

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

HEADQUARTERS, U.S. ARMY GARRISON FORT MONMOUTH FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY 07703-5101



August 26, 2009

From:

Howard M. Syvarth, TVS Hydrogeologist

To:

UST file

Subject:

UST Closure Reports for Building 906A/Tank Registration #: 81533-146

Enclosed are the file review sheets for the closure of the Underground Storage Tank(s) (USTs) located at the above referenced location. After performing a file review to include submittals to NJDEP, I have determined that the tank closure and the subsequent report meet the minimum requirements established by NJDEP and as such are considered to be closed by both NJDEP and the Directorate of Public Works, US Army Garrison Fort Monmouth.

This document serves as the official site closure. All supporting documentation for the closure of this site can be found in the UST folder located in the library archive in Bldg. 173, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey.

Any questions regarding the information found in this or any other UST folder should be directed to:

Howard M. Syvarth TECOM-Vinnell Services (TVS)

Staff Hydrogeologist, UST program

Directorate of Public Works, Ft. Monmouth, NJ

Email: Howard.M.Syvarth@us.army.mil

Sincerely,

Howard M. Syvarth

-PVS Staff Hydrogeologist

Mr. Charles Appleby

Subsurface Evaluator NJDEP #9974

Environmental Protection Specialist

Directorate of Public Works

| Site Name: 906 - A | CASE STATUS: Case Clos NFA ISSUED: File Reyjew Date: 10/14/0 | |
|--|--|------------------|
| Is the UST Residential: NO | By: Howard Syvalo | W. |
| There is / are UST(s) located at this site. | 7/200 | |
| This NJDEP UST Registration # is: 81533 - 146 to | 0 | · |
| This UST was 1000 Gallons in size, was made of STEEL ar | nd contained #2 FUEL OIL. | |
| The UST was installed in 1954 and removed by | on 6/26/1990 | A Standard |
| Reporting Form was sent to the NJDEP on 11/22/91 | NJDEP Closure# | |
| Subsurface Evaluator: D. Desai | NJDEP # | |
| A Site Investigation Report was completed by SMC | and submitted to the | NJDEP on |
| The Current Status is: Removed; Report Submitted/Not Nec. | | |
| The Revised Status as of completed | d by | is: |
| The Current UST Database Comments are: No contaminate sampling for TPHC to confirm. Site closed by NJDEP; need Compensed Comments as of 10/4/68 compensed Compensed Compensed Compensed of Sugarding Sugarbuital for WDEP. | ion observed; no samples taken. | . Recommend soil |
| | | |
| Database updated on by | | |
| Remedial Phases: [] PA [] SI [] RI | [] RAW [] CEA | [] NFA |
| [] Project transferred to the Fo | ort Monmouth Restoration | n Program |
| Project # FTMM | | |

| Has the property been or is the property currently the subject of any remediation with NJDEP oversight? | []Yes | I W |
|---|--------------------|-------------|
| If Yes, provide the following: | | - |
| Case Number | | |
| Case Lead (US Army) | | |
| Case Manager (NJDEP) | · · | |
| Case Status Data base " Case Closed , As of this date: | <u> </u> | |
| Was a Preliminary Assessment (PA) performed in accordance with N.J.A.C. 7: 26E-3.1? | []Yes | JN |
| Were any Areas of Concern (AOCs) identified? If yes proceed to question 4. If no, proceed to Check List (page 8). | Yes | []N |
| Were any potentially contaminated AOCs identified? | [\Yes | []N |
| List and describe all potentially contaminated AOCs. | , , | |
| UST Area [] Underground Piping Area [] Dispenser Area [] U | UST Fill Area [] | |
| | | |
| ' | | - |
| List and describe all AOCs subject to the 7:26E Regulations. UST Area [] Underground Piping Area [] Dispenser Area [] U | UST Fill Area [] | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Was a discharge of a hazardous substance, contaminant or pollutant identifi | ied? []Yes | N/N |
| If so, was the discharge to: (check all that apply) | | |
| Soil [] Ground Water [] Surface Water [] Ecologically S | Sensitive Area [] | |
| Other [] (specify) | | |

