





August 26, 2009

From

Howard M Syvarth, TVS Hydrogeologist

To

UST file

Subject

UST Closure Reports for Building 2234/Tank Registration # 81515-4

Enclosed are the file review sheets for the closure of the Underground Storage Tank(s) (USTs) located at the above referenced location. After performing a file review to include submittals to NJDEP. I have determined that the tank closure and the subsequent report meet the minimum requirements established by NJDEP and as such are considered to be closed by both NJDEP and the Directorate of Public Works, US Army Garrison Fort Monmouth

This document serves as the official site closure—All supporting documentation for the closure of this site can be found in the UST folder located in the library archive in Bldg 173. Fort Monmouth, New Jersey

Any questions regarding the information found in this or any other UST folder should be directed to

Howard M Syvarth
TECOM-Vinnell Services (TVS)
Staff Hydrogeologist, UST program
Directorate of Public Works, Ft Monmouth, NJ
Email Howard M Syvarth@us army mil

Sincerely,

Howard M Syvarth

TVS Staff Hydrogeologist

Mr Charles Appleby Subsurface Evaluator NJDEP #9974 Environmental Protection Specialist Directorate of Public Works

### Fort Monmouth UST Status Summary Report

#### **UST REGISTRATION INFORMATION SUMMARY**

LOCATION:

2234

NJDEP REG ID.

81515-4

RESIDENTIAL?

YES

#### **UST CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION SUMMARY**

SIZE (GALLONS): 550

CONSTRUCTION:

FRP

**PRODUCT:** 

#2 FUEL OIL

YEAR INSTALLED: 1985

#### UST REMOVAL/INVESTIGATION SUMMARY

REMOVAL DATE. 10/29/1999

REMOVAL CONTRACTOR: TVS

SRF SEND DATE: N/A

TMS:

DICAR NO.

LEAK DETECT: N/A

REMEDIATION

COMMENTS

11/08/94 SAI removed 0 gallons of oil, left 64 gallons of waste in tank Residential UST with no contamination and no DICAR no Closure Report

required

REGISTRATION COMMENTS:

SAS DONE.

N/A

CONSULTANT.

TVS

MWs NEEDED:

0

**MONITORING WELLS: 0** 

SUB-SURFACE

D Desai

EVALUATOR.

### **CURRENT UST STATUS**

UST STATUS: Removed, Report Submitted/Not Nec

CASE STATUS:

Case Closed

SUBMITTAL DATE:

APPROVAL DATE:

	CASE STATUS: Case Closed		
Site Name: 2234 -	NEA ISSUED:		
Is the UST Residential: YES	File Review Date: 10/24/08  By: Howard Synth		
There is / are UST(s) located at this site.			
This NJDEP UST Registration # is: 81515 - 4 to _			
This UST was 550 Gallons in size, was made of FRP and co	ontained #2 FUEL OIL.		
The UST was installed in 1985 and removed by TVS	on 10/29/1999 A		
Standard Reporting Form was sent to the NJDEP on N/A_	NJDEP Closure #		
Subsurface Evaluator: D. Desai	NJDEP #		
A Site Investigation Report was completed by TVS	and submitted to the NJDEP on		
The Current Status is Removed Report Submitted/Not Nec			
The Revised Status as of completed	1 byis:		
The Current UST Database Comments are 11/08/94 SAI retank Residential UST with no contamination and no DICAR.  The Revised Comments as of 10/04/01 comp  - NONFA USSUES non-lessing per	no Closure Roport required  pleted by follow 15:		
Database updated on by	·		
Remedial Phases: [   PA	[   RAW     CEA     NFA		
Project transferred to the Fe	ort Monmouth Restoration Program		
Project # FTMM-			

	the property been or is the property currently the subject of any education with NJOEP oversight?	[ ]Yes	XIN
lf Y€	es, provide the following		
Case	Number		
Case	Lead (US Army)		
Case i	Manager (NJDEP)		
Case :	Status Data base " Case Closed As of this date		
	Preliminary Assessment (PA) performed in accordance with C 7 26E-3 19	[ ]Yes	AJN
	any Areas of Concern (AOCs) identified? proceed to question 4 If no, proceed to Check List (page 8)	[X]Yes	7[ ]
Were	any potentially contaminated AOCs identified?	Yes	[ ]N
List ai	nd describe all potentially contaminated AOCs		
UST A	Area [ ] Underground Piping Area [ ] UST	Fill Area	
List ar UST	nd describe all AOCs subject to the 7 20E Regulations  Area [ ] Underground Piping Area [ ] UST	Fill Area	
	discharge of a hazardous substance, contaminant or pollutant identified?	[ ]Yes	
	was the discharge to (check all that apply)	[ ]. 65	90.
Soil [		tive Arca [ ]	
•	[ ] (specify)		
Other	[ ] (Specify)		

