

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

HEADQUARTERS, U.S. ARMY GARRISON FORT MONMOUTH FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY 07703-5101



August 26, 2009

From:

Howard M. Syvarth, TVS Hydrogeologist

To:

UST file

Subject:

UST Closure Reports for Building 276/Tank Registration #: 90010-23

Enclosed are the file review sheets for the closure of the Underground Storage Tank(s) (USTs) located at the above referenced location. The UST was removed on June 21, 1996, and assigned a DICAR number of 96-06-21-1331-05.

After performing a file review to include submittals to NJDEP, I have determined that the tank closure and the subsequent report meet the minimum requirements established by NJDEP and as such are considered to be closed by both NJDEP and the Directorate of Public Works, US Army Garrison Fort Monmouth.

This document serves as the official site closure. All supporting documentation for the closure of this site can be found in the UST folder located in the library archive in Bldg. 173, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey.

Any questions regarding the information found in this or any other UST folder should be directed to:

Howard M. Syvarth
TECOM-Vinnell Services (TVS)
Staff Hydrogeologist, UST program
Directorate of Public Works, Ft. Monmouth, NJ

Email: Howard.M.Syvarth@us.army.mil

Sincerely),

Howard M. Syvarth

TVS Staff Hydrogeologist

Mr. Charles Appleby Subsurface Evaluator NJDEP #9974 Environmental Protection Specialist Directorate of Public Works

Site Name: 276 -	NFA ISSUED: 10/23/2000	d
Is the UST Residential: NO	File Review Date: 11 5 08 By: Ward My My	
There is / are UST(s) located at this site.	DJ. MONTANA SIJOSTANO	
This NJDEP UST Registration # is: 90010 - 23 to		
This UST was 1000 Gallons in size, was made of STEEL and	d contained #2 FUEL OIL.	
The UST was installed in 1934 and removed by TVS	on 6/21/1996	. A
Standard Reporting Form was sent to the NJDEP on	NJDEP Closure # Fed	d. Case Mgr.
Subsurface Evaluator: <i>E. Lesinski</i>	NJDEP #	•
A Site Investigation Report was completed by		EP on <i>9/11/2000</i>
The Revised Status as of completed		is:
The Current UST Database Comments are: SAI inspected U	IST, and left 168 gallons of wast	e in UST, 9-13-
94. Closure Report submitted to NJDEP 09/11/00. NJDEP Closure Revised Comments as of 1/5/08 completely appears to be in under		
Database updated on by		•
Database updated onby Remedial Phases: [] PA	[] RAW [] CEA	[X] NFA
[] Project transferred to the Fo	rt Monmouth Restoration	Program
Drose of # Trans	•	

Has the property been or is the property currently the subject of any remediation with NJDEP oversight?	[]Yes	[]No
If Yes, provide the following:		
Case Number 96-6-21-1331-05		
Case Lead (US Army) E. LesiNSKi		
Case Manager (NJDEP)		
Case Status Data base " Case Closed , As of this date:		
Was a Preliminary Assessment (PA) performed in accordance with N.J.A.C. 7: 26E-3.1?	[]Yes	[X]No
Were any Areas of Concern (AOCs) identified? If yes proceed to question 4. If no, proceed to Check List (page 8).	[X]Yes	[]No
Were any potentially contaminated AOCs identified?	[\sumsilon]Yes	[]No
List and describe all potentially contaminated AOCs.	,	
UST Area [] Underground Piping Area [] UST	Fill Area	
	•	
List and describe all AOCs subject to the 7:26E Regulations. UST Area [X] Underground Piping Area [X] Dispenser Area [] UST	Fill Area [\dagger]	
Was a discharge of a hazardous substance, contaminant or pollutant identified?		 []No
	remediation with NJDEP oversight? If Yes, provide the following: Case Number 96-6-21-1331-05 Case Lead (US Army)	If Yes, provide the following: Case Number 96-6-21-1331-05 Case Lead (US Army)

