

COPY

United States Army
Fort Monmouth, New Jersey

Underground Storage Tank Closure and Site Investigation Report

***Building 430C
Main Post-East Area***

NJDEP UST Registration No. 0090010-46

September 1998

**UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK
CLOSURE AND SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT**

BUILDING 430C

**MAIN POST-EAST AREA
NJDEP UST REGISTRATION NO. 0090010-46**

SEPTEMBER 1998

PREPARED FOR:

**UNITED STATES ARMY, FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY
DIRECTORATE OF PUBLIC WORKS
BUILDING 167
FORT MONMOUTH, NJ 07703**

PREPARED BY:

**SMC ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES GROUP
501 ALLENDALE ROAD
KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406**

PROJECT NO. 2491-308

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	iv
1.0 UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK DECOMMISSIONING ACTIVITIES	1
1.1 OVERVIEW	1
1.2 SITE DESCRIPTION	2
1.2.1 Geological/Hydrogeological Setting	2
1.3 HEALTH AND SAFETY	4
1.4 REMOVAL OF UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK	4
1.4.1 General Procedures	4
1.4.2 Underground Storage Tank Excavation and Cleaning	4
1.5 UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK TRANSPORTATION AND DISPOSAL	5
1.6 MANAGEMENT OF EXCAVATED SOILS	5
2.0 SITE INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES	6
2.1 OVERVIEW	6
2.2 FIELD SCREENING/MONITORING	6
2.3 SOIL SAMPLING	7
3.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	8
3.1 SOIL SAMPLING RESULTS	8
3.2 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	8

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

TABLES

Table 1	Summary of Post-Excavation Sampling Activities
Table 2	Post-Excavation Soil Sampling Results

FIGURES

Figure 1	Site Location Map
Figure 2	Site Map
Figure 3	Cross Sectional View
Figure 4	Soil Sampling Location Map

APPENDICES

Appendix A	NJDEP Standard Reporting Form
Appendix B	Site Assessment Summary
Appendix C	Waste Manifest
Appendix D	UST Disposal Certificate
Appendix E	Soil Analytical Data Package
Appendix F	Photographs

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

UST Closure

On April 13, 1998, a tar-coated steel underground storage tank (UST) was closed by removal in accordance with the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) underground storage tank procedures at the Main Post-East area of the U.S. Army Fort Monmouth, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey. The UST, NJDEP Registration No. 0090010-46 (Fort Monmouth ID No. 430C), was located southeast of Building 430C. UST No. 0090010-46 was a 1,080-gallon No. 2 fuel oil UST.

Site Assessment

The site assessment was performed by U.S. Army personnel in accordance with the NJDEP *Technical Requirements for Site Remediation* (N.J.A.C. 7:26E) and the NJDEP *Field Sampling Procedures Manual*. The sampling and laboratory analysis conducted during the site assessment were performed in accordance with Section 7:26E-2.1 of the *Technical Requirements for Site Remediation*. Soils surrounding the tank were screened visually and with air monitoring equipment for evidence of contamination. Following removal, the UST was inspected for corrosion holes or punctures. No holes or punctures were noted in the UST. Groundwater was encountered at a depth of 4.0 feet bgs. No evidence of potentially contaminated soil or groundwater was observed surrounding the tank. Soil samples contained TPHC concentrations ranging from non-detect to 264.04 mg/kg.

Site Restoration

Following receipt of all post-excavation soil sampling results, the excavation was backfilled to grade with crushed stone, sand, and native backfill and restored to its original condition.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on the post-excavation soil sampling results, soils with TPHC concentrations exceeding the NJDEP soil cleanup criteria for total organic contaminants of 10,000 mg/kg, do not exist in the former location of the UST or associated piping.

No further action is proposed in regard to the closure and site assessment of UST No. 0090010-46 at Building 430C.

1.0 UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK DECOMMISSIONING ACTIVITIES

1.1 OVERVIEW

One underground storage tank (UST), New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) Registration No. 0090010-46, was closed at Building 430C at the Main Post-East area of U.S. Army Fort Monmouth, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey on April 13, 1998. Refer to site location map on Figure 1. This report presents the results of the Department of Public Works (DPW) implementation of the UST Decommissioning/Closure Plan approved by the NJDEP. The UST was a tar-coated steel 1,080-gallon tank containing No. 2 fuel oil.

Decommissioning activities for UST No. 0090010-46 complied with all applicable Federal, State and Local laws and ordinances in effect at the date of decommissioning. These laws included but were not limited to N.J.A.C. 7:14B-1 et seq., N.J.A.C. 5:23-1 et seq., and Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) 1910.146 & 1910.120. All permits including but not limited to the NJDEP-approved Decommissioning/Closure Plan were posted onsite for inspection. The decommissioning activities were conducted by DPW personnel who are registered and certified by the NJDEP for performing UST closure activities. Closure of UST No. 0090010-46 proceeded under the approval of the NJDEP Bureau of Underground Storage Tanks (NJDEP-BUST). The Standard Reporting Form and signed Site Assessment Summary form for UST No. 0090010-46 are included in Appendices A and B, respectively.

Based on inspecting the UST, field screening of subsurface soils and groundwater, and reviewing analytical results of collected soil samples, the DPW has concluded that no significant historical discharges are associated with the UST or associated piping.

This UST Closure and Site Investigation Report has been prepared by SMC Environmental Services Group, to assist the United States Army Directorate of Public Works (DPW) in complying with the NJDEP-BUST regulations. The applicable NJDEP-BUST regulations at the date of closure were the *Interim Closure Requirements for Underground Storage Tank Systems* (N.J.A.C. 7:14B-1 et seq. October 1990 and revisions dated November 1, 1991).

This report was prepared using information collected at the time of closure. Section 1 of this UST Closure and Site Investigation Report provides a summary of the UST decommissioning activities. Section 2 of this report describes the site investigation activities. Conclusions and recommendations, including the results of the soil sampling investigation, are presented in the final section of this report.

1.2 SITE DESCRIPTION

Building 430C is located in the Main Post-East area of the Fort Monmouth Army Base. UST No. 0090010-46 was located southeast of Building 430C and appurtenant copper piping ran approximately ten (10) feet northwest from the excavation to Building 430C. A site map is provided on Figure 2.

1.2.1 Geological/Hydrogeological Setting

The following is a description of the geological/hydrogeological setting of the area surrounding Building 430C. Included is a description of the regional geology of the area surrounding Fort Monmouth as well as descriptions of the local geology and hydrogeology of the Main Post area.

Regional Geology

Monmouth County lies within the New Jersey Section of the Atlantic Coastal Plain physiographic province. The Main Post, Charles Wood, and the Evans areas are located in what may be referred to as the Outer Coastal Plain subprovince, or the Outer Lowlands.

In general, New Jersey Coastal Plain formations consist of a seaward-dipping wedge of unconsolidated deposits of clay, silt, and gravel. These formations typically strike northeast-southwest with a dip ranging from 10 to 60 feet per mile and were deposited on Precambrian and lower Paleozoic rocks (Zapeczka, 1989). These sediments, predominantly derived from deltaic, shallow marine, and continental shelf environments, date from Cretaceous through the Quaternary Periods. The mineralogy ranges from quartz to glauconite.

The formations record several major transgressive/regressive cycles and contain units which are generally thicker to the southeast and reflect a deeper water environment. Over 20 regional geologic units are present within the sediments of the Coastal Plain. Regressive, upward coarsening deposits are usually aquifers (e.g., Englishtown and Kirkwood Formations, and the Cohansey Sand) while the transgressive deposits act as confining units (e.g., the Merchantville, Marshalltown, and Navesink Formations). The individual thicknesses for these units vary greatly (i.e., from several feet to several hundred feet). The Coastal Plain deposits thicken to the southeast from the Fall Line to greater than 6,500 feet in Cape May County (Brown and Zapeczka, 1990).

Local Geology

Based on the regional geologic map (Jablonski, 1968), the Cretaceous age Red Bank and Tinton Sands outcrop at the Main Post area. The Red Bank sand conformably overlies the Navesink Formation and dips to the southeast at 35 feet per mile. The upper member (Shrewsbury) of the Red Bank sand is a yellowish-gray to reddish brown clayey, medium-to-coarse-grained sand that contains abundant rock fragments, minor mica and glauconite (Jablonski). The lower member (Sandy Hook) is a dark gray to black, medium-to-fine grained sand with abundant clay, mica, and glauconite.

The Tinton sand conformably overlies the Red Bank Sand and ranges from a clayey medium to very coarse grained feldspathic quartz and glauconite sand to a glauconitic coarse sand. The color varies from dark yellowish orange or light brown to moderate brown and from light olive to grayish olive. Glauconite may constitute 60 to 80 percent of the sand fraction in the upper part of the unit (Minard, 1969). The upper part of the Tinton is often highly oxidized and iron oxide encrusted (Minard).

Hydrogeology

The water table aquifer in the Main Post area is identified as part of the "composite confining units", or minor aquifers. The minor aquifers include the Navesink formation, Red Bank Sand, Tinton Sand, Hornerstown Sand, Vincentown Formation, Manasquan Formation, Shark River Formation, Piney Point Formation, and the basal clay of the Kirkwood Formation.

Based on records of wells drilled in the Main Post area, water is typically encountered at depths of 2 to 9 feet below ground surface (bgs). According to Jablonski, wells drilled in the Red Bank and Tinton Sands may produce 2 to 25 gallons per minute (gpm). Some well owners have reported acidic water that requires treatment to remove iron.

Due to the proximity of the Atlantic Ocean to Fort Monmouth, shallow groundwater may be tidally influenced and may flow toward creeks and brooks as the tide goes out, and away from creeks and brooks as the tide comes in. However, an abundance of clay lenses and sand deposits were noted in borings installed throughout Fort Monmouth. Therefore, the direction of shallow groundwater should be determined on a case-by-case basis.

Shallow groundwater is locally influenced within the Main Post area by the following factors:

- X tidal influence (based on proximity to the Atlantic Ocean, rivers, and tributaries)
- X topography
- X nature of the fill material within the Main Post area
- X presence of clay and silt lenses in the natural overburden deposits
- X local groundwater recharge areas (i.e., streams, lakes)

Due to the fluvial nature of the overburden deposits (i.e., sand and clay lenses), shallow groundwater flow direction is best determined on a case-by-case basis. This is consistent with lithologies observed in borings installed within the Main Post area, which primarily consisted of fine-to-medium grained sands, with occasional lenses or laminations of gravel silt and/or clay.

Building 430C located approximately 700 feet southwest of Parkers Creek, the nearest water body. Based on the Main Post topography, the groundwater flow in the area of Building 430C is anticipated to be to the northeast.

1.3 HEALTH AND SAFETY

Before, during, and after all decommissioning activities, hazards at the work site which may have posed a threat to the Health and Safety of all personnel who were involved with, or were affected by, the decommissioning of the UST system were minimized. All areas, which posed, or may have been suspected to pose a vapor hazard were monitored by a qualified individual utilizing an organic vapor analyzer (OVA). The individual ascertained if the area was properly vented to render the area safe, as defined by OSHA.

1.4 REMOVAL OF UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK

1.4.1 General Procedures

- X All underground obstructions (utilities, etc.) were identified by the contractor performing the closure prior to excavation activities.
- X All activities were carried out with the greatest regard to safety and health and the safeguarding of the environment.
- X All excavated soils were visually examined and screened with an OVA for evidence of contamination. Potentially contaminated soils were identified and logged during closure activities.
- X Surface materials (i.e., asphalt, concrete, etc.) were excavated and staged separately from all soil and recycled in accordance with all applicable regulations and laws.
- X A Sub-Surface Evaluator from the DPW was present during all site assessment activities.

1.4.2 Underground Storage Tank Excavation and Cleaning

Prior to UST decommissioning activities, surficial soil was removed to expose the UST and associated piping. All free product present in the piping was drained into the UST, and the UST was purged to remove vapors prior to cutting and removal of the piping. After removal of the associated piping, a manway was made in the UST to allow for proper cleaning. The UST was completely emptied of all liquids prior to removal from the ground. Approximately 80 gallons of liquid from the UST and its associated piping were transported by Casie Protank to Casie Ecology Oil Salvage, Inc. facility, a NJDEP-approved petroleum recycling and disposal company located in Vineland, New Jersey. Refer to Appendix C for the waste manifest.

The UST was cleaned prior to removal from the excavation in accordance with the NJDEP-BUST regulations. After the UST was removed from the excavation, it was staged on polyethylene sheeting and examined for holes. No holes or punctures were observed during the inspection by the Sub-Surface Evaluator. Soils surrounding the UST were screened visually and with an OVA for evidence of contamination. No evidence of contamination was observed. Soil screening was also performed along the piping run associated with the UST closure. No contamination was noted anywhere along the piping length. Groundwater was encountered at a depth of 4.0 feet bgs. See Figure 3 for a cross-sectional view of the excavated area.

1.5 UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK TRANSPORTATION AND DISPOSAL

The tank was transported to Mazza and Sons, Inc., Metal Recyclers. See Appendix D for a copy of the UST disposal certificate and Appendix F for photographs of the UST. The transportation of the UST was in compliance with all applicable regulations and laws.

The UST was labeled prior to transport with the following information:

- X Site of origin
- X Contact person
- X NJDEP UST Facility ID number
- X Former contents

1.6 MANAGEMENT OF EXCAVATED SOILS

Based on OVA air monitoring and TPHC analysis results from the post-excavation soil samples, no soils exhibited signs of contamination. Therefore, the excavated soils were used as backfill following removal of the UST.

2.0 SITE INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES

2.1 OVERVIEW

The Site Investigation was managed and carried out by U.S. Army DPW personnel. All analyses were performed and reported by U.S. Army Fort Monmouth Environmental Laboratory, a NJDEP-certified testing laboratory. All sampling was performed under the direct supervision of a NJDEP Certified Sub-Surface Evaluator according to the methods described in the NJDEP *Field Sampling Procedures Manual* (1992). Sampling frequency and parameters analyzed complied with the NJDEP-BUST document *Interim Closure Requirements for Underground Storage Tank Systems* (October 1990 and revisions dated November 1, 1991) which was the applicable regulation at the date of the closure. All records of the Site Investigation activities are maintained by the Fort Monmouth DPW Environmental Office.

The following Parties participated in Closure and Site Investigation Activities:

- X Subsurface Evaluator: Charles Appleby
Employer: U.S. Army, Fort Monmouth
Phone Number: (732) 532-6224
NJDEP Certification No.: 2056
- X Analytical Laboratory: U.S. Army Fort Monmouth Environmental Laboratory
Contact Person: Daniel K. Wright
Phone Number: (908) 532-4359
NJDEP Company Certification No.: 13461
- X Hazardous Waste Hauler: Casie Protank Environmental Services
Contact Person: Bob Corsiglia
Phone Number: (609) 696-4401
NJDEP Company Certification No.: 16931

2.2 FIELD SCREENING/MONITORING

Field screening was performed by a NJDEP Certified Sub-Surface Evaluator using an OVA and visual observations to identify potentially contaminated material. Soil excavated from around the tank and appurtenant piping, as well as the UST excavation sidewalls and bottom, did not exhibit any evidence of potential contamination. Groundwater was encountered at a depth of 4.0 feet bgs.

2.3 SOIL SAMPLING

On April 13, 1998, following the removal of the UST, post-excavation soil samples A, B, C, D, E, F, and DUP B were collected from a total of six (6) locations of the UST excavation. Sidewall samples A, C, D and E were collected at a depth of 3.5 feet bgs. Samples B and DUP B were collected along the excavation floor at a depth of 6.0 feet bgs. Piping sample F was collected at a depth of 1.0 feet bgs. All samples were analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHC) and total solids.

U.S. Army personnel in accordance with the NJDEP Technical Requirements and the NJDEP Field Sampling Procedures Manual performed the site assessment. A summary of sampling activities including parameters analyzed is provided in Table 1. The post-excavation soil samples were collected using NJDEP *Field Sampling Procedures Manual* (1992) standard sampling procedures. Following soil sampling activities, the samples were chilled and delivered to U.S. Army Fort Monmouth Environmental Laboratory located in Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, for analysis.

3.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1 SOIL SAMPLING RESULTS

To evaluate soil conditions following removal of the UST, post-excavation soil samples were collected on April 13, 1998, from a total of six (6) locations. All samples were analyzed for TPHC and total solids. The post-excavation sampling results were compared to the NJDEP residential direct contact total organic contaminants soil cleanup criteria of 10,000 mg/kg (N.J.A.C. 7:26D and revisions dated February 3, 1994). A summary of the analytical results and comparison to the NJDEP soil cleanup criteria is provided in Table 2 and the soil sampling locations are shown on Figure 4. The analytical data package is provided in Appendix E.

All post-excavation soil samples collected on April 13, 1998, from the UST excavation and from below piping associated with the UST contained concentrations of TPHC below the NJDEP soil cleanup criteria. Samples contained levels of TPHC ranging in concentration from non detect to 264.04 mg/kg.

3.2 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The analytical results for all post-excavation soil samples collected from the UST closure excavation at Building 430C were below the NJDEP soil cleanup criteria for total organic contaminants.

Based on the post-excavation sampling results, soils with TPHC concentrations exceeding the NJDEP soil cleanup criteria for total organic contaminants of 10,000 mg/kg, do not exist in the former location of the UST or associated piping.

No further action is proposed in regard to the closure and site assessment of UST No. 0090010-46 at Building 430C.

TABLES

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF POST-EXCAVATION SAMPLING ACTIVITIES
BUILDING 430C, MAIN POST-EAST AREA
FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY

Page 1 of 1

Sample ID	Date of Collection	Date Analysis Started	Matrix	Sample Type	Analytical Parameters*	Analysis Method
A	4/13/98	4/14/98	Soil	Post-Excavation	TPHC	OQA-QAM-025
B	4/13/98	4/14/98	Soil	Post-Excavation	TPHC	OQA-QAM-025
C	4/13/98	4/14/98	Soil	Post-Excavation	TPHC	OQA-QAM-025
D	4/13/98	4/14/98	Soil	Post-Excavation	TPHC	OQA-QAM-025
E	4/13/98	4/14/98	Soil	Post-Excavation	TPHC	OQA-QAM-025
F	4/13/98	4/14/98	Soil	Post-Excavation	TPHC	OQA-QAM-025
DUP B	4/13/98	4/14/98	Soil	Post-Excavation	TPHC	OQA-QAM-025

Note:

* TPHC Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

TABLE 2

POST-EXCAVATION SOIL SAMPLING RESULTS
BUILDING 430C, MAIN POST-EAST AREA
FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY

Page 1 of 1

Sample ID/ Depth	Sample Laboratory ID	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Analytical Method Used	Method Detection Limit (mg/kg)	Compound of Concern	Result (mg/kg) *	NJDEP Soil Cleanup Criteria ** (mg/kg)	Exceeds Cleanup Criteria
A/3.5=	3478.01	4/13/98	4/14/98	Total Solid	--	--	82.95	--	--
				TPHC	186	yes	ND	10,000	No
B/6.0=	3478.02	4/13/98	4/14/98	Total Solid	--	--	77.01	--	--
				TPHC	197	yes	ND	10,000	No
C/3.5=	3478.03	4/13/98	4/14/98	Total Solid	--	--	77.84	--	--
				TPHC	189	yes	ND	10,000	No
D/3.5=	3478.04	4/13/98	4/14/98	Total Solid	--	--	82.65	--	--
				TPHC	188	yes	ND	10,000	No
E/3.5=	3478.05	4/13/98	4/14/98	Total Solid	--	--	82.79	--	--
				TPHC	179	yes	ND	10,000	No
F/1.0=	3478.06	4/13/98	4/14/98	Total Solid	--	--	77.57	--	--
				TPHC	195	yes	264.04	10,000	No
DUP B/6.0=	3478.07	4/13/98	4/14/98	Total Solid	--	--	74.04	--	--
				TPHC	207	yes	ND	10,000	No

Note:


* Total Solid results are expressed as a percentage.

** NJDEP Residential Direct Contact soil cleanup criteria for total organics

-- Not detected above stated sample quantitation limit

TPHC Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

FIGURES



LONG BRANCH, N. J.

40073-C8-TF-024

1954

PHOTOREVISED 1981
DMA 6164 I SE-SERIES V822

NEW JERSEY

QUADRANGLE LOCATION



FIGURE 1

SITE LOCATION MAP

Building 430C
Main Post-East

Fort Monmouth Army Base
Monmouth County, NJ



SMC Environmental

Services Group

Engineers, Managers, Scientists & Planners
Valley Forge, PA.

Mapped, edited and published by the Geological Survey

SCALE: 1"= 2000'

DATE: APRIL 1998




FIGURE 2 SITE MAP BUILDING 430C FORT MONMOUTH ARMY BASE MONMOUTH COUNTY, NJ	
 SMC ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES GROUP Engineers, Managers, Scientists & Planners VALLEY FORGE, PA.	
SCALE: 1"=100'	DATE: APRIL 1998




FIGURE 3
CROSS SECTIONAL VIEW
BUILDING 430C
FORT MONMOUTH ARMY BASE
MONMOUTH COUNTY, NJ





**SMC ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES GROUP**
Engineers, Managers, Scientists & Planners
VALLEY FORGE, PA.

SCALE: NTS

DATE: APRIL 1998

BUILDING 430C



LEGEND

- SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION (APRIL 13, 1998)
- ▨ LIMIT OF EXCAVATION (APRIL 13, 1998)

NOTES:

1. ALL RESULTS IN MG/KG.
2. SEE TABLE 2 FOR NJDEP SOIL CLEANUP CRITERIA
3. BGS = BELOW GROUND SURFACE

FIGURE 4
SOIL SAMPLING LOCATION MAP
BUILDING 430C
FORT MONMOUTH ARMY BASE
MONMOUTH COUNTY, NJ



SMC ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES GROUP

Engineers, Managers, Scientists & Planners
VALLEY FORGE, PA.

SCALE: 1"=10'

DATE: APRIL 1998




Figure 4 GPS Sampling Locations Map

US State Plane 1983
New Jersey (NY East) 2900
NAD 1983 (Conus)



Scale 1:200
0 6.000
Meters

r112414a.cor
12/7/1998
Pathfinder Office
 **Trimble**

Figure 4 GPS Sampling Location Point Data

US State Plane 1983 NJ (NY East) 2900 Nad 1983 (Conus)

Reference Points

<u>Location</u>	<u>Y Coord. (Northing)</u>	<u>X Coord. (Easting)</u>
UTILITY POLE	165041.962	189564.753

Sample Points

<u>Location</u>	<u>Y Coord. (Northing)</u>	<u>X Coord. (Easting)</u>
430C A	165048.391	189583.929
430C B	165049.287	189585.68
430C C	165050.356	189587.445
430C D	165048.252	189586.304
430C E	165050.649	189585.071
430C F	165050.267	189583.096

APPENDIX A

NJDEP-STANDARD REPORTING FORM

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
DIVISION OF RESPONSIBLE PARTY SITE REMEDIATION
BUREAU OF APPLICABILITY AND COMPLIANCE
Registration and Billing Unit
CN 028, Trenton, N.J. 08625-0028
1-609-984-3156

UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK
FACILITY QUESTIONNAIRE

FOR STATE USE ONLY

Check In ☐ Yes ☐ No

STATUS COMCODE
Active Inactive

☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

FACILITY UST # 90010 Bldg. 430-C

Completion of this Registration Questionnaire will satisfy the registration requirements of the Underground Storage of Hazardous Substances Act, N.J.S.A. 58:10A-21, and the Registration and Billing Regulations N.J.A.C. 7:14B-2.

[Check appropriate box(es)]

- A. ☐ Is this a registration of a proposed or newly installed underground storage tank? (This form must be filed at least 30 days prior to operation)
- B. ☐ Is this a registration of an existing underground storage tank not presently registered?
- C. ☒ Is this a correction or amendment to an existing facility registration? UST # 0090010
- D. ☐ There have been no changes to the facility registration since last submittal. UST # _____ (Go to certification page for signatures)

If "C" is checked above, please check the appropriate type of change(s) below

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| ☐ Facility Name and/or Address Change | ☐ Type of Product(s) Stored | ☐ Financial Responsibility Change |
| ☐ Owner Name and/or Address Change | ☐ Spills, Leaks, Releases | ☐ Substantial Modification(s) |
| ☐ Facility Operator and/or Address Change | ☐ Tank(s) and/or Piping Changes | ☐ Sale or Transfer (Complete Questions 4,5,6 & 13D) |
| ☐ Owner Contact Person Change | ☒ Closure (Complete Question #13) | ☐ Other (please specify) |

SECTION A - GENERAL FACILITY INFORMATION

1. Facility Name Et. Manmouth

2. Facility Location MAIN Post East

NUMBER AND STREET

CITY OR MUNICIPALITY

COUNTY

STATE

ZIP CODE

BLOCK

LOT

3. Facility Operator _____ Contact _____
PERSON OR TITLE Tele. No. _____
(Area Code) (Extension)

Operator Address (if different than #2) _____
NUMBER AND STREET

CITY OR MUNICIPALITY

STATE

ZIP CODE

4. Tank Owner _____

5. Tank Owner Address _____
NUMBER AND STREET

CITY OR MUNICIPALITY

STATE

ZIP CODE

Contact Person (Tank Owner) _____ Contact _____
Tele. No. (Area Code) (Extension)

7. EPA ID # _____

8. Total number of regulated underground storage tanks at facility _____ (Complete Section B for each tank)

9. Total regulated underground Storage tank capacity at facility (gallons) | | | | |

10. Facility Type: A ☐ State C ☐ County/Municipal E ☐ Charitable / Public School G ☐ Other
B ☐ Commercial/ D ☐ Federal F ☐ Residence H ☐ Farm (as defined in N.J.
Industrial
54:4-23.1 et seq.)

11. Is a copy of the facility site plan submitted with this registration pursuant to N.J.A.C. 7:14B-2? ☐ YES ☐ NO

SECTION B - SPECIFIC TANK INFORMATION

ALL underground tanks, including those taken out of operation (UNLESS THE TANK WAS REMOVED FROM THE GROUND PRIOR TO 9/3/86) must be registered. Report all tank/piping status changes unless previously submitted.

1. Tank Identification Number	TANK NO.	TANK NO.	TANK NO.	TANK NO.	TANK NO.
2. CAS Number (hazardous substances only)					
3. Date Tank Installed (Month/Day/Year)	Mo. Day Year	Mo. Day Year	Mo. Day Year	Mo. Day Year	Mo. Day Year
4. Tank Size (gallons)					
5. Tank Contents (Mark one "X" for each tank)					
A. Leaded gasoline					
B. Unleaded gasoline					
C. Alcohol enriched gasoline					
D. Light diesel fuel (No. 1-D)					
E. Medium diesel fuel (No. 2-D)					
F. Waste Oil					
G. Kerosene (No. 1)					
H. Home heating oil (No. 2)					
J. Heating oil (No. 4)					
K. Heavy heating oil (No. 6)					
L. Aviation fuel					
M. Motor oil					
N. Lubricating oil					
P. Sewage					
Q. Sewage sludge					
R. Other hazardous substances (specify)					
S. Hazardous waste (specify ID number)					
T. Mixtures (please specify)					
U. Emergency spill tank (specify substance)					
V. Other petroleum products (please specify)					
W. Other (please specify)					
6. Tank & Piping Construction (Mark one each for both tank & piping)	Tank Piping	Tank Piping	Tank Piping	Tank Piping	Tank Piping
A. Bare Steel					
B. Cathodically protected steel					
C. Fiberglass-coated steel					
D. Fiberglass-reinforced plastic					
E. Internally lined					
F. Other (please specify)					
7. Tank & Piping Structure (Mark one each for both tank & piping)	Tank Piping	Tank Piping	Tank Piping	Tank Piping	Tank Piping
A. Single wall					
B. Double wall					
C. Other (please specify)					
8. Type of Monitoring/Detection System (Mark all that apply for both tank & piping)	Tank Piping	Tank Piping	Tank Piping	Tank Piping	Tank Piping
A. Statistical Inventory Reconciliation					
B. Manual Tank Gauging					
C. Inventory Control					
D. Interstitial					
E. Precision Test					
F. Ground water observation wells					
G. Vapor observation wells					
H. In-tank (automatic) monitoring gauge					
J. Periodic Tank Test					

Tank Identification Number	TANK NO.		TANK NO.		TANK NO.		TANK NO.		TANK NO.			
8. Type of Monitoring/Detection System	Tank	Piping	Tank	Piping	Tank	Piping	Tank	Piping	Tank	Piping		
K. None	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		
L. Other (please specify)												
9. Overfill Protection (tank only)												
(Mark one X for each tank)												
A. Yes	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		
B. No	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		
10. Spill Containment Around Fill Pipe												
(Mark one X for each tank)												
A. Yes	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		
B. No	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		
11. Tank Status (Mark one X for each tank)	Tank	Piping	Tank	Piping	Tank	Piping	Tank	Piping	Tank	Piping		
A. In-use	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		
B. Empty less than 12 months	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		
C. Empty 12 months or more	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		
D. Emergency spill tank (sump)	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		
E. Emergency backup generator tank	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		
F. Abandoned in Place	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		
G. Removed	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐		
H. Other (please specify)												
12. If box 11B, C, or D above has been marked, indicate the estimated date last used (month/day/year)	Mo.	Day	Year	Mo.	Day	Year	Mo.	Day	Year	Mo.	Day	Year
13. Closure Information - Tank ID No. <i>B108 430C</i>	TANK NO.		TANK NO.		TANK NO.		TANK NO.		TANK NO.			
	Mo.	Day	Year	Mo.	Day	Year	Mo.	Day	Year	Mo.	Day	Year
A. Date abandoned in place												
B. Date taken temporarily out of service												
C. Date removed												
D. Date of Sale or Transfer												
E. TMS # (if applicable)												
F. ISRA # (if applicable)												

SECTION C - FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

Does this facility have a Financial Responsibility Assurance Mechanism as required in 40 CFR 280? ☐ YES ☐ NO
Please list the appropriate financial information below:

Type	Carrier / Issuing Agency
_____/_____/_____ Effective Date	_____/_____/_____ Expiration Date
Policy Number	\$ Amount

SECTION D - MONITORING SYSTEMS

Does this facility have a release detection monitoring system which is in compliance with N.J.A.C. 7:14B-6? ☐ YES ☐ NO
If "No", please be aware that the facility must meet the appropriate deadline. (See "Dates to Know" on Page 4)

SECTION E - RECORDKEEPING/COMPLIANCE

Please answer all the questions in this section on a facility basis. Any one tank not in compliance requires a "NO" answer for the entire facility.

- | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1. Does this facility have cathodic protection systems for all steel tanks and piping? | ☐ YES | ☐ NO |
| If "Yes", are the systems properly operated and maintained pursuant to N.J.A.C. 7:14B-5? | ☐ YES | ☐ NO |
| 2. Are the performance claims and documentation of monitoring systems maintained by the owner or operator pursuant to N.J.A.C. 7:14B-5? | ☐ YES | ☐ NO |
| 3. Are the proper monitoring, testing, sampling, repair and inventory records kept on-site pursuant to N.J.A.C. 7:14B-5 and 6? | ☐ YES | ☐ NO |
| 4. Is the proper Release Response Plan kept on-site pursuant to N.J.A.C. 7:14B-5? | ☐ YES | ☐ NO |
| 5. Does the facility have spill and over fill protection systems pursuant to N.J.A.C. 7:14B-4? | ☐ YES | ☐ NO |
| 6. Have all Fill Ports been permanently marked as per API #1637 pursuant to N.J.A.C. 7:14B-5? | ☐ YES | ☐ NO |

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

FEE: Please make checks payable to: "Treasurer, State of New Jersey". Use of the enclosed return envelope will expedite processing. Registration and Billing Schedule can be found in N.J.A.C. 7:14B. All Initial Registration fees are \$100 per facility.

PENALTY: Failure by owner or operator of a regulated underground storage tank to comply with any requirement of the State Act or regulations may result in the penalties set forth in N.J.S.A. 58:10A-10.

EMERGENCY: If a discharge or spill occurs, the NJDEP Hotline at (609) 292-7172 must be called IMMEDIATELY - 24 hours a day.

UPGRADE EXEMPTION: Residential heating oil underground storage tanks are exempt from all upgrade requirements.

DATES TO KNOW (critical deadlines)

December 22, 1988 — All new federally regulated tank systems must have cathodic protection and spill/overfill protection.

September 4, 1990 — All new State-only regulated tank systems must have cathodic protection and spill/overfill protection.

December 22, 1990 — All federally regulated piping must have begun leak detection.

February 19, 1993 — All federally regulated tank systems must maintain financial responsibility assurance.

December 22, 1993 — All federally regulated tank systems must have begun leak detection.

December 22, 1998 — All regulated tanks shall install cathodic protection and spill/overfill protection.

CERTIFICATIONS

NOTE: IF THE PERSON SIGNING CERTIFICATION NO. 2 IS THE SAME AS THE PERSON SIGNING CERTIFICATION NO. 1, THEN CERTIFICATION NO. 2 NEED NOT BE SIGNED. (If different persons are required to sign No. 1 and No. 2, then they must do so.)

CERTIFICATION NO. 1:

Must be signed by the highest ranking individual at the facility with overall responsibility

"I certify under penalty of law that the information provided in this document is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I am aware that there are significant civil and criminal penalties for knowingly submitting false, inaccurate or incomplete information and that I am committing a crime of the fourth degree if I make a written false statement which I do not believe to be true. I am also aware that if I knowingly direct or authorize the violation of any statute, I am personally liable for the penalties."

MR. James Ott

(Typed / Printed Name)

Director of Public Works

(Title)

[Signature]

(Signature)

6/1/98

(Date)

CERTIFICATION NO. 2:

Must be signed as follows:

- For a corporation, by a principal executive officer of at least the level of vice president
- For a partnership or sole proprietorship, by a general partner or the proprietor, respectively
- For a municipality, State, Federal or other public agency, by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official
- For persons other than indicated above, by the person with legal responsibility for the site

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted herein and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information. I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant civil and criminal penalties for knowingly submitting false, inaccurate or incomplete information and that I am committing a crime of the fourth degree if I make a written false statement which I do not believe to be true. I am also aware that if I knowingly direct or authorize the violation of any statute, I am personally liable for the penalties."

(Typed / Printed Name)

(Signature)

(Title)

(Date)

CERTIFICATION NO. 3:

If applicable, must be signed by the individual who is certified to perform services.

"I certify under penalty of law that the information provided in this document is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I am aware that there are significant civil and criminal penalties for knowingly submitting false, inaccurate or incomplete information and that I am committing a crime of the fourth degree if I make a written false statement which I do not believe to be true. I am also aware that if I knowingly direct or authorize the violation of any statute, I am personally liable for the penalties."

Charles Appleby Enviro. Prot. Spec.

(Typed / Printed Name)

(Title)

U.S. Army

(Name of Firm, if applicable)

[Signature] 5/28/98

(Signature)

(Date)

2056

APPENDIX B

SITE ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

Site Remediation Program

UST Site/Remedial Investigation Report Certification Form

A. Facility Name : U.S. Army Fort Monmouth New Jersey

Facility Street Address : Directorate of Public Works Building 173

Municipality: Oceanport County : Monmouth

Block: _____ Lot(s): _____ Telephone Number : 732-532-6224

B. Owner (RP)'s Name:

Street Address: _____ City : _____

State: _____ Zip: _____ Telephone Number : _____

C. (Check as appropriate)

____ Site Investigation

Report (SIR) \$500 Fee

____ Remedial Investigation

Report (RIR) \$1000 Fee

X NA – Federal Agreement

D. (Complete all that apply)

- Assigned Case Manager : Ian Curtis, Federal Case Manager

● UST Registration Number : 90010-46 (7 digits)

- Incident Report Number ____ • ____ • ____ • ____ • ____ (10 or 12 digits)

- Tank Closure Number : Federal Case Manager

E. Certification by the Subsurface Evaluator:

The attached report conforms to the specific reporting requirements of N.J.A.C. 7:26E Yes No

Name: Charles Appleby Signature: [Signature] UST Cert. No.: 2056

Firm: U.S. Army Fort Monmouth Firm's UST Cert. Number: NA - U.S. Army

Firm Address: Directorate of Public Works Building 173 City: Fort Monmouth

State: New Jersey Zip: 07703 Telephone Number : 732-532-6224

(NOTE: Certification numbers required only if work was conducted on USTs regulated per N.J.S.A. 58:10A-21 et seq.)

F. Certification by the Responsible Party(ies) of the Facility:

The following certification shall be signed [according to the requirements of N.J.A.C. 7:14B-1.7(b)] as follows:

1. For a Corporation by a person authorized by a resolution of the board of directors to sign the document. A copy of the resolution, certified as a true copy by the secretary of the corporation, shall be submitted along with the certification; or
2. For a partnership or sole proprietorship, by a general partner or the proprietor, respectively; or
3. For a municipality, State, federal or other public agency by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected Official.

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this application and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant civil penalties for knowingly submitting false, inaccurate, or incomplete information and that I am committing a crime of the fourth degree if I make a written false statement which I do not believe to be true. I am also aware that if I knowingly direct or authorize the violation of any statute, I am personally liable for the penalties."

Name (Print or Type): James Ott Title: Directorate of Public Works

Signature:

Company Name: U.S. Army Fort Monmouth

Date:

APPENDIX C

WASTE MANIFEST

Please type or print in block letters. (Form designed for use on elite (12-pitch) typewriter.)

SIGNATURE AND INFORMATION *MUST* BE LEGIBLE ON ALL COPIES

APPENDIX D

UST DISPOSAL CERTIFICATE

430-C

NO. _____

DATE. 28 Apr 1968

Customer's Name THE GREAT OIL CO.

Address _____

Cast Iron

Steel

Lt. Iron

Copper #1

Copper #2

25540 LB

23140 LE

290

Lt. Copper

Brass

Alum Clean

Lead

Stainless


Battery

17700

TOTAL AMOUNT:

Weigher _____

Customer Henry Williams



APPENDIX E

SOIL ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE

US ARMY FT. MONMOUTH ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY
NJDEPE # 13461

REPORT OF ANALYSIS

Client: U.S. Army
DPW, SELFM-PW-EV
Bldg. 173
Ft. Monmouth, NJ 07703

Project: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons
98-0001
Bldg. 430-C

Project # 3478
Date Rec. 04/13/98
Date Compl. 04/30/98
Released by:



Daniel K. Wright
Laboratory Director

Table of Contents

<u>Section</u>	<u>Pages</u>
Cover Sheet	1
Table of Contents	2
Method Summary	3
Conformance/Non-Conformance	4
Chain of Custody	5-6
Results Summary	7
Initial Calibration Summary	8
Continuing Calibration Summary	9-10
Surrogate Results Summary	11
MS/MSD Results Summary	12
Quality Control Spike Summary	13
Raw Sample Data	14-26
Laboratory Deliverable Checklist	27

Method Summary

NJDEP Method OQA-QAM-025-10/97

Gas Chromatographic Determination of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soil

Fifteen grams (15g)(wet weight) of a soil sample is added to a 125 mL acid cleaned, solvent rinsed, capped Erlenmeyer flask. 15g anhydrous sodium sulfate is added to dry sample. Surrogate standard spiking solution is then added to the flask.

Twenty five milliliters(25mL) Methylene Chloride is added to the flask and it is secured on a gyrotory shaker table. The agitation rate is set to 400rpm and the sample is shaken for 30 minutes. The flask is the removed from the table and the particulate matter is allowed to settle. The extract is transferred to a Teflon capped vial. A second 25mL of Methylene Chloride is added to the flask and shaken for an additional 30 minutes. The flask is again removed and allowed to settle. The extracts are combined in the vial then transferred to a 1mL autosampler vial.

The extract is then injected directly into a GC-FID for analysis. The sample is analyzed for petroleum hydrocarbons covering a range of C8-C42 including pristane and phytane. Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon concentration is determined by integrating between 5 minutes and 22 minutes. The baseline is established by starting the integration after the end of the solvent peak and stopping after the last peak.

The final concentration of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons is calculated using percent solid, sample weight and concentration.

PHC Conformance/Non-conformance Summary Report

	<u>No</u>	<u>Yes</u>
1. Method Detection Limits provided.	—	✓
2. Method Blank Contamination - If yes, list the sample and the corresponding concentrations in each blank.	✓	—
3. Matrix Spike Results Summary Meet Criteria. (If not met, list the sample and corresponding recovery which falls outside the acceptable range).	—	✓
4. Duplicate Results Summary Meet Criteria. (If not met, list the sample and corresponding recovery which falls outside the acceptable range).	—	✓
5. IR Spectra submitted for standards, blanks, & samples	—	NA
6. Chromatograms submitted for standards, blanks, and samples if GC fingerprinting was conducted.	—	✓
7. Analysis holding time met. (If not met, list number of days exceeded for each sample)	—	✓
Additional Comments:		

Laboratory Authentication Statement

I certify under penalty of law, where applicable, that this laboratory meets the Laboratory Performance Standards and Quality Control requirements specified in N.J.A.C. 7:18 and 40 CFR Part 136 for Water and Wastewater Analyses and SW 846 for Solid Waste Analysis. I have personally examined the information contained in this report, and to the best of my knowledge, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate, complete, and meets the above referenced standards where applicable. I am aware that there are significant penalties for purposefully submitting falsified information, including the possibility of a fine and imprisonment.


Daniel K. Wright
Laboratory Manager

Report of Analysis
U.S. Army, Fort Monmouth Environmental Laboratory
NJDEP Certification # 13461

Client : U.S. Army Lab. ID # : 3478
DPW. SELFM-PW-EV Date Rec'd: 13-Apr-98
Bldg. 173 Analysis Start: 14-Apr-98
Ft. Monmouth, NJ 07703 Analysis Complete: 30-Apr-98

Analysis: OQA-QAM-025 UST Reg. #:
Matrix: Soil Closure #:
Analyst: D.DEINHARDT DICAR #:
Ext. Meth: Shake Location #: B. 430-C

Sample	Field ID	Dilution Factor	Weight (g)	% Solid	MDL (mg/kg)	TPHC Result (mg/kg)
3478.01	430C-A	1.00	15.22	82.95	186	ND
3478.02	430C-B	1.00	15.51	77.01	197	ND
3478.03	430C-C	1.00	15.97	77.84	189	ND
3478.04	430C-D	1.00	15.16	82.65	188	ND
3478.05	430C-E	1.00	15.84	82.79	179	ND
3478.06	430C-F	1.00	15.55	77.57	195	264.04
3478.07	430C-DUP	1.00	15.30	74.04	207	ND
METHOD BLANK	TBLK 85	1.00	15.00	100.00	157	ND

ND = Not Detected
MDL = Method Detection Limit


Daniel K. Wright
Laboratory Director

Response Factor Report FID/TCD

Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\TPH33.M (Chemstation Integrator)
 Title : TPHC Calibration 06/05/97 21 peaks
 Last Update : Tue Apr 28 07:45:15 1998

Calibration Files

200 =T05057.D 100 =T05060.D 50 =T05059.D
 10 =T05058.D 5 =T05056.D

Compound			200	100	50	10	5	Avg	%RSD
1)	tC	C8	1.866	1.957	1.842	1.623	1.995	1.856 E4	7.81
2)	tC	C10	1.915	2.014	1.881	1.636	2.009	1.891 E4	8.15
3)	TC	C12	2.056	2.166	2.011	1.738	2.122	2.019 E4	8.32
4)	tC	C14	2.098	2.228	2.076	1.799	2.208	2.082 E4	8.24
5)	tC	C16	2.133	2.269	2.128	1.853	2.271	2.131 E4	8.00
6)	tC	C18	2.475	2.547	2.515	2.155	2.570	2.452 E4	6.94
7)	tC	C20	2.299	2.434	2.330	2.028	2.479	2.314 E4	7.61
8)	tC	C22	2.300	2.380	2.309	2.009	2.461	2.292 E4	7.46
9)	tC	C24	2.331	2.412	2.347	2.036	2.495	2.324 E4	7.47
10)	tC	C26	2.297	2.377	2.316	1.994	2.446	2.286 E4	7.59
11)	tC	C28	2.240	2.326	2.270	1.944	2.361	2.228 E4	7.43
12)	tC	C30	2.132	2.241	2.203	1.869	2.242	2.138 E4	7.32
13)	tC	C32	1.842	1.963	1.958	1.638	1.927	1.866 E4	7.30
14)	tC	C34	1.530	1.624	1.644	1.355	1.522	1.535 E4	7.47
15)	tC	C36	1.110	1.174	1.203	0.957	0.982	1.085 E4	10.24
16)	tC	C38	6.925	7.409	7.725	5.623	4.964	6.529 E3	18.17
17)	tC	C40	3.372	3.743	4.020	2.893	2.832	3.372 E3	15.40
18)	tC	c42	1.418	1.616	1.795	1.322	1.339	1.498 E3	13.54
19)	TC	Pristane	2.188	2.330	2.212	1.943	2.397	2.214 E4	7.86
20)	TC	Phytane	2.300	2.449	2.348	2.041	2.508	2.330 E4	7.75
21)	SC	o-terphenyl	2.549	2.641	2.552	2.236	2.611	2.518 E4	6.44
22)	tC	TPHC - total	1.993	2.174	2.124	2.326	3.042	2.332 E4	17.79

Evaluate Continuing Calibration Report

Data File : C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\980427\T05071.D
 Acq On : 28 Apr 98 12:02 am
 Sample : 50 PPM STD
 Misc :
 IntFile : TPHCINT.E

Vial: 11
 Operator: DEINHARDT
 Inst : FID/TCD
 Multiplr: 1.00

Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\TPH33.M (Chemstation Integrator)
 Title : TPHC Calibration 06/05/97 21 peaks
 Last Update : Tue Apr 28 07:45:15 1998
 Response via : Multiple Level Calibration

Min. RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. Area : 50% Max. R.T. Dev 0.50min
 Max. RRF Dev : 25% Max. Rel. Area : 200%

	Compound	AvgRF	CCRF	%Dev	Area%	Dev(min)
1	tC C8	18.565	15.839 E3	14.7	86	-0.01
2	tC C10	18.910	16.243 E3	14.1	86	0.00
3	TC C12	20.187	17.270 E3	14.4	86	0.00
4	tC C14	20.818	17.642 E3	15.3	85	0.00
5	tC C16	21.307	17.930 E3	15.8	84	0.00
6	tC C18	24.525	20.272 E3	17.3	81	0.00
7	tC C20	23.139	19.514 E3	15.7	84	0.00
8	tC C22	22.916	19.319 E3	15.7	84	0.00
9	tC C24	23.239	19.310 E3	16.9	82	0.00
10	tC C26	22.861	19.131 E3	16.3	83	0.00
11	tC C28	22.283	18.845 E3	15.4	83	0.00
12	tC C30	21.377	18.409 E3	13.9	84	0.00
13	tC C32	18.657	16.532 E3	11.4	84	0.00
14	tC C34	15.350	14.008 E3	8.7	85	0.00
15	tC C36	10.851	10.267 E3	5.4	85	0.00
16	tC C38	6.529	6.745 E3	-3.3	87	0.00
17	tC C40	3.372	3.571 E3	-5.9	89	0.00
18	tC c42	1.498	1.541 E3	-2.9	86	0.00
19	TC Pristane	22.139	19.138 E3	13.6	87	0.00
20	TC Phytane	23.295	19.680 E3	15.5	84	0.00
21	sC o-terphenyl	25.178	27.401 E3	-8.8	107	0.00
22	tC TPHC - total	23.317	20.279 E3	13.0	95	1.95#

Evaluate Continuing Calibration Report

Data File : C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\980427\T05081.D
 Acq On : 28 Apr 98 7:30 am
 Sample : 50 PPM STD
 Misc :
 IntFile : TPHCINT.E

Vial: 11
 Operator: DEINHARDT
 Inst : FID/TCD
 Multiplr: 1.00

Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\TPH33.M (Chemstation Integrator)
 Title : TPHC Calibration 06/05/97 21 peaks
 Last Update : Tue Apr 28 07:45:15 1998
 Response via : Multiple Level Calibration

Min. RRF : 0.000 Min. Rel. Area : 50% Max. R.T. Dev 0.50min
 Max. RRF Dev : 25% Max. Rel. Area : 200%

	Compound	AvgRF	CCRF	%Dev	Area%	Dev(min)
1	tC C8	18.565	15.701 E3	15.4	85	0.00
2	tC C10	18.910	16.159 E3	14.5	86	0.00
3	TC C12	20.187	17.367 E3	14.0	86	0.00
4	tC C14	20.818	17.997 E3	13.6	87	0.00
5	tC C16	21.307	18.226 E3	14.5	86	0.00
6	tC C18	24.525	21.142 E3	13.8	84	0.00
7	tC C20	23.139	19.881 E3	14.1	85	0.00
8	tC C22	22.916	19.538 E3	14.7	85	0.00
9	tC C24	23.239	19.829 E3	14.7	85	0.00
10	tC C26	22.861	19.579 E3	14.4	85	0.00
11	tC C28	22.283	19.212 E3	13.8	85	0.00
12	tC C30	21.377	18.683 E3	12.6	85	0.00
13	tC C32	18.657	16.626 E3	10.9	85	0.00
14	tC C34	15.350	13.921 E3	9.3	85	0.00
15	tC C36	10.851	10.103 E3	6.9	84	0.00
16	tC C38	6.529	6.453 E3	1.2	84	-0.01
17	tC C40	3.372	3.284 E3	2.6	82	-0.01
18	tC c42	1.498	1.338 E3	10.7	75	-0.02
19	TC Pristane	22.139	19.325 E3	12.7	87	0.00
20	TC Phytane	23.295	20.077 E3	13.8	85	0.00
21	sC o-terphenyl	25.178	27.679 E3	-9.9	108	0.00
22	tC TPHC - total	23.317	19.736 E3	15.4	93	1.94#

Report of Analysis
U.S. Army, Fort Monmouth Environmental Laboratory
NJDEP Certification # 13461

Surrogate Recovery Report

Lab. ID #: 3478

Location #: B. 430-C

Sample		Surrogate Added (ppm)	Amount Recovered (ppm)	Percent Recovery
3478.01		10.00	12.70	126.96
3478.02		10.00	12.00	120.03
3478.03		10.00	12.19	121.86
3478.04		10.00	12.16	121.59
3478.05		10.00	12.42	124.19
3478.06		10.00	11.97	119.73
3478.07		10.00	11.61	116.06
METHOD BLANK	TBLK 85	10.00	11.31	113.05

Surrogate Added : o-Terphenyl

5/12/98

Report of Analysis
U.S. Army, Fort Monmouth Environmental Laboratory
NJDEP Certification # 13461

Matrix Spike Recovery Report

Lab. ID #: 3478

Location #: B. 430-C

Sample	Spike Amount Added (ppm)	Sample Amount (ppm)	Matrix Spike Amount (ppm)	Percent Recovery	QC Limits %
3486.01MS	1000	0.00	1200.01	120.00	75-125
3486.01MSD	1000	0.00	1239.09	123.91	75-125

RPD	3.20	20.00
-----	------	-------

5/26/98

Report of Analysis
U.S. Army, Fort Monmouth Environmental Laboratory
NJDEP Certification # 13461

Blank Spike Recovery Report

Lab. ID #: 34787

Location #: B. 430-C

Sample	Date Extracted	Spike Amount Added (ppm)	Matrix Spike Amount (ppm)	Percent Recovery	QC Limits %
Blank Spike	14-Apr-98	1000	1385.91	138.59	75-125

Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed)

Data File : C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\980427\T05078.D
 Acq On : 28 Apr 98 5:18 am
 Sample : 3478.01
 Misc :
 IntFile : TPHCINT.E
 Quant Time: May 1 11:08 1998 Quant Results File: TPH33.RES

Vial: 18
 Operator: DEINHARDT
 Inst : FID/TCD
 Multiplr: 1.00

Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\TPH33.M (Chemstation Integrator)
 Title : TPHC Calibration 06/05/97 21 peaks
 Last Update : Mon Apr 27 12:46:46 1998
 Response via : Initial Calibration
 DataAcq Meth : TPH33.M

Volume Inj. : 1 ul
 Signal Phase : HP-5
 Signal Info : 30m x 0.32mm

Compound	R.T.	Response	Conc Units
----------	------	----------	------------

System Monitoring Compounds

21) sC o-terphenyl	13.94	319658	12.696 mg/L
Spiked Amount 10.000	Range 8 - 13	Recovery =	126.96%#

Target Compounds


Quantitation Report

Data File : C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\980427\T05078.D
Acq On : 28 Apr 98 5:18 am
Sample : 3478.01
Misc :
IntFile : TPHCINT.E
Quant Time: May 1 11:08 1998 Quant Results File: TPH33.RES

Vial: 18
Operator: DEINHARDT
Inst : FID/TCD
Multiplr: 1.00

Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\TPH33.M (Chemstation Integrator)
Title : TPHC Calibration 06/05/97 21 peaks
Last Update : Mon Apr 27 12:46:46 1998
Response via : Multiple Level Calibration
DataAcq Meth : TPH33.M

Volume Inj. : 1 ul
Signal Phase : HP-5
Signal Info : 30m x 0.32mm



Quantitation Report (Not Reviewed)

Data File : C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\980427\T05079.D
 Acq On : 28 Apr 98 6:02 am
 Sample : 3478.02
 Misc :
 IntFile : TPHCINT.E
 Quant Time: May 12 13:11 1998 Quant Results File: TPH33.RES

Vial: 19
 Operator: DEINHARDT
 Inst : FID/TCD
 Multiplr: 1.00

Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\TPH33.M (Chemstation Integrator)
 Title : TPHC Calibration 06/05/97 21 peaks
 Last Update : Tue Apr 28 07:45:15 1998
 Response via : Continuing Cal File: C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\980427\T05071.D
 DataAcq Meth : TPH33.M

Volume Inj. : 1 ul
 Signal Phase : HP-5
 Signal Info : 30m x 0.32mm

Compound	R.T.	Response	Conc Units
System Monitoring Compounds			
21) sC o-terphenyl	13.94	328880	12.003 mg/L
Spiked Amount 10.000	Range 8 - 13	Recovery	= 120.03%#

Target Compounds


Quantitation Report

Data File : C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\980427\T05079.D
Acq On : 28 Apr 98 6:02 am
Sample : 3478.02
Misc :
IntFile : TPHCINT.E
Quant Time: May 12 13:11 1998 Quant Results File: TPH33.RES

Vial: 19
Operator: DEINHARDT
Inst : FID/TCD
Multiplr: 1.00

Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\TPH33.M (Chemstation Integrator)
Title : TPHC Calibration 06/05/97 21 peaks
Last Update : Tue Apr 28 07:45:15 1998
Response via : Single Level Calibration
DataAcq Meth : TPH33.M

Volume Inj. : 1 ul
Signal Phase : HP-5
Signal Info : 30m x 0.32mm



Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed)

Data File : C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\980427\T05080.D
 Acq On : 28 Apr 98 6:46 am
 Sample : 3478.03
 Misc :
 IntFile : TPHCINT.E
 Quant Time: May 1 11:09 1998

Vial: 20
 Operator: DEINHARDT
 Inst : FID/TCD
 Multiplr: 1.00

Quant Results File: TPH33.RES

Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\TPH33.M (Chemstation Integrator)
 Title : TPHC Calibration 06/05/97 21 peaks
 Last Update : Mon Apr 27 12:46:46 1998
 Response via : Initial Calibration
 DataAcq Meth : TPH33.M

Volume Inj. : 1 ul
 Signal Phase : HP-5
 Signal Info : 30m x 0.32mm

Compound	R.T.	Response	Conc Units
----------	------	----------	------------

System Monitoring Compounds

21) sC o-terphenyl	13.94	306815	12.186 mg/L
Spiked Amount 10.000	Range 8 - 13	Recovery =	121.86%#

Target Compounds

7) tC C20	13.54	1032	0.045 mg/L
9) tC C24	14.94	3051	0.131 mg/L
11) tC C28	16.16	1205	0.054 mg/L
12) tC C30	16.99	1007	0.047 mg/L
13) tC C32	17.42	1008	0.054 mg/L
20) TC Phytane	13.54	1032	0.044 mg/L
22) tC TPHC - total	13.94	1060160	45.468 mg/L m


Quantitation Report

Data File : C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\980427\T05080.D
Acq On : 28 Apr 98 6:46 am
Sample : 3478.03
Misc :
IntFile : TPHCINT.E
Quant Time: May 1 11:09 1998 Quant Results File: TPH33.RES

Vial: 20
Operator: DEINHARDT
Inst : FID/TCD
Multiplr: 1.00

Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\TPH33.M (Chemstation Integrator)
Title : TPHC Calibration 06/05/97 21 peaks
Last Update : Mon Apr 27 12:46:46 1998
Response via : Multiple Level Calibration
DataAcq Meth : TPH33.M

Volume Inj. : 1 ul
Signal Phase : HP-5
Signal Info : 30m x 0.32mm



Quantitation Report

(QT Reviewed)

Data File : C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\980427\T05082.D
Acq On : 28 Apr 98 8:14 am
Sample : 3478.04
Misc :
IntFile : TPHCINT.E

Vial: 22
Operator: DEINHARDT
Inst : FID/TCD
Multiplr: 1.00

Quant Time: May 1 11:09 1998 Quant Results File: TPH33.RES

Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\TPH33.M (Chemstation Integrator)
Title : TPHC Calibration 06/05/97 21 peaks
Last Update : Tue Apr 28 07:45:15 1998
Response via : Initial Calibration
DataAcq Meth : TPH33.M

Volume Inj. : 1 ul
Signal Phase : HP-5
Signal Info : 30m x 0.32mm

Compound	R.T.	Response	Conc Units

System Monitoring Compounds			
21) sC o-terphenyl	13.94	306130	12.159 mg/L
Spiked Amount 10.000	Range 8 - 13	Recovery =	121.59%#

Target Compounds


Quantitation Report

Data File : C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\980427\T05082.D
Acq On : 28 Apr 98 8:14 am
Sample : 3478.04
Misc :
IntFile : TPHCINT.E
Quant Time: May 1 11:09 1998 Quant Results File: TPH33.RES

Vial: 22
Operator: DEINHARDT
Inst : FID/TCD
Multiplr: 1.00

Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\TPH33.M (Chemstation Integrator)
Title : TPHC Calibration 06/05/97 21 peaks
Last Update : Tue Apr 28 07:45:15 1998
Response via : Multiple Level Calibration
DataAcq Meth : TPH33.M

Volume Inj. : 1 ul
Signal Phase : HP-5
Signal Info : 30m x 0.32mm



Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed)

Data File : C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\980427\T05083.D
 Acq On : 28 Apr 98 8:58 am
 Sample : 3478.05
 Misc :
 IntFile : TPHCINT.E
 Quant Time: May 1 11:10 1998 Quant Results File: TPH33.RES

Vial: 23
 Operator: DEINHARDT
 Inst : FID/TCD
 Multiplr: 1.00

Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\TPH33.M (Chemstation Integrator)
 Title : TPHC Calibration 06/05/97 21 peaks
 Last Update : Tue Apr 28 07:45:15 1998
 Response via : Initial Calibration
 DataAcq Meth : TPH33.M

Volume Inj. : 1 ul
 Signal Phase : HP-5
 Signal Info : 30m x 0.32mm

Compound	R.T.	Response	Conc Units
----------	------	----------	------------

System Monitoring Compounds

21) sC o-terphenyl	13.94	312688	12.419 mg/L
Spiked Amount 10.000	Range 8 - 13	Recovery =	124.19%#

Target Compounds


Quantitation Report

Data File : C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\980427\T05083.D
Acq On : 28 Apr 98 8:58 am
Sample : 3478.05
Misc :
IntFile : TPHCINT.E
Quant Time: May 1 11:10 1998 Quant Results File: TPH33.RES

Vial: 23
Operator: DEINHARDT
Inst : FID/TCD
Multiplr: 1.00

Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\TPH33.M (Chemstation Integrator)
Title : TPHC Calibration 06/05/97 21 peaks
Last Update : Tue Apr 28 07:45:15 1998
Response via : Multiple Level Calibration
DataAcq Meth : TPH33.M

Volume Inj. : 1 ul
Signal Phase : HP-5
Signal Info : 30m x 0.32mm



Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed)

Data File : C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\980427\T05084.D
Acq On : 28 Apr 98 9:43 am
Sample : 3478.06
Misc :
IntFile : TPHCINT.E
Quant Time: May 1 11:10 1998 Quant Results File: TPH33.RES

Vial: 24
Operator: DEINHARDT
Inst : FID/TCD
Multiplr: 1.00

Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\TPH33.M (Chemstation Integrator)
Title : TPHC Calibration 06/05/97 21 peaks
Last Update : Tue Apr 28 07:45:15 1998
Response via : Initial Calibration
DataAcq Meth : TPH33.M

Volume Inj. : 1 ul
Signal Phase : HP-5
Signal Info : 30m x 0.32mm

Compound	R.T.	Response	Conc Units

System Monitoring Compounds			
21) sC o-terphenyl	13.94	301462	11.973 mg/L
Spiked Amount 10.000 Range 8 - 13		Recovery =	119.73%#
Target Compounds			
7) tC C20	13.54	3062	0.132 mg/L
8) tC C22	14.14	1645	0.072 mg/L
9) tC C24	14.94	7747	0.333 mg/L
11) tC C28	16.16	3517	0.158 mg/L
12) tC C30	16.99	1795	0.084 mg/L
13) tC C32	17.41	2773	0.149 mg/L
15) tC C36	18.78	1100	0.101 mg/L
18) tC c42	22.44	1549	1.034 mg/L
20) TC Phytane	13.54	3062	0.131 mg/L
22) tC TPHC - total	13.94	1485209	63.698 mg/L m


Quantitation Report

Data File : C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\980427\T05084.D
Acq On : 28 Apr 98 9:43 am
Sample : 3478.06
Misc :
IntFile : TPHCINT.E
Quant Time: May 1 11:10 1998 Quant Results File: TPH33.RES

Vial: 24
Operator: DEINHARDT
Inst : FID/TCD
Multiplr: 1.00

Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\TPH33.M (Chemstation Integrator)
Title : TPHC Calibration 06/05/97 21 peaks
Last Update : Tue Apr 28 07:45:15 1998
Response via : Multiple Level Calibration
DataAcq Meth : TPH33.M

Volume Inj. : 1 ul
Signal Phase : HP-5
Signal Info : 30m x 0.32mm



Quantitation Report (QT Reviewed)

Data File : C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\980427\T05085.D
 Acq On : 28 Apr 98 10:28 am
 Sample : 3478.07
 Misc :
 IntFile : TPHCINT.E
 Quant Time: May 1 11:10 1998 Quant Results File: TPH33.RES

Vial: 25
 Operator: DEINHARDT
 Inst : FID/TCD
 Multiplr: 1.00

Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\TPH33.M (Chemstation Integrator)
 Title : TPHC Calibration 06/05/97 21 peaks
 Last Update : Tue Apr 28 07:45:15 1998
 Response via : Initial Calibration
 DataAcq Meth : TPH33.M

Volume Inj. : 1 ul
 Signal Phase : HP-5
 Signal Info : 30m x 0.32mm

Compound	R.T.	Response	Conc Units
----------	------	----------	------------

System Monitoring Compounds

21) sC o-terphenyl	13.94	292215	11.606 mg/L
Spiked Amount 10.000	Range 8 - 13	Recovery =	116.06%#

Target Compounds

Quantitation Report

Data File : C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\980427\T05085.D

Vial: 25

Acq On : 28 Apr 98 10:28 am

Operator: DEINHARDT

Sample : 3478.07

Inst : FID/TCD

Misc :

Multiplr: 1.00

IntFile : TPHCINT.E

Quant Time: May 1 11:10 1998 Quant Results File: TPH33.RES

Quant Method : C:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\TPH33.M (Chemstation Integrator)

Title : TPHC Calibration 06/05/97 21 peaks

Last Update : Tue Apr 28 07:45:15 1998


Response via : Multiple Level Calibration

DataAcq Meth : TPH33.M

Volume Inj. : 1 ul

Signal Phase : HP-5

Signal Info : 30m x 0.32mm



LABORATORY DELIVERABLES CHECKLIST AND NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY

THIS FORM MUST BE COMPLETED BY THE LABORATORY OR ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT
AND ACCOMPANY ALL DATA SUBMISSIONS

The following Laboratory Deliverables checklist and Non-Conformance Summary shall be included in the data submission. All deviations from the accepted methodology and procedures, of performance values outside acceptable ranges shall be summarized in the Non-Conformance Summary. The Technical Requirements for Site Remediation, effective June 7, 1993, provides further details. The document shall be bound and paginated, contain a table of contents, and all pages shall be legible. Incomplete packages will be returned or held without review until the data package is completed.

It is recommended that the analytical results summary sheets listing all targeted and non-targeted compounds with the method detection limits, practical quantitation limits, and the laboratory and/or sample numbers be included in one section of the data package and in the main body of the report.

- | | |
|--|----------|
| 1. Cover page, Title Page listing Lab Certification #, facility name and address, & date of report submitted | <u>Y</u> |
| 2. Table of Contents submitted | <u>Y</u> |
| 3. Summary Sheets listing analytical results for all targeted and non-targeted compounds submitted | <u>Y</u> |
| 4. Document paginated and legible | <u>Y</u> |
| 5. Chain of Custody submitted | <u>Y</u> |
| 6. Samples submitted to lab within 48 hours of sample collection | <u>Y</u> |
| 7. Methodology Summary submitted | <u>Y</u> |
| 8. Laboratory Chronicle and Holding Time Check submitted | <u>Y</u> |
| 9. Results submitted on a dry weight basis | <u>Y</u> |
| 10. Method Detection Limits submitted | <u>✓</u> |
| 11. Lab certified by NJDEP for parameters of appropriate category of parameters or a member of the USEPA CLP | <u>Y</u> |

Laboratory Manager or Environmental Consultant's Signature


Date 6/16/93

Laboratory Certification #13461

*Refer to NJAC 7:26E - Appendix A, Section IV - Reduced Data Deliverables - Non-USEPA/CLP Methods for further guidance.

APPENDIX F

PHOTOGRAPHS



April 13, 1998
PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

UST NO. 90010-46

Building 430C
Main Post-East
Fort Monmouth



SMC ENVIRONMENTAL
SERVICES GROUP
Engineers, Managers, Scientists & Planners
VALLEY FORGE, PA.