| 7. | How was the discharge identified? (check all that apply) | | |
|-----|--|-----------------|------------------|
| | Sample Analysis [] Olfactory [] Visual [] Record/ Loss of Product [|] Field Analysi | s[] |
| | Other [] (specify) | | |
| | | | |
| 8. | What was the source of the discharge? | | |
| | UST Area [] Underground Piping Area [] Dispenser Area [] UST | Fill Area [] | |
| | | | ····· |
| | | | e |
| 9. | Were any of the following conditions present? (Check all that apply.) | | |
| | Soil Staining [] Distressed or Dead Vegetation [] Product Entering S | Storm Sewer [] | |
| | Product Entering Basement [] Off-site Migration [] Product Observed | on Surface Wate | r[] |
| | Other [] (specify) | | <u>.</u> |
| | | | |
| 10. | Were samples collected in accordance with the provisions of N.J.A.C. 7:26E | Г 137 | L XIX |
| | and the Department's applicable Field Sampling Procedures Manual? | []Yes | NAMO |
| | TPHC[] VOA+10[] BN+15[] lead[] | PP+40 [] | |
| | Other: | | |
| | | | _ |
| 11. | Were soil samples collected at the appropriate depth as per N.J.A.C. 7:26E | []Yes | 0N[X] |
| 12. | Were samples biased toward the most contaminated areas using field | | ′ , |
| 14. | Instruments and/or visual and olfactory observations? | []Yes | |
| | | _ | |
| | How was this accomplished? | | , |
| | | | _/ |
| 13. | If only TPHC samples taken, were samples >1000mg/kg run for VOA+10? | []Yes | XINo |
| | | | |
| 14. | Was the vertical and horizontal extent of soil contamination delineated prior to | | \sqrt{n} |
| | de ti da la | []Yes | [\rightarrow]INO |
| | Explain: as far as documentation shows, no remeders required. | mart | |
| | coas reguned. | | |

| How was this determined? Observed Measurement [] Monitoring Well [] Other: Is the Site Tidally Impacted? []Yes [What is the percentage of silt/clay in the soil between the contaminant and the saturated zone? How was this determined? [] Not Availa Was ground water present in the excavation? []Yes [If yes, was there a sheen observed on ground water? []Yes [Are there any Public Supply Wells within 2,000 feet of confirmed soil contamination? []Yes [How was this determined? Well Search : [] Was contaminated soil removed from the site? []Yes [How much soil was removed? tons/cubic yards (circle one) | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|----------|--------|----|
| What is the approximate depth to saturated zone (seasonally high water table)? How was this determined? Observed Measurement [] Monitoring Well [] Other: Is the Site Tidally Impacted? What is the percentage of silt/clay in the soil between the contaminant and the saturated zone? How was this determined? [] Not Availa Was ground water present in the excavation? If yes, was there a sheen observed on ground water? Are there any Public Supply Wells within 2,000 feet of confirmed soil contamination? [] Yes | | | | | |
| How was this determined? Observed Measurement [] Monitoring Well [] Other: Is the Site Tidally Impacted? [] Yes [What is the percentage of silt/clay in the soil between the contaminant and the saturated zone? | If excavation was performed, what was the depth of the bottom of the excavation? | | | fe | et |
| Other: Is the Site Tidally Impacted? []Yes [What is the percentage of silt/clay in the soil between the contaminant and the saturated zone? | What is the approximate depth to saturated zone (seasonally high water table)? | | | fe | et |
| What is the percentage of silt/clay in the soil between the contaminant and the saturated zone? | | | | · ···· | |
| . How was this determined? [] Not Availa Was ground water present in the excavation? [] Yes [If yes, was there a sheen observed on ground water? [] Yes [Are there any Public Supply Wells within 2,000 feet of confirmed soil contamination? [] Yes [How was this determined? Well Search: [] Was contaminated soil removed from the site? [] Yes [How much soil was removed? | Is the Site Tidally Impacted? | [|]Yes | [|] |
| Was ground water present in the excavation? If yes, was there a sheen observed on ground water? Are there any Public Supply Wells within 2,000 feet of confirmed soil contamination? How was this determined? Well Search: Was contaminated soil removed from the site? How much soil was removed? Investigation of the site of the | | | | | |
| If yes, was there a sheen observed on ground water? Are there any Public Supply Wells within 2,000 feet of confirmed soil contamination? []Yes [] How was this determined? Well Search : [] Was contaminated soil removed from the site? []Yes [] How much soil was removed? | • | | | | |
| If yes, was there a sheen observed on ground water? Are there any Public Supply Wells within 2,000 feet of confirmed soil contamination? []Yes [] How was this determined? Well Search : [] Was contaminated soil removed from the site? []Yes [] How much soil was removed? | Was ground water present in the excavation? | [|]Yes | [|] |
| Soil contamination? How was this determined? Well Search: [] Was contaminated soil removed from the site? How much soil was removed?tons/cubic yards (circle one) | | [|]Yes | [|] |
| Was contaminated soil removed from the site? []Yes [How much soil was removed? tons/cubic yards (circle one) | | E |]Yes | E |] |
| How much soil was removed?tons/cubic yards (circle one) | How was this determined? Well Search: [] | | <u> </u> | | |
| | Was contaminated soil removed from the site? | [|]Yes | [|] |
| To what facility was the soil taken? | How much soil was removed?tons/cubic yards (circle one) | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | To what facility was the soil taken? | | | | |
| Date taken: | | | | | |