How was the discharge identified? (check all that apply)		
Sample Analysis [X] Olfactory [] Visual X Record/ Loss of Product [] Field Analys	is[]
Other [] (specify)		
		·)
What was the source of the discharge?		
UST Area [\ Underground Piping Area [] Dispenser Area [] UST	Fill Area []	
Were any of the following conditions present? (Check all that apply.)		
Soil Staining [X] Distressed or Dead Vegetation [] Product Entering S		
Product Entering Basement [] Off-site Migration [] Product Observed of	on Surface Wate	er[]
Other [] (specify)		
Were samples collected in accordance with the provisions of N.J.A.C. 7:26E and the Department's applicable Field Sampling Procedures Manual?	[]Yes	[]No
TPHC [X] VOA+10 [] BN+15 [] lead []	PP+40 []	
Other:		
Were soil samples collected at the appropriate depth as per N.J.A.C. 7:26E	[V]Yes	[]No
Were samples biased toward the most contaminated areas using field Instruments and/or visual and olfactory observations?	[\sqrt{]Yes} [\sqrt{]Yes}	[]No
How was this accomplished?		
If only TPHC samples taken, were samples >1000mg/kg run for VOA+10?	[]Yes	[X]No
Was the vertical and horizontal extent of soil contamination delineated prior to r	emediation?	
Explain: soils removed based on usual	[]Yes	[X]No
hand so the state of		

=	describe the method of remediation?		
Sour	ce Removal and Disposal Free Product removal by Vacuum Truck []	
Othe	r:	, 	_
If exc	avation was performed, what was the depth of the bottom of the excavation?	~7	feet.
What i	is the approximate depth to saturated zone (seasonally high water table)?	77	feet.
How v	vas this determined? Observed Measurement [X] Monitoring Well []		
Other			
Is the	Site Tidally Impacted?	[]Yes	· [-]No
	. How was this determined?	[Not	Available
Was o	round water present in the excavation?	[]Yes	IXINO
_	, was there a sheen observed on ground water?	[]Yes	[]No
	ere any Public Supply Wells within 2,000 feet of confirmed ontamination?	[]Yes	[X]No
How	was this determined? Well Search : []		
Was c	ontaminated soil removed from the site?	[Ves	[]No
How r	nuch soil was removed? tons/cubic yards (circle one) at facility was the soil taken? on-site strage soul Soft	i	
To wh	at facility was the soil taken? on-site Strage foul soft		
Date to	aken:		
		·	
	the analytical results for all soil post excavation/remediation samples the Department's June 2008 residential soil cleanup criteria?	Yes	[]No

	If No, describe in detail in Comments Page 6			•	(,
22.	Was this an investigation pertaining to a non-regulated heating oil to Fort Monmouth Database: NO If yes, complete the following, If no go to 23	tank?		[]Yes		(]No
	Do on-site structures have a basement/crawl space?			[]Yes	[]No
	Was staining observed on the basement/crawl space walls or floor	? []Ye:	3	[]No	[]NA
	Were petroleum odors observed in the basement/crawl space?	[]Yes	3	[]No	[]NA
	Is a sump present in the basement/crawl space? If yes, please indicate location on site map.	[]Yes	3	[]No]NA
	Was water observed in the sump?	[]Yes	S	[]No	[]NA
	Was a sheen observed on the water in sump?	[]Yes	3	[]No	[]NA
	If there was no water in the sump was the base of the sump invest for a petroleum discharge?	igated []Yes	•	[]No	E]ŃA
	If the sump was investigated, was a discharge observed?	[]Yes		[]No	[]NA
23.	Is a regulated underground storage tank the subject of the remedia	tion?		X]Yes	[]No
	If yes, complete the following, If no, go to 24					
	Was a closure approval or 14 day notification obtained prior to the closure of the tank? List Closure Approval/Notification Numbers NJDEP Closure # 1		e Mgr.	Yes	· []No
	Was the closure or any remediation performed by an individual and firm certified in closure and subsurface evaluation?			Yes	[]No
	Subsurface Evaluator: E. Lesinski					
	Individual certification number:		<u>.</u>			
	Firm certification number:					
	Was an Underground Storage Tank Facility Certification Question completed and submitted "delisting" the subject tanks?	naire]	X]Yes	[]No
24.	Was a Baseline Ecological Evaluation conducted pursuant to N.J.A	.C 7:26E	E?)	Yes	[]No
	If No, was the BEE a regulatory requirement at the time? (UST removed prior to 1997 or the UST is Residential?)			Yes	[]NA
	If Yes, is there a contaminant of concern present?			\[]Yes	[]No

