

United States Army
Fort Monmouth, New Jersey

**Underground Storage Tank
Closure and Site Investigation
Report**

***Building 2531
Charles Wood***

NJDEP UST Registration No. 81515-21

MAY 2000

**UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK
CLOSURE AND SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT**

BUILDING 2531

**CHARLES WOOD
NJDEP UST REGISTRATION NO. 81515-21**

MAY 2000

PREPARED FOR:

**UNITED STATES ARMY, FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY
DIRECTORATE OF PUBLIC WORKS
BUILDING 167
FORT MONMOUTH, NJ 07703**

PREPARED BY:

**VERSAR
1900 FROST ROAD
SUITE 110
BRISTOL, PA 19007**

PROJECT NO. 4435-043

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	iv
1.0 UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK DECOMMISSIONING ACTIVITIES	1
1.1 OVERVIEW	1
1.2 SITE DESCRIPTION	2
1.2.1 Geological/Hydrogeological Setting	2
1.3 HEALTH AND SAFETY	4
1.4 REMOVAL OF UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK	4
1.4.1 General Procedures	4
1.4.2 Underground Storage Tank Excavation and Cleaning	4
1.5 UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK TRANSPORTATION AND DISPOSAL	5
1.6 MANAGEMENT OF EXCAVATED SOILS	5
2.0 SITE INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES	6
2.1 OVERVIEW	6
2.2 FIELD SCREENING/MONITORING	6
2.3 SOIL SAMPLING	7
3.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	8
3.1 SOIL SAMPLING RESULTS	8
3.2 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	8

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

TABLES

Table 1	Summary of Post-Excavation Sampling Activities
Table 2	Post-Excavation Soil Sampling Results

FIGURES

Figure 1	Site Location Map
Figure 2	Site Map
Figure 3	Cross Sectional View
Figure 4	Soil Sampling Location Map

APPENDICES

Appendix A	NJDEP Standard Reporting Form
Appendix B	Site Assessment Summary
Appendix C	Waste Manifest
Appendix D	UST Disposal Certificate
Appendix E	Soil Analytical Data Package
Appendix F	Electronic Data Deliverables

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

UST Closure

On June 17, 1997, a steel underground storage tank (UST) was closed by removal in accordance with the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) underground storage tank procedures at the Charles Wood area of the U.S. Army Fort Monmouth, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey. The UST, NJDEP Registration No. 81515-21 (Fort Monmouth ID No. 2531), was located west of Building 2531. UST No. 81515-21 was a 1,000-gallon No. 2 fuel oil UST.

Site Assessment

The site assessment was performed by U.S. Army personnel in accordance with the NJDEP *Technical Requirements for Site Remediation* (N.J.A.C. 7:26E) and the NJDEP *Field Sampling Procedures Manual*. The sampling and laboratory analysis conducted during the site assessment were performed in accordance with Section 7:26E-2.1 of the *Technical Requirements for Site Remediation*. Soils surrounding the tank were screened visually and with air monitoring equipment for evidence of contamination. No holes or punctures were noted in the UST and no evidence of potentially contaminated soils was observed surrounding the tank. Soil samples contained non-detectable levels of TPHC, except for sample B that had a TPHC concentration of 1298.84 mg/kg. The sample location was resampled and contained non-detectable levels of TPHC. Groundwater was not encountered.

Site Restoration

Following receipt of all post-excavation soil sampling results, the excavation was backfilled to grade with crushed stone, sand, and native backfill and restored to its original condition.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on the post-excavation soil sampling results, soils with TPHC concentrations exceeding the NJDEP soil cleanup criteria for total organic contaminants of 10,000 mg/kg, do not exist in the former location of the UST or associated piping.

No further action is proposed in regard to the closure and site assessment of UST No. 81515-21 at Building 2531.

1.0 UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK DECOMMISSIONING ACTIVITIES

1.1 OVERVIEW

One underground storage tank (UST), New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) Registration No. 81515-21, was closed at Building 2531 at the Charles Wood area of U.S. Army Fort Monmouth, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey on June 17, 1997. Refer to site location map on Figure 1. This report presents the results of the Department of Public Works (DPW) implementation of the UST Decommissioning/Closure Plan approved by the NJDEP. The UST was a steel 1,000-gallon tank containing No. 2 fuel oil.

Decommissioning activities for UST No. 81515-21 complied with all applicable Federal, State and Local laws and ordinances in effect at the date of decommissioning. These laws included but were not limited to N.J.A.C. 7:14B-1 et seq., N.J.A.C. 5:23-1 et seq., and Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) 1910.146 & 1910.120. All permits including but not limited to the NJDEP-approved Decommissioning/Closure Plan were posted onsite for inspection. The decommissioning activities were conducted by DPW personnel who are registered and certified by the NJDEP for performing UST closure activities. Closure of UST No. 81515-21 proceeded under the approval of the NJDEP Bureau of Federal Case Management (NJDEP-BFCM). The Standard Reporting Form and signed Site Assessment Summary form for UST No. 81515-21 are included in Appendices A and B, respectively.

Based on inspecting the UST, field screening of subsurface soils and groundwater, and reviewing analytical results of soil samples, the DPW has concluded that no significant historical discharges are associated with the UST or associated piping.

This UST Closure and Site Investigation Report has been prepared by SMC Environmental Services Group, to assist the United States Army Directorate of Public Works (DPW) in complying with the NJDEP-BUST regulations. The applicable NJDEP regulations at the date of closure were the *Interim Closure Requirements for Underground Storage Tank Systems* (N.J.A.C. 7:14B-1 et seq. October 1990 and revisions dated November 1, 1991).

This report was prepared using information collected at the time of closure. Section 1 of this UST Closure and Site Investigation Report provides a summary of the UST decommissioning activities. Section 2 of this report describes the site investigation activities. Conclusions and recommendations, including the results of the soil sampling investigation, are presented in the final section of this report.

1.2 SITE DESCRIPTION

Building 2531 is located in the Charles Wood area of the Fort Monmouth Army Base. UST No. 81515-21 was located west of Building 2531 and appurtenant copper piping ran approximately eleven (11) feet northeast from the excavation to Building 2531. A site map is provided on Figure 2.

1.2.1 Geological/Hydrogeological Setting

The following is a description of the geological/hydrogeological setting of the area surrounding Building 2531. Included is a description of the regional geology of the area surrounding Fort Monmouth as well as descriptions of the local geology and hydrogeology of the Charles Wood area.

Regional Geology

Monmouth County lies within the New Jersey Section of the Atlantic Coastal Plain physiographic province. The Main Post, Charles Wood, and the Evans areas are located in what may be referred to as the Outer Coastal Plain subprovince, or the Outer Lowlands.

In general, New Jersey Coastal Plain formations consist of a seaward-dipping wedge of unconsolidated deposits of clay, silt, and gravel. These formations typically strike northeast-southwest with a dip ranging from 10 to 60 feet per mile and were deposited on Precambrian and lower Paleozoic rocks (Zapeczka, 1989). These sediments, predominantly derived from deltaic, shallow marine, and continental shelf environments, date from Cretaceous through the Quaternary Periods. The mineralogy ranges from quartz to glauconite.

The formations record several major transgressive/regressive cycles and contain units which are generally thicker to the southeast and reflect a deeper water environment. Over 20 regional geologic units are present within the sediments of the Coastal Plain. Regressive, upward coarsening deposits are usually aquifers (e.g., Englishtown and Kirkwood Formations, and the Cohansey Sand) while the transgressive deposits act as confining units (e.g., the Merchantville, Marshalltown, and Navesink Formations). The individual thicknesses for these units vary greatly (i.e., from several feet to several hundred feet). The Coastal Plain deposits thicken to the southeast from the Fall Line to greater than 6,500 feet in Cape May County (Brown and Zapeczka, 1990).

Local Geology

Based on the regional geologic map (Jablonski, 1968), the Cretaceous age Red Bank and Tinton Sands outcrop at the Charles Wood area. The Red Bank sand conformably overlies the Navesink Formation and dips to the southeast at 35 feet per mile. The upper member (Shrewsbury) of the Red Bank sand is a yellowish-gray to reddish brown clayey, medium-to-coarse-grained sand that contains abundant rock fragments, minor mica and glauconite (Jablonski). The lower member (Sandy Hook) is a dark gray to black, medium-to-fine grained sand with abundant clay, mica, and glauconite.

The Tinton sand conformably overlies the Red Bank Sand and ranges from a clayey medium to very coarse grained feldspathic quartz and glauconite sand to a glauconitic coarse sand. The color varies from dark yellowish orange or light brown to moderate brown and from light olive to grayish olive. Glauconite may constitute 60 to 80 percent of the sand fraction in the upper part of the unit (Minard, 1969). The upper part of the Tinton is often highly oxidized and ironoxide encrusted (Minard).

Over the last 80 years, the natural topography of Fort Monmouth has been altered by excavation and filling activities by the military. Topographic elevations for the Charles Wood area range from 20 feet above mean seal level (MSL) to 71 feet above MSL.

Hydrogeology

The water table aquifer in the Charles Wood area is identified as part of the "composite confining units", or minor aquifers. The minor aquifers include the Navesink formation, Red Bank Sand, Tinton Sand, Hornerstown Sand, Vincentown Formation, Manasquan Formation, Shark River Formation, Piney Point Formation, and the basal clay of the Kirkwood Formation.

Six well records for monitor wells installed at locations within the Charles Wood area in February 1981 were used for reference. The wells were completed to total depths ranging from 20 to 25 feet below ground surface (bgs). Water was encountered at depths ranging from 5 to 12 feet bgs.

The lithologic descriptions for these borings described deposits that were primarily fine to coarse, glauconitic sands, with traces of gravel, silt, and clay. These sediments are part of the Hornerstown Marl, from the Tertiary Period (Paleocene Series, approximately 58 to 66 Ma). According to Jablonski, wells drilled in the Red Bank and Tinton Sands may produce from 2 to 25 gallons per minute (gpm). Some well owners have reported acidic water that requires treatment to remove iron.

Shallow groundwater is locally influenced within the Charles Wood area by the following factors:

- tidal influence (based on proximity to the Atlantic Ocean, rivers, and tributaries)
- topography
- nature of the fill material within the Charles Wood area
- presence of clay and silt lenses in the natural overburden deposits
- local groundwater recharge areas (i.e., streams, lakes)

Due to the fluvial nature of the overburden deposits (i.e., sand and clay lenses), shallow groundwater flow direction is best determined on a case-by-case basis. Building 2531 is located approximately 400 feet south of an unnamed stream that runs from east to west through the Charles Wood area. Based on the Charles Wood area topography, the groundwater flow in the area of Building 2531 is anticipated to be to the north.

1.3 HEALTH AND SAFETY

Before, during, and after all decommissioning activities, hazards at the work site which may have posed a threat to the Health and Safety of all personnel who were involved with, or were affected by, the decommissioning of the UST system were minimized. All areas, which posed, or may have been suspected to pose a vapor hazard were monitored by a qualified individual utilizing an organic vapor analyzer (OVA). The individual ascertained if the area was properly vented to render the area safe, as defined by OSHA.

1.4 REMOVAL OF UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK

1.4.1 General Procedures

- All underground obstructions (utilities, etc.) were identified by the contractor performing the closure prior to excavation activities.
- All activities were carried out with the greatest regard to safety and health and the safeguarding of the environment.
- All excavated soils were visually examined and screened with an OVA for evidence of contamination. Potentially contaminated soils were identified and logged during closure activities.
- Surface materials (i.e., asphalt, concrete, etc.) were excavated and staged separately from all soil and recycled in accordance with all applicable regulations and laws.
- A Sub-Surface Evaluator from the DPW was present during all site assessment activities.

1.4.2 Underground Storage Tank Excavation and Cleaning

Prior to UST decommissioning activities, surficial soil was removed to expose the UST and associated piping. All free product present in the piping was drained into the UST, and the UST was purged to remove vapors prior to cutting and removal of the piping. After removal of the associated piping, a manway was made in the UST to allow for proper cleaning. The UST was completely emptied of all liquids prior to removal from the ground. Approximately 15 gallons of liquid from the UST and its associated piping were transported by Lionetti Oil Recovery Co. Inc to the Lionetti Oil Recovery Co. Inc. facility, a NJDEP-approved petroleum recycling and disposal company located in Old Bridge, New Jersey. Refer to Appendix C for the waste manifest.

The UST was cleaned prior to removal from the excavation in accordance with the NJDEP regulations. After the UST was removed from the excavation, it was staged on polyethylene sheeting and examined for holes. No holes or punctures were observed during the inspection by the Sub-Surface Evaluator. Soils surrounding the UST were screened visually and with an OVA for evidence of contamination. No evidence of contamination was observed. Soil screening was also performed along the piping run associated with the UST closure. No contamination was noted anywhere along the piping length. Groundwater was not encountered. See Figure 3 for a cross-sectional view of the excavated area.

1.5 UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK TRANSPORTATION AND DISPOSAL

The tank was transported to Mazza and Sons, Inc., Metal Recyclers. See Appendix D for a copy of the UST disposal certificate. The transportation of the UST was in compliance with all applicable regulations and laws.

The UST was labeled prior to transport with the following information:

- Site of origin
- Contact person
- NJDEP UST Facility ID number
- Former contents

1.6 MANAGEMENT OF EXCAVATED SOILS

Based on OVA air monitoring and TPHC analysis results from the post-excavation soil samples, no soils exhibited signs of contamination. Therefore, the excavated soils were used as backfill following removal of the UST.

2.0 SITE INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES

2.1 OVERVIEW

The Site Investigation was managed and carried out by U.S. Army DPW personnel. All analyses were performed and reported by U.S. Army Fort Monmouth Environmental Laboratory, a NJDEP-certified testing laboratory. All sampling was performed under the direct supervision of a NJDEP Certified Sub-Surface Evaluator according to the methods described in the NJDEP *Field Sampling Procedures Manual* (1992). Sampling frequency and parameters analyzed complied with the NJDEP document *Interim Closure Requirements for Underground Storage Tank Systems* (October 1990 and revisions dated November 1, 1991) which was the applicable regulation at the date of the closure.

All records of the Site Investigation activities are maintained by the Fort Monmouth DPW Environmental Office.

The following Parties participated in Closure and Site Investigation Activities:

- Subsurface Evaluator: Gene Lesinski
Employer: U.S. Army, Fort Monmouth
Phone Number: (908) 532-0989
NJDEP Certification No.: 14537
- Analytical Laboratory: U.S. Army Fort Monmouth Environmental Laboratory
Contact Person: Daniel K. Wright
Phone Number: (908) 532-4359
NJDEP Company Certification No.: 13461
- Hazardous Waste Hauler: Lionetti Oil Recovery Co. Inc
Contact Person: Richard Dirienzo
Phone Number: (908) 721-0900
NJDEP Hazardous Waste Hauler No.: S6247

2.2 FIELD SCREENING/MONITORING

Field screening was performed by a NJDEP Certified Sub-Surface Evaluator using an OVA and visual observations to identify potentially contaminated material. Soil excavated from around the tank and appurtenant piping, as well as the UST excavation sidewalls and bottom, did not exhibit any evidence of potential contamination. Groundwater was not encountered.

2.3 SOIL SAMPLING

On June 17, 1997, following the removal of the UST and associated piping, post-excavation soil samples A, B, C, D, E, F, and DUP C were collected from a total of six (6) locations of the UST excavation. Samples A, B, C, and DUPC were collected along the centerline at a depth of 6.0 feet bgs. Sidewall samples D and E were collected at a depth of 5.5 feet bgs. Sample F was collected along the former piping length of the excavation, which was approximately eleven (11) feet in length. The piping sample was collected at a depth of 1.5 feet bgs. All samples were analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHC) and total solids.

On April 22, 2000, former sample location B was resampled. Samples 1 and DUP1 were collected along the centerline at a depth of 6.0 feet bgs. The samples were analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHC) and total solids.

DPW personnel in accordance with the NJDEP Technical Requirements and the NJDEP Field Sampling Procedures Manual performed the site assessment. A summary of sampling activities including parameters analyzed is provided in Table 1. The post-excavation soil samples were collected using NJDEP *Field Sampling Procedures Manual* (1992) standard sampling procedures. Following soil sampling activities, the samples were chilled and delivered to U.S. Army Fort Monmouth Environmental Laboratory located in Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, for analysis.

3.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1 SOIL SAMPLING RESULTS

To evaluate soil conditions following removal of the UST, post-excavation soil samples were collected on June 17, 1997, and April 22, 2000, from a total of six (6) locations. All samples were analyzed for TPHC and total solids. The post-excavation sampling results were compared to the NJDEP residential direct contact total organic contaminants soil cleanup criteria of 10,000 mg/kg (N.J.A.C. 7:26D and revisions dated February 3, 1994). A summary of the analytical results and comparison to the NJDEP soil cleanup criteria is provided in Table 2 and the soil sampling locations are shown on Figure 4. The analytical data package is provided in Appendix E.

All post-excavation soil samples collected on June 17, 1997, and April 22, 2000, from the UST excavation and from below piping associated with the UST contained concentrations of TPHC below the NJDEP soil cleanup criteria. Samples contained non-detectable levels of TPHC.

3.2 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The analytical results for all post-excavation soil samples collected from the UST closure excavation at Building 2531 were below the NJDEP soil cleanup criteria for total organic contaminants.

Based on the post-excavation sampling results, soils with TPHC concentrations exceeding the NJDEP soil cleanup criteria for total organic contaminants of 10,000 mg/kg, do not exist in the former location of the UST or associated piping.

No further action is proposed in regard to the closure and site assessment of UST No. 81515-21 at Building 2531.

TABLES

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF POST-EXCAVATION SAMPLING ACTIVITIES
BUILDING 2531, CHARLES WOOD AREA
FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY

Page 1 of 2

Sample ID	Date of Collection	Date Analysis Started	Matrix	Sample Type	Analytical Parameters*	Analysis Method
A	6/17/97	6/18/97	Soil	Post-Excavation	TPHC	OQA-QAM-025
B	6/17/97	6/18/97	Soil	Post-Excavation	TPHC	OQA-QAM-025
C	6/17/97	6/18/97	Soil	Post-Excavation	TPHC	OQA-QAM-025
D	6/17/97	6/18/97	Soil	Post-Excavation	TPHC	OQA-QAM-025
E	6/17/97	6/18/97	Soil	Post-Excavation	TPHC	OQA-QAM-025
F	6/17/97	6/18/97	Soil	Post-Excavation	TPHC	OQA-QAM-025
DUP C	6/17/97	6/18/97	Soil	Post-Excavation	TPHC	OQA-QAM-025

Note:

* TPHC Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF POST-EXCAVATION SAMPLING ACTIVITIES
BUILDING 2531, CHARLES WOOD AREA
FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY

Page 2 of 2

Sample ID	Date of Collection	Date Analysis Started	Matrix	Sample Type	Analytical Parameters*	Analysis Method
1	4/22/00	4/25/00	Soil	Post-Excavation	TPHC	OQA-QAM-025
DUP 1	4/22/00	4/25/00	Soil	Post-Excavation	TPHC	OQA-QAM-025
						OQA-QAM-025
						OQA-QAM-025
						OQA-QAM-025
						OQA-QAM-025
						OQA-QAM-025

Note:

* TPHC Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

TABLE 2

POST-EXCAVATION SOIL SAMPLING RESULTS
 BUILDING 2531, CHARLES WOOD AREA
 FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY

Page 1 of 2

Sample ID/ Depth	Sample Laboratory ID	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Analytical Method Used	Method Detection Limit (mg/kg)	Compound of Concern	Result (mg/kg) *	NJDEP Soil Cleanup Criteria ** (mg/kg)	Exceeds Cleanup Criteria
A/6.0' =	2704.01	6/17/97	6/18/97	Total Solid	--	--	90.04	--	--
				TPHC	168	yes	ND	10,000	No
B/6.0' =	2704.02	6/17/97	6/18/97	Total Solid	--	--	90.60	--	--
				TPHC	168	yes	1298.84	10,000	No
C/6.0' =	2704.03	6/17/97	6/18/97	Total Solid	--	--	89.25	--	--
				TPHC	162	yes	ND	10,000	No
D/5.5' =	2704.04	6/17/97	6/18/97	Total Solid	--	--	88.97	--	--
				TPHC	167	yes	ND	10,000	No
E/5.5' =	2704.05	6/17/97	6/18/97	Total Solid	--	--	90.32	--	--
				TPHC	166	yes	ND	10,000	No
F/1.5' =	2704.06	6/17/97	6/18/97	Total Solid	--	--	88.73	--	--
				TPHC	164	yes	ND	10,000	No
DUPC/6.0' =	2704.07	6/17/97	6/18/97	Total Solid	--	--	89.65	--	--
				TPHC	166	yes	ND	10,000	No

Note:

* Total Solid results are expressed as a percentage.

** NJDEP Residential Direct Contact soil cleanup criteria for total organics

-- Not detected above stated sample quantitation limit

TPHC Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

TABLE 2

POST-EXCAVATION SOIL SAMPLING RESULTS
 BUILDING 2531, CHARLES WOOD AREA
 FORT MONMOUTH, NEW JERSEY

Page 2 of 2

Sample ID/ Depth	Sample Laboratory ID	Sample Date	Analysis Date	Analytical Method Used	Method Detection Limit (mg/kg)	Compound of Concern	Result (mg/kg) *	NJDEP Soil Cleanup Criteria ** (mg/kg)	Exceeds Cleanup Criteria
1/6.0' =	5371.01	4/22/00	4/25/00	Total Solid	--	--	85.20	--	--
				TPHC	183	yes	ND	10,000	No
DUP1/6.0' =	5371.02	4/22/00	4/25/00	Total Solid	--	--	85.89	--	--
				TPHC	179	yes	ND	10,000	No

Note:


* Total Solid results are expressed as a percentage.

** NJDEP Residential Direct Contact soil cleanup criteria for total organics

-- Not detected above stated sample quantitation limit

TPHC Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

FIGURES



LONG BRANCH, N. J.

40073-C8-TF-024

1954

PHOTOREVISED 1981

DMA 6164 I SE-SERIES V822

NEW
JERSEY

QUADRANGLE LOCATION

FIGURE 1

LOCATION MAP

Building 2531

Charles Wood

Fort Monmouth Army Base
Monmouth County, NJ

VERSAR

Engineers, Managers, Scientists, & Planners
Bristol, PA

Scale: 1" = 2000'

Date: June 1997

Mapped, edited and published by the Geological Survey




FIGURE 2 SITE MAP BUILDING 2531 FORT MONMOUTH ARMY BASE MONMOUTH COUNTY, NJ	
VERSAR ENGINEERS, MANAGERS, SCIENTISTS & PLANNERS BRISTOL, PA.	
SCALE: 1"=100'	DATE: JUNE 1997

0 FT

GROUND SURFACE

BROWN
SANDY LOAM

BROWN
SANDY LOAM

2.0 FT

BROWN
SANDY LOAM

UNDERGROUND
STORAGE TANK

6.0 FT


FIGURE 3
CROSS SECTIONAL VIEW
BUILDING 2531
FORT MONMOUTH ARMY BASE
MONMOUTH COUNTY, NJ

VERSAR
Engineers, Managers, Scientists & Planners
Bristol, Pennsylvania

SCALE: NTS

DATE: June 1997

BUILDING 2531



LEGEND

- SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION (JUNE 17, 1997)
- SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION (APRIL 22, 2000)
- ▨ LIMIT OF EXCAVATION (JUNE 17, 1997)

NOTES:

1. ALL RESULTS IN MG/KG.
2. SEE TABLE 2 FOR NJDEP SOIL CLEANUP CRITERIA
3. BGS = BELOW GROUND SURFACE

FIGURE 4
SOIL SAMPLING LOCATION MAP
BUILDING 2531
FORT MONMOUTH ARMY BASE
MONMOUTH COUNTY, NJ

VERSAR
ENGINEERS, MANAGERS, SCIENTISTS & PLANNERS
BRISTOL, PA.

SCALE: 1"=10'

DATE: JUNE 1997

APPENDIX A

NJDEP-STANDARD REPORTING FORM



Department of Environmental Protection and Enforcement
Division of Responsible Party Site Remediation
CN 028
Trenton, NJ 08625-0029

ATTN: UST Program
(609) 984-3156

Date Rec'd. _____
Auth. _____
Routing _____
UST NO. _____

STANDARD REPORTING FORM
for reporting activities at an UST facility:

- | | |
|--|---|
| ☒ General Facility Information Changes | ☐ Sale or Transfer |
| ☒ Closure (Abandonment or Removal) | ☐ Substantial Modification |
| ☐ Temporary Closure | ☐ Financial Responsibility |
| ☐ Change in Service | ☐ Address Change Only |

Check ONLY One Type of Activity - Complete Form For That Activity

(More than one tank can be listed per activity)

*** NOTE *** ALL NEW tank installations at existing registered facilities must submit a Registration Questionnaire for the new tanks.

Answer questions 1 through 5 and others as applicable.

1. Company name and address (as it appears on registration questionnaire):

U.S. ARMY - FORT MONMOUTH
DPW - BUILDING 173
FORT MONMOUTH NJ 07703
ATTN: EUGENE W. LESINSKI

2. Facility name and location (if different from above):

3. Contact person for this activity:

GENE LESINSKI

Telephone Number: (908) 532-0989

4. The identification number of the affected tank as it appears in Question Number 12 on the Registration Questionnaire:

BLDG 2531

21

5. Registration Number (if known):

UST - 0081515

6. For GENERAL FACILITY INFORMATION changes (address, telephone, contact person, etc. - supply NEW information only):

a. Facility name: _____

b. Facility location: _____

c. Owner's mailing address: _____

NJ

d. Block: _____ Lot: _____

e. Contact person (facility operator): _____

f. Contact telephone number: (_____) _____

g. Other (Specify): _____

(OVER)

- g. ☐ Abandonment Date: 1/1/1981

Attach the necessary implements of schedule (3 copies) and all documents needed for abandonment per N.J.A.C. 7:14B-9.1 (d).

- b.
- ☒
- Removal Date:
- 6/17/97
- Case No. _____

Attach the necessary implementation schedule (3 copies).

8. For CHANGES IN HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES STORED (check all that apply):

- a. ☐ Temporary Closure (12 month maximum time – see N.J.A.C. 7:14B-9.1(b)). Remove all hazardous substances; leave tank in place.
- b. ☐ Change in service from a regulated substance to a non-regulated substance. Tank must be cleaned and site assessment performed per N.J.A.C. 7:14B-9.1(e).
- c. ☐ Changes in service from one regulated hazardous substance to another regulated hazardous substance.

Tank No. _____	Old _____	New _____
Tank No. _____	Old _____	New _____
Tank No. _____	Old _____	New _____

(Attach additional sheets if more space is needed)

9. For TRANSFER OF OWNERSHIP: Effective Date: / /

- a. New Owner (operator) _____
- b. New Facility Name _____

County

c. Closing Attorney _____ Tel: (____) _____ - _____

7. For SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATIONS (to include any retrofitted activity - e.g. the addition of spill/overflow protection, monitoring systems, cathodic protection, etc.):

- a. Type of Modification _____ Date: ____/____/____
- b. "NOTE" Substantial modifications require a permit under N.J.A.C. 7:14B-10.

11. For changes in FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY to (check appropriate changes and attach copies of new information):

- a. Policy Type: ☐ d. Company/Carrier: ☐
b. Policy Number: ☐ e. Expiration Date: ☐
c. Other: ☐

(Specify)

NOTE: ALL appropriate and applicable permits, licenses and certificates required by the above activity(ies) from an local, state and/or federal agencies must be obtained separately from this notification.

CERTIFICATION

This registration form shall be signed by the highest ranking individual at the facility with overall responsibility for the facility (N.J.A.C. 7:14B-2.3 (a) 1).

"I certify under penalty of law that the information provided in this document is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant civil and criminal penalties for submitting false, inaccurate or incomplete information, including fines and/or imprisonment."

Signature: James (G) [Signature]

Name (print or type): JAMES OTT

Title: DIRECTOR - DEPT OF PUBLIC WORKS Date: 6-17-97

APPENDIX B

SITE ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

Site Remediation Program

UST Site/Remedial Investigation Report Certification Form

A. Facility Name : U.S. Army Fort Monmouth New Jersey

Facility Street Address : Directorate of Public Works Building 173

Municipality: Oceanport County : Monmouth

Block: **Lot(s):** **Telephone Number :** 732-532-6224

B. Owner (RP)'s Name:

Street Address: _____ City: _____

State: Zip: Telephone Number :

C. (Check as appropriate)

Site Investigation

Report (SIR) \$500 Fee

Remedial Investigation

Report (RIR) \$1000 Fee

X NA – Federal Agreement

D. (Complete all that apply)

- Assigned Case Manager : Ian Curtis, Federal Case Manager
- UST Registration Number : 81515-21 (7 digits)
- Incident Report Number (10 or 12 digits)
- Tank Closure Number : Federal Case Manager

E. Certification by the Subsurface Evaluator:

The attached report conforms to the specific reporting requirements of N.J.A.C. 7:26E Yes No

Name: Eugene Lesinski Signature: See signed subsurface removal log UST Cert. No.: 14537

Firm: U.S. Army Fort Monmouth Firm's UST Cert. Number: NA-U.S. Army

Firm Address: Directorate of Public Works Building 173 City: Fort Monmouth

State: NJ Zip: 07703 Telephone Number : 732-532-6224

(NOTE: Certification numbers required only if work was conducted on USTs regulated per N.J.S.A. 58:10A-21 et seq.)

F. Certification by the Responsible Party(ies) of the Facility:

The following certification shall be signed [according to the requirements of N.J.A.C. 7:14B-1.7(b)] as follows:

1. For a Corporation by a person authorized by a resolution of the board of directors to sign the document. A copy of the resolution, certified as a true copy by the secretary of the corporation, shall be submitted along with the certification; or
2. For a partnership or sole proprietorship, by a general partner or the proprietor, respectively; or
3. For a municipality, State, federal or other public agency by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected Official.

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this application and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant civil penalties for knowingly submitting false, inaccurate, or incomplete information and that I am committing a crime of the fourth degree if I make a written false statement which I do not believe to be true. I am also aware that if I knowingly direct or authorize the violation of any statute, I am personally liable for the penalties."

Name (Print or Type): James Ott Title: Directorate of Public Works

Signature:

Company Name: U.S. Army Fort Monmouth

Date:

ARMY, SELFM-PW-F

DAILY UST SUBSURFACE REMOVAL LOG

BLDG.#: 2531 REG.#: 0081515 - 21 CLOSURE#: N/A
 DATE: 6-17-97 TOA: 1030 TOD: 1200
 GOV. SSE: Lesinski NJDEP CERT.#: 0014537
 REMOVAL CONTRACTOR: SAL Inc. TV8
 CLOSURE SUPERVISOR: DeMartinis NJDEP CERT.#: _____
 WEATHER: Cloudy - 70°F

ACTIVITY	YES / NO
THE SUPERVISOR (CLOSURE CERT.) WAS ON-SITE DURING ALL CLOSURE RELATED ACTIVITIES	Y
THE SSE WAS ON-SITE DURING UST REMOVAL AND SITE SCREENING AND SAMPLING ACTIVITIES	Y
ALL ON-SITE PERSONNEL HAD TRAINING IAW ALL SAFETY REQUIREMENTS (E.G. 29CFR)	Y
A CONFINED ENTRY PERMIT WAS COMPLETED AND POSTED ON-SITE BY THE CONTRACTOR