

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

HEADQUARTERS, U.S. ARMY GARRISON FORT MONMOUTH FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY 07703-5101



November 16, 2010

From:

Howard M. Syvarth, TVS Hydrogeologist

To:

UST file

Subject:

UST Closure Reports for Building 290C/Tank Registration #: 81533-193

Enclosed are the file review sheets for the closure of the Underground Storage Tank(s) (USTs) located at the above referenced location.

After reviewing the Roy F. Weston Closure Report which indicated that no release had occurred and according to the database, the closure report was submitted to NJDEP on 11/16/1993. No DICAR number was associated with this location. No NFA was issued by NJDEP at the time, none was warranted due to the lack of a discharge.

This document serves as the official site closure. All supporting documentation for the closure of this site can be found in the UST folder located in the library archive in Bldg. 173, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey

Any questions regarding the information found in this or any other UST folder should be directed to:

Howard M. Syvarth

TECOM-Vinnell Services (TVS)

Staff Hydrogeologist, UST program

Directorate of Public Works, Ft. Monmouth, NJ

Email: Howard.M.Syvarth@us.army.mil

Sincerely,

Howard M. Syvarth

TVS Staff Hydrogeologist

Mr. Charles Appleby

Subsurface Evaluator NJDEP #9974

Environmental Protection Specialist

Directorate of Public Works



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

HEADQUARTERS, U.S. ARMY GARRISON FORT MONMOUTH FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY 07703-5101



August 26, 2009

From:

Howard M. Syvarth, TVS Hydrogeologist

To:

UST file

Subject:

UST Closure Reports for Building 290C/Tank Registration #: 81533-193

Enclosed are the file review sheets for the closure of the Underground Storage Tank(s) (USTs) located at the above referenced location.

No information exists in the UST file regarding the status of this tank removal. According to the database, the closure report was submitted to NJDEP on 11/16/1993. No DICAR number was associated with this location.

This document serves as the official site closure. All supporting documentation for the closure of this site can be found in the UST folder located in the library archive in Bldg. 173, Directorate of Public Works, US Army Garrison Fort Monmouth, New Jersey.

Any questions regarding the information found in this or any other UST folder should be directed to:

Howard M. Syvarth
TECOM-Vinnell Services (TVS)
Staff Hydrogeologist, UST program
Directorate of Public Works, Ft. Monmouth, NJ
Email: Howard.M.Syvarth@us.army.mil

Sincerely,

Howard M. Syvarth

TVS Staff Hydrogeologist

Mr. Charles Appleby Subsurface Evaluator NJDEP #9974 Environmental Protection Specialist Directorate of Public Works

Site Name: 290 - C	NFA ISSUED:
Is the UST Residential: NO	File Review Date:
There is / are UST(s) located at this site.	- January State of the state of
This NJDEP UST Registration # is: 81533 - 193 to)
This UST was 550 Gallons in size, was made of FRP and co	ontained WASTE OIL.
The UST was installed in 1982 and removed by FAB	ANO DEH BPAon
12/17/1991 A Standard Reporting Form was s	ent to the NJDEP on YES
NJDEP Closure # NJDEPE DHWM	
Subsurface Evaluator: C. Appleby	NJDEP #
A Site Investigation Report was completed by WESTON	and submitted to the NJDEP on
11/16/1993	•
The Current Status is: Removed; Report Submitted/Not Nec.	
The Revised Status as of 4/16/10 completed of completed no release noted.	1 by Howard Syverth is:
- Case closed no release noted.	
The Current UST Database Comments are: No release note	d. Closure Report requesting No Further Action
dated October 1993.	
The Revised Comments as of ////8/08 comp	leted by Howard Syvanth is:
NO REPORT IN FILE FOR REVIO	V. NOTHING IN the DIO
UST PILE FOR 290C.	. ,
- Could not Pevison Ale/Repor	t - Report found 11/16/10 - NO
Database updated on by	Nellases/drocharge
Remedial Phases: [] PA	[] RAW [] CEA [] NFA
[] Project transferred to the Fo	ort Monmouth Restoration Program
Project # FTMM	·