| | If No, describe in detail in Comments Page 6 | | | , |
|-----|---|--------------------|--------|-------|
| 22. | Was this an investigation pertaining to a non-regulated heating oil Fort Monmouth Database:: NO If yes, complete the following, If no go to 23 | tank? | []Yes | Νο |
| | Do on-site structures have a basement/crawl space? | | []Yes | []No |
| | Was staining observed on the basement/crawl space walls or floo | r? []Yes | []No | []NA |
| | Were petroleum odors observed in the basement/crawl space? | []Yes | []No | []NA |
| | Is a sump present in the basement/crawl space? If yes, please indicate location on site map. | []Yes | []No | []NA |
| | Was water observed in the sump? | []Yes | []No | []NA |
| | Was a sheen observed on the water in sump? | []Yes | []No | []NA |
| | If there was no water in the sump was the base of the sump investor a petroleum discharge? | stigated [-]Yes | []No | []NA |
| | If the sump was investigated, was a discharge observed? | []Yes | []No | []NA |
| 23. | Is a regulated underground storage tank the subject of the remedi- | ation? | Yes | []No |
| | If yes, complete the following, If no, go to 24 | | | |
| | Was a closure approval or 14 day notification obtained prior to the closure of the tank? List Closure Approval/Notification Numbers NJDEP Closure # | | []Yes | MNo |
| | Was the closure or any remediation performed by an individual and firm certified in closure and subsurface evaluation? | | [XYes | []No |
| | Subsurface Evaluator: D. Desai | | | |
| | Individual certification number: | | _ | |
| | Firm certification number: | | _ | |
| | Was an Underground Storage Tank Facility Certification Questio completed and submitted "delisting" the subject tanks? | nnaire | []Yes | No |
| 24. | Was a Baseline Ecological Evaluation conducted pursuant to N.J. | A.C 7:26E? | []Yes | o//\\ |
| | If No, was the BEE a regulatory requirement at the time? (UST removed prior to 1997 or the UST is Residential?) | | []Yes | MA |
| | If Yes, is there a contaminant of concern present? | | []Yes | [/]No |
| | | | | |