5

	Are there environmentally sensitive natural resources within or surrounding the property?	[]Yes	[]No
	Are there potential contaminant migration pathways present?	[]Yes	[]No
	Were potential ecological impacts identified?	[]Yes	[]No
	Is a BEE planned to be completed for this site?	[]Yes	[]No
25.	Was the site restored in accordance to N. J.A.C. 7:26E-6.4(b)?	[X]Yes	[]No
26.	Was the remedial investigation/action report prepared in accordance with N.J.A.C. 7:26E?	[X]Yes	[]No
27.	Remediation completed date: 02190	,	
28.	Are there currently, or have there ever been, any Deed Notices or Declarations of Environmental Restriction pursuant to N.J.S.A. 58:10B-1 et seq. and N.J.A.C. 7:26E-1 et seq. for the Site?	[]Yes	[X]No
	If yes, Attach a copy of the Deed Notice or Declaration of Environmental Restrict	ion.	/ \
29.	Has NJDEP ever issued a no further action letter ("NFA") for any portion of the Site?	[X]Yes	[]No
	NFA ISSUED: 10/23/2000	')	
	If Yes, in accordance with N.J.S.A. 58:10B-13(e), is there an order of magnitude difference between the currently applicable remediation standard or criterion and the contaminant level approved under such previously issued NFA?	[]Yes	No
31.	Subcontractors employed during the investigation/remediation (list all):		
	Name/Address:TVS		-
	Name/Address:		
CON	MMENTS:		

	PRNT LEGIBLE,
	-
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
·	
	·
	,
· ·	
·	
-	
·	· ·

Site Investigation - Remedial Investigation/Action Report Che	cklist: DATE:		
Soil Contamination currently exists on site	J Yes	[X]No	[X]NA
GW Contamination currently exists on site	[]Yes	[X]No	ĵXjna
Contaminated Soil Disposal Receipt (fully executed manifest)	[]Yes	[X]No	[XNA
Tank Disposal Certificate	X]Yes	[]No	[]NA
Tank Contents Disposal Receipt (fully executed manifest)	[X]Yes	[]No	[]NA
• Fill was "certified clean" in accordance with N.J.A.C.7:26E-6.4	[X]Yes	[]No	[]NA
Scaled site map with AOCs and north arrow	[X]Yes	[]No	[]NA
• Sample Results Summary Tables (N.J.A.C.7:26E-4.8)	[X]Yes	[]Ņo	[]NA
Laboratory was certified to perform the required tests	[X]Yes	[]No	[]NA
Chain of Custody forms submitted	[X]Yes	[]No	[]NA
 Signed laboratory deliverables checklist and Non-Conformance Summaries submitted 	[\(\frac{1}{2}\)Yes	[]No	[]NA
 Problems identified in the laboratory deliverables checklist and Non-Conformance summaries 	[ズ]Yes	[]No	[]NA
Holding times were met for all analyses	[X]Yes	[]No	[]NA
MDLs below most stringent soil cleanup criteria	[X]Yes	[]No	[]NA
Laboratory sample summary submitted	[X]Yes	[]No	[]NA
QA/QC package (reduced deliverables) submitted	[X]Yes	[]No	[]NA
VOC soil samples methanol preserved (sample weights included)	[X]Yes	[]No	[]NA
• Electronic data package (home heating oil tanks exempt)	[★]Yes	[]No	[]NA
Well search submitted	[\(\frac{1}{2}\)Yes	[]No	[]NA
Baseline Environmental Evaluation (home heating oil tanks exempt)	$[X]_{Yes}$	[]No	[]NA
Closure approval notification enclosed	[X]Yes	[]No	[]NA
No Further Action letter(s) enclosed	Yes	[]No	[]NA
	•		