2003 /
Is the UST Residential: YES	NFA ISSUED: 1/10/2003) File Reyiew Date://3/08 By:
There is / are UST(s) located at this site.	By. //VUIARN SYVARIAN
This NJDEP UST Registration # is: 81533 - 55 to	· .
This UST was 3000 Gallons in size, was made of STEEL ar	nd contained #2 FUEL OIL.
The UST was installed in 1934 and removed by CUZ	TE INC on 6/9/1994 A
Standard Reporting Form was sent to the NJDEP on $6/10/$. NJDEP Closure # C93-3183
Subsurface Evaluator: <i>C. Appleby</i>	NJDEP #
A Site Investigation Report was completed by SMC	and submitted to the NJDEP on
5/15/2002	
The Current Status is: Removed; Report Submitted/Not Nec.	
The Revised Status as of complete	d byis:
	·
The Current UST Database Comments are: Highest TPHC	=1269 mg/kg on 6/9/94. Hole backfilled.
Encountered groundwater. Need groundwater sampled. GEO	-GW completed; groundwater samples in
compliance with NJDEP standards. Needs one more soil VO	C sample, and report needs to be completed.
Analyti	
The Revised Comments as of comp	pleted byis:
Database updated on by	
Remedial Phases: [] PA	[]RAW []CEA TINFA
[] Project transferred to the Fo	ort Monmouth Restoration Program
Droingt # FTMM	

Has the property been or is the property currently the subject of any remediation with NJDEP oversight?	[X]Yes	[]No
If Yes, provide the following:		
Case Number 94-6-9-1612-21		
Case Lead (US Army) C. Appleby		
Case Manager (NJDEP)		
Case Status Data base " Case Closed , As of this date: ///0/03	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Was a Preliminary Assessment (PA) performed in accordance with N.J.A.C. 7: 26E-3.1?	[]Yes	[X]No
Were any Areas of Concern (AOCs) identified? If yes proceed to question 4. If no, proceed to Check List (page 8).	[\dag{Yes}	[]No
Were any potentially contaminated AOCs identified?	[XYes	[]No
List and describe all potentially contaminated AOCs.		
UST Area [] Underground Piping Area [] US	T Fill Area [X]	
List and describe all AOCs subject to the 7:26E Regulations. UST Area [\ \ \] Underground Piping Area [\ \ \] Dispenser Area [] US		
Was a discharge of a hazardous substance, contaminant or pollutant identified	1? Yes	 []No
If so, was the discharge to: (check all that apply)	11 2 105	į livo
Soil [Ground Water [] Surface Water [] Ecologically Ser	sitive Area []	
Other [] (specify)	L J	

7.	How was the discharge identified? (check all that apply)		
	Sample Analysis [] Olfactory [] Visual [] Record/ Loss of Product [Other [] (specify)	J Field Analysi	s[]
8.	What was the source of the discharge? UST Area [\sqrt{]} Underground Piping Area [] Dispenser Area [] UST F	ill Area []	
9.	Were any of the following conditions present? (Check all that apply.) Soil Staining Distressed or Dead Vegetation [] Product Entering Standard Entering Basement [] Off-site Migration [] Product Observed of Other [] (specify)		
10.	Were samples collected in accordance with the provisions of N.J.A.C. 7:26E and the Department's applicable Field Sampling Procedures Manual?	[\negatives] Yes	[]No
	TPHC [X VOA+10	PP+40 []	, \$
11.	Were soil samples collected at the appropriate depth as per N.J.A.C. 7:26E	Yes	[]No
12.	Were samples biased toward the most contaminated areas using field Instruments and/or visual and olfactory observations?	[XYes	[]No
	How was this accomplished?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
13.	If only TPHC samples taken, were samples >1000mg/kg run for VOA+10?	[X]Yes	[]No
14.	Was the vertical and horizontal extent of soil contamination delineated prior to re-	mediation?	
	Explain: Upon removal of tark stained soils observed, removed until plear	[]Yes	[]No
	observed, removed until plear		<u>.</u>

•	Fully describe the method of remediation? Source Removal and Disposal [X] Free Product removal by Vacuum Truck [Other:	J	
	If excavation was performed, what was the depth of the bottom of the excavation?	n 8	feet.
	What is the approximate depth to saturated zone (seasonally high water table)?	10.5	feet.
	Is the Site Tidally Impacted?	[]Yes	[X]No
	What is the percentage of silt/clay in the soil between the contaminant and the satu How was this determined?	irated zone?	vailable
	Was ground water present in the excavation?	[X]Yes	[]No
	If yes, was there a sheen observed on ground water?	YYes	
	Are there any Public Supply Wells within 2,000 feet of confirmed soil contamination? How was this determined? Well Search: []	[]Yes	[]No
	Was contaminated soil removed from the site?	[]Yes	[]No
	How much soil was removed? tons/entire yards (circle one) To what facility was the soil taken? solve storage / soil Safe Date taken:		
	Were the analytical results for all soil post excavation/remediation samples below the Department's Function 2008 residential soil cleanup criteria?	∭Yes	[]No

If No, describe in detail in Comments Page 6

[]Yes	ĮΧJNο
[]No	[V]NA
[]No	[X]NA
[]No	[X]NA
[]No	[X]NA
[]No	[K]NA
[]No	
[]No	[XINA
[X]Yes	
ι	/
YYes	[]No
[X]Yes	[]No
	•
[X]Yes	[]No
? []Yes	o/No
[]Yes	[X[NA
[]Yes	[]No
	[]No []Yes []Yes []Yes []Yes []Yes

•	Are there environmentally sensitive natural resources within or surrounding the property?	[]Yes	[]No
	Are there potential contaminant migration pathways present?	[]Yes	[]No
	Were potential ecological impacts identified?	[]Yes	[]No
	Is a BEE planned to be completed for this site?	[]Yes	[]No
25.	Was the site restored in accordance to N. J.A.C. 7:26E-6.4(b)?	[X]Yes	[]No
26.	Was the remedial investigation/action report prepared in accordance with N.J.A.C. 7:26E?	Yes	[]No
27.	Remediation completed date:h\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		
28.	Are there currently, or have there ever been, any Deed Notices or Declarations of Environmental Restriction pursuant to N.J.S.A. 58:10B-1 et seq. and N.J.A.C. 7:26E-1 et seq. for the Site?	[]Yes	[X]No
	If yes, Attach a copy of the Deed Notice or Declaration of Environmental Restrict	ion.	
29.	Has NJDEP ever issued a no further action letter ("NFA") for any portion of the Site?	[]Yes	[\Mo
	NFA ISSUED: 1/10/2003		/
	If Yes, in accordance with N.J.S.A. 58:10B-13(e), is there an order of magnitude difference between the currently applicable remediation standard or criterion and the contaminant level approved under such previously issued NFA?	Yes	[]No
31.	Subcontractors employed during the investigation/remediation (list all):		
	Name/Address:CUTE INC.		
	Name/Address: SMC		
	Name/Address:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
,	Name/Address:	.	
	Name/Address:		
COM	MENTS:		

7

PINT LEGIBLE.

Site Investigation - Remedial Investigation/Action Report Checklist: DATE: Soil Contamination currently exists on site []Yes []NA GW Contamination currently exists on site []NA []Yes Contaminated Soil Disposal Receipt (fully executed manifest) []NA []Yes []NA Tank Disposal Certificate []No Tank Contents Disposal Receipt (fully executed manifest) []No []NA Fill was "certified clean" in accordance with N.J.A.C.7:26E-6.4 []No []NA Scaled site map with AOCs and north arrow []No []NA Sample Results Summary Tables (N.J.A.C.7:26E-4.8) []No []NA Laboratory was certified to perform the required tests []No []NA Chain of Custody forms submitted []No Signed laboratory deliverables checklist and Non-Conformance Summaries submitted ΊNο []NA Problems identified in the laboratory deliverables checklist and Non-Conformance summaries []No []NA Holding times were met for all analyses []No []NA /]Yes MDLs below most stringent soil cleanup criteria []NA []No Laboratory sample summary submitted []NA []No QA/QC package (reduced deliverables) submitted X]Yes []No []NA [X]Yes VOC soil samples methanol preserved (sample weights included) []No []NA Electronic data package (home heating oil tanks exempt) []Yes []No Well search submitted []Yes $[]N_0$ Baseline Environmental Evaluation (home heating oil tanks exempt) []Yes []No X]NA Closure approval notification enclosed]No []NA No Further Action letter(s) enclosed []No []NA