| | Are there environmentally sensitive natural resources within or surrounding the property? | []Yes | []No |
|-----|---|--------|--------------------|
| | Are there potential contaminant migration pathways present? | []Yes | []No |
| | Were potential ecological impacts identified? | []Yes | []No |
| | Is a BEE planned to be completed for this site? | []Yes | []No |
| 25. | Was the site restored in accordance to N. J.A.C. 7:26E-6.4(b)? | Yes | []No |
| 26. | Was the remedial investigation/action report prepared in accordance with N.J.A.C. 7:26E? | []Yes | No |
| 27. | Remediation completed date: | | |
| 28. | Are there currently, or have there ever been, any Deed Notices or Declarations of Environmental Restriction pursuant to N.J.S.A. 58:10B-1 et seq. and N.J.A.C. 7:26E-1 et seq. for the Site? | []Yes | [X _I No |
| | If yes, Attach a copy of the Deed Notice or Declaration of Environmental Restrict | ion. | |
| | | | \ , |
| 29. | Has NJDEP ever issued a no further action letter ("NFA") for any portion of the Site? | []Yes | $[X]_{No}$ |
| | NFA ISSUED: | | |
| | If Yes, in accordance with N.J.S.A. 58:10B-13(e), is there an order of magnitude difference between the currently applicable remediation standard or criterion and the contaminant level approved under such previously issued NFA? | []Yes | []No |
| 31. | Subcontractors employed during the investigation/remediation (list all): | | |
| | Name/Address: | | |
| | Name/Address: SMC | | |
| | Name/Address: | | |
| | Name/Address: | | |
| | | | |
| COM | Name/Address: | | |

| - a report | |
|--|----------------|
| - no report - no information regarding tor - no abalistical results ? - no tanh receipt | h Nemovar |
| no avalotical acculta | 1 |
| - no tanh pealent | |
| - The wind the state of the sta | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | · |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| · | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | · |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | PIRNT LEGIBLE. |

| S | ite Investigation - Remedial Investigation/Action Report Check | dist: DATE: | | |
|---|--|-------------|---|-----------------|
| • | Soil Contamination currently exists on site | []Yes | []No | Ø jna |
| • | GW Contamination currently exists on site | []Yes | []No | [X]NA |
| • | Contaminated Soil Disposal Receipt (fully executed manifest) | []Yes | []No | NA |
| • | Tank Disposal Certificate | []Yes | ONIXI | []NA |
| • | Tank Contents Disposal Receipt (fully executed manifest) | []Yes | Į√[No | []NA |
| • | Fill was "certified clean" in accordance with N.J.A.C.7:26E-6.4 | []Yes | 1XINO | []NA |
| • | Scaled site map with AOCs and north arrow | []Yes | [XNo | []NA |
| • | Sample Results Summary Tables (N.J.A.C.7:26E-4.8) | []Yes | [X]No | [.]NA |
| • | Laboratory was certified to perform the required tests | []Yes | ₹\No | [NA |
| • | Chain of Custody forms submitted | []Yes | [XNo | [XÎNA |
| • | Signed laboratory deliverables checklist and Non-Conformance Summaries submitted | []Yes | Mo | [XINA |
| • | Problems identified in the laboratory deliverables checklist and Non-Conformance summaries | []Yes | IXINo . | AVK] |
| • | Holding times were met for all analyses | []Yes | t Jaro | 4×MV |
| • | MDLs below most stringent soil cleanup criteria | []Yes | [XN0 | [XNA |
| • | Laboratory sample summary submitted | []Yes | []************************************* | [\dag{\dag{NA}} |
| • | QA/QC package (reduced deliverables) submitted | []Yes | [ANo | I/JNA |
| • | VOC soil samples methanol preserved (sample weights included) | []Yes | [X]No | MINA |
| • | Electronic data package (home heating oil tanks exempt) | []Yes | [X[No | [XNA |
| • | Well search submitted | []Yes | [XINO | IXINA |
| • | Baseline Environmental Evaluation (home heating oil tanks exempt) | []Yes | [XINo | ANK] |
| • | Closure approval notification enclosed | []Yes | OMKI | []NA |
| • | No Further Action letter(s) enclosed | []Yes | [XINO | []NA |