7	How was the discharge identified? (check all that apply)			
	Sample Analysis [ ] Olfactory [ ] Visual [ ] Record/ Loss of Product [ ] Field Analysis [ ]			
	Other [ ] (specify)			
8	What was the source of the discharge?			
	UST Area [ ] Underground Piping Area [ ] Dispenser Area [ ] UST	Fill Area [ ]		
9	Were any of the following conditions present? (Check all that apply)			
	Soil Staining [ ] Distressed or Dead Vegetation [ ] Product Entering S	torm Sewer [ ]		
	Product Entering Basement [ ] Off-site Migration [ ] Product Observed			
	Other [](specify)		1 L	
	Other [ ] (specify)			
10	Were samples collected in accordance with the provisions of N J A C 7 26E and the Department's applicable Field Sampling Procedures Manual?	[XYes	[ ]No	
	TPHC   VOA+10 [ ] BN+15[ ] lead [ ]	PP+40 [ ]		
	Other			
11	Were soil samples collected at the appropriate depth as per N J A C 7 26E	[X]Yes	[ ]No	
12	Were samples biased toward the most contaminated areas using field Instruments and/or visual and olfactory observations?	Yes	[ ]No	
	How was this accomplished?		<del></del>	
13	If only TPHC samples taken, were samples >1000mg/kg run for VOA+10?	[ ]Yes	ΝNο	
14	Was the vertical and horizontal extent of soil contamination delineated prior to	remediation?	\	
		[ ]Yes	No	
	Explain mone regured,		<u>,                                     </u>	

15	Fully describe the method of remediation?			
	Source Removal and Disposal [ ] Free Product removal by Vacuum Truck [ ]			
	Other			
16	If excavation was performed, what was the depth of the bottom of the excavation?	_	feet	
17	What is the approximate depth to saturated zone (seasonally high water table)?	_	feet	
	How was this determined? Observed Measurement [ ] Monitoring Well [ ]  Other			
18	Is the Site Tidally Impacted?	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	
19	What is the percentage of silt/clay in the soil between the contaminant and the satu	irated zone?		
	How was this determined?			
		Not A	vailable	
20	Was ground water present in the excavation?	[ ]Yes	[No	
	If yes, was there a sheen observed on ground water?	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	
21	Are there any Public Supply Wells within 2,000 feet of confirmed soil contamination?	[ ]Yes	[ ]No	
	How was this determined? Well Search [ ]			
22	Was contaminated soil removed from the site?	[ ]Yes	TYN0	
	#How much soil was removed?tons/cubic yards (circle one)			
	To what facility was the soil taken?			
	Date taken			
23	Were the analytical results for all soil post excavation/remediation samples below the Department's Jene 2008 residential soil cleanup criteria?	Yes	[ ]No	

ii No, describe in detail in Comments rage o		
Was this an investigation pertaining to a non-regulated heating oil tank?  Fort Monmouth Database: YES	Yes	[ ]No
it yes, complete the following, it no go to 23		
Do on-site structures have a basement/crawl space?	[ ]Yes	NO [X]NA [X]NA
Was staining observed on the basement/crawl space walls or floor? [ ]Yes	[ ']No	[X]NA
Were petroleum odors observed in the basement/crawl space? [ ]Yes	[ ]No	
Is a sump present in the basement/crawl space? [ ]Yes If yes, please indicate location on site map.	[ ]No	[XNA
Was water observed in the sump? [ ]Yes	[ ]No	[XNA
Was a sheen observed on the water in sump? [ ]Yes	[ ]No	[XNA
If there was no water in the sump was the base of the sump investigated for a petroleum discharge? [ ]Yes	[ ]No	
If the sump was investigated, was a discharge observed? [ ]Yes	[ ]No	[XNA
Is a regulated underground storage tank the subject of the remediation?	[ ]Yes	No
If yes, complete the following, If no, go to 24		,
Was a closure approval or 14 day notification obtained prior to the closure of the tank?  List Closure Approval/Notification Numbers NJDEP Closure #	[ ]Yes	[ ]No
Was the closure or any remediation performed by an individual and firm certified in closure and subsurface evaluation?	[ ]Yes	[ ]No
Subsurface Evaluator: D. Desai		
Individual certification number		
Firm certification number	<del></del>	
Was an Underground Storage Tank Facility Certification Questionnaire completed and submitted "delisting" the subject tanks?	[ ]Yes	[ ]No
Was a Baseline Ecological Evaluation conducted pursuant to N J A C 7 26E?	[ ]Yes	[ <del>/</del> ]No
If No, was the BEE a regulatory requirement at the time? (UST removed prior to 1997 or the UST is Residential?)	[ ]Yes	[X]NA
If Yes, is there a contaminant of concern present?	[ ]Yes	[XINO
	Fort Monmouth Database: YES  If yes, complete the following, If no go to 23  Do on-site structures have a basement/crawl space?  Was staining observed on the basement/crawl space walls or floor? [ ]Yes  Were petroleum odors observed in the basement/crawl space? [ ]Yes  Is a sump present in the basement/crawl space? [ ]Yes  If yes, please indicate location on site map.  Was water observed in the sump? [ ]Yes  If there was no water in the sump was the base of the sump investigated for a petroleum discharge? [ ]Yes  If there was no water in the sump was the base of the sump investigated for a petroleum discharge? [ ]Yes  If the sump was investigated, was a discharge observed? [ ]Yes  Is a regulated underground storage tank the subject of the remediation?  If yes, complete the following, If no, go to 24  Was a closure approval or 14 day notification obtained prior to the closure of the tank?  List Closure Approval/Notification Numbers NJDEP Closure #  Was the closure or any remediation performed by an individual and firm certified in closure and subsurface evaluation?  Subsurface Evaluator: D. Desai  Individual certification number  Firm certification number  Was an Underground Storage Tank Facility Certification Questionnaire completed and submitted "delisting" the subject tanks?  Was a Baseline Ecological Evaluation conducted pursuant to N J A C 7 26E?  If No, was the BEE a regulatory requirement at the time?  (UST removed prior to 1997 or the UST is Residential?)	Was this an investigation pertaining to a non-regulated heating oil tank?  Fort Monmouth Database: YES  If yes, complete the following, If no go to 23  Do on-site structures have a basement/crawl space?  Was staining observed on the basement/crawl space walls or floor? [ ]Yes

[ ]No [ ]No [ ]No
[ ]No
[ ]No
MNO
`
[X]No
/ 1
No
[ ]No

Site 2234

<b>.</b> .	Fort Monmouth Underground Storage Tank Assessment Questionnaire			
-				
_				
<del></del>				
-				
	PRNT LEGIBLE			
	rini Leuible			



Site Investigation - Remedial Investigation/Action Report Checklist: DATE:				
•	Soil Contamination currently exists on site	[ ]Yes	[ <b>X</b> ]No	[ ]NA
•	GW Contamination currently exists on site	[ ]Yes	Mίνο	[ ]NA
•	Contaminated Soil Disposal Receipt (fully executed manifest)	[ ]Yes	oN $[X]$	[ ]NA
•	Tank Disposal Certificate	∑Yes	ØjNo ·	[ ]NA
•	Tank Contents Disposal Receipt (fully executed manifest)	[ ]Yes	⋈No	[ ]NA
•	Fill was 'certified clean" in accordance with N J A C 7 26E-6 4	[ ]Yes	MNo	[ ]NA
•	Scaled site map with AOCs and north arrow	[ ]Yes	MNo	[ ]NA
•	Sample Results Summary Tables (N J A C 7 26E-4 8)	[X]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	Laboratory was certified to perform the required tests	YYes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	Chain of Custody forms submitted	[X]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	Signed laboratory deliverables checklist and Non-Conformance Summaries submitted	[X]Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	Problems identified in the laboratory deliverables checklist and Non-Conformance summaries	XIYes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	Holding times were met for all analyses	YYes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	MDLs below most stringent soil cleanup criteria	Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	Laboratory sample summary submitted	Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	QA/QC package (reduced deliverables) submitted	[ ]Yes	1XINO	[ ]NA
•	VOC soil samples methanol preserved (sample weights included)	Yes	[ ]No	[ ]NA
•	Electronic data package (home heating oil tanks exempt)	[ ]Yes	MNο	[ ]NA
•	Well search submitted	[ ]Yes	[X]No	[ ]NA
•	Baseline Environmental Evaluation (home heating oil tanks exempt)	[ ]Yes	No	[ ]NA
•	Closure approval notification enclosed	[ ]Yes	1 No	[ ]NA
•	No Further Action letter(s) enclosed	[ ]Yes	No	[ ]NA