Has the property been or is the property currently the subjremediation with NJDEP oversight?	ect of any	[]Yes	
If Yes, provide the following:			
Case Number			
Case Lead (US Army)			
Case Manager (NJDEP)			
Case Status Data base " Case Closed , As of this date:	· .		
Was a Preliminary Assessment (PA) performed in accordance N.J.A.C. 7: 26E-3.1?	ance with	[]Yes	[>]No
Were any Areas of Concern (AOCs) identified? If yes proceed to question 4. If no, proceed to Check List ((page 8).	[]Yes	MNo
Were any potentially contaminated AOCs identified?		[]Yes	No
List and describe all potentially contaminated AOCs.	,		
UST Area [] Underground Piping Area [] Dispens	er Area [] UST Fi	ll Area []	
		•	
List and describe all AOCs subject to the 7:26E Regulation UST Area [] Underground Piping Area [] Dispens	er Area [] UST Fi		
		•	
Was a discharge of a hazardous substance, contaminant or If so, was the discharge to: (check all that apply)	pollutant identified?	[]Yes	[]No
Soil [] Ground Water [] Surface Water []	Ecologically Sensitiv	re Area []	
Other [] (specify)	<i>y</i>	LJ	

7.	How was the discharge identified? (check all that apply)		
	Sample Analysis [] Olfactory [] Visual [] Record/Loss of Product [
	Other [] (specify)	·	<u>.</u>
8.	What was the source of the discharge?		
	UST Area [] Underground Piping Area [] Dispenser Area [] UST F		
	·····/		
9.	Were any of the following conditions present? (Check all that apply.)		
	Soil Staining [] Distressed or Dead Vegetation [] Product Entering St	orm Sewer []	
	Product Entering Basement [] Off-site Migration [] Product Observed o		
	Other [] (specify)	•	
10.	Were samples collected in accordance with the provisions of N.J.A.C. 7:26E and the Department's applicable Field Sampling Procedures Manual?	[X]Yes	[]No
	TPHC[] VOA+10[] BN+15[] lead[]	PP+40 [X]	
,	Other:		
ı 1	Were soil samples collected at the appropriate depth as per N.J.A.C. 7:26E	[Yes	[]No
11.			. [_]140
2.	Were samples biased toward the most contaminated areas using field Instruments and/or visual and olfactory observations?	Yes	[]No
	How was this accomplished?	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
ļ3.	If only TPHC samples taken, were samples >1000mg/kg run for VOA+10?	[]Yes	[]No
14.	Was the vertical and horizontal extent of soil contamination delineated prior to re-	emediation?	·
	Explain: NA Release not fourf/observed.	[]Yes	[]No

,		-
f excavation was performed, what was the depth of the bottom of the excavatio	n?	feet.
What is the approximate depth to saturated zone (seasonally high water table)?		feet.
Iow was this determined? Observed Measurement [] Monitoring Well [] Other:		
s the Site Tidally Impacted?	[]Yes	[]N
What is the percentage of silt/clay in the soil between the contaminant and the s		
	F 737 . 4	
Vas ground water present in the excavation?	[]Yes	[]N
If yes, was there a sheen observed on ground water?	[·]Yes	[]N
are there any Public Supply Wells within 2,000 feet of confirmed oil contamination?	[]Yes	[]N
How was this determined? Well Search: []		
Vas contaminated soil removed from the site?	[]Yes	[]N
Now much soil was removed?tons/cubic yards (circle or	ne)	•
o what facility was the soil taken?		
Oate taken:	•	

If No, describe in detail in Comments Page 6

22.	Was this an investigation pertaining to a non-regulated heating of Fort Monmouth Database: NO If yes, complete the following, If no go to 23	l tank?	[]Yes	[]No
	Do on-site structures have a basement/crawl space?		Ţ]Yes	[]No
	Was staining observed on the basement/crawl space walls or floor	or?[]Yes	[]No]]NA
	Were petroleum odors observed in the basement/crawl space?	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
	Is a sump present in the basement/crawl space? If yes, please indicate location on site map.	[]Yes	E]No	[]NA
	Was water observed in the sump?	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
	Was a sheen observed on the water in sump?	[]Yes	. []No	[]NA
	If there was no water in the sump was the base of the sump invefor a petroleum discharge?	stigated []Yes	[]No	[]NA
	If the sump was investigated, was a discharge observed?	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA
23.	Is a regulated underground storage tank the subject of the remed	ation?	[]Yes	[]No
	If yes, complete the following, If no, go to 24					
	Was a closure approval or 14 day notification obtained prior to to closure of the tank? List Closure Approval/Notification Numbers NJDEP Closure #		_]Yes	[]No
	Was the closure or any remediation performed by an individual and firm certified in closure and subsurface evaluation?		[]Yes	. []No
	Subsurface Evaluator: C. Appleby					
	Individual certification number:					
	Firm certification number:		_			
	Was an Underground Storage Tank Facility Certification Question completed and submitted "delisting" the subject tanks?	onnaire	[]Yes	[]No
24.	Was a Baseline Ecological Evaluation conducted pursuant to N.J.	A.C 7:26E?	[]Yes	[]No
	If No, was the BEE a regulatory requirement at the time? (UST removed prior to 1997 or the UST is Residential?)]Yes	[]NA
	If Yes, is there a contaminant of concern present?		[]Yes	[]No

nvironmentally sensitive natural resources within ling the property?	E]Yes	[]No
ootential contaminant migration pathways present?	[]Yes	[]No
ntial ecological impacts identified?	[]Yes	[]No
lanned to be completed for this site?	[]Yes	[]No
e restored in accordance to N. J.A.C. 7:26E-6.4(b)?	[]Yes	[]No
nedial investigation/action report prepared nee with N.J.A.C. 7:26E?	[]Yes	[]No
n completed date:				
			•	
rrently, or have there ever been, any Deed Notices or Declarations nental Restriction pursuant to N.J.S.A. 58:10B-1 et seq. and 26E-1 et seq. for the Site?	[]Yes	[]No
ch a copy of the Deed Notice or Declaration of Environmental Restriction	on.			
ever issued a no further action letter ("NFA") for of the Site?	[]Yes	[]No
ED:				
cordance with N.J.S.A. 58:10B-13(e), is there an order of magnitude etween the currently applicable remediation standard or criterion aminant level approved under such previously issued NFA?	[]Yes	[]No
ors employed during the investigation/remediation (list all):				
ess:FABIANO DEH BPA				
ess; WESTON				
ess:				
ess:			ESTON	

Questionnaire No Released No discharge No Released Care Classe PIENT LEGIBLE.

Fort Monmouth Underground Storage Tank Assessment

Site Investigation - Remedial Investigation/Action Report Checklist: DATE:						
•	Soil Contamination currently exists on site	[]Yes	[]No	NA		
•	GW Contamination currently exists on site	[]Yes	[]No	AM		
•	Contaminated Soil Disposal Receipt (fully executed manifest)	[]Yes	[]No	[XNA		
•	Tank Disposal Certificate	[]Yes	[]No	[XNA		
•	Tank Contents Disposal Receipt (fully executed manifest)	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA		
•	Fill was "certified clean" in accordance with N.J.A.C.7:26E-6.4	[]Yes	[]No	[X]NA		
•	Scaled site map with AOCs and north arrow	[]Yes	[]No	[XINA		
•	Sample Results Summary Tables (N.J.A.C.7:26E-4.8)	. YYes	[]No	[]NA		
•	Laboratory was certified to perform the required tests	JYes	[]No	[]NA		
•	Chain of Custody forms submitted	YYes	[]No	[]NA		
•	Signed laboratory deliverables checklist and Non-Conformance Summaries submitted	1 Yes	[]No	[]NA		
•	Problems identified in the laboratory deliverables checklist and Non-Conformance summaries	[X]Yes	[]No	[]NA		
•	Holding times were met for all analyses	YYes	[]No	[]NA		
•	MDLs below most stringent soil cleanup criteria	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA		
•	Laboratory sample summary submitted	Yes	[]No	[]NA		
•	QA/QC package (reduced deliverables) submitted	[\frac{1}{2}]Yes	[]No	[]NA		
•	VOC soil samples methanol preserved (sample weights included)	Yes	[]No	[]NA		
•	Electronic data package (home heating oil tanks exempt)	[]Yes	[]No	XJNA		
•	Well search submitted	[]Yes	[]No	MA		
•	Baseline Environmental Evaluation (home heating oil tanks exempt)	[]Yes	[]No	[≯NA		
•	Closure approval notification enclosed	[]Yes	[]No	[]NA		
•	No Further Action letter(s) enclosed	[]Yes	[]No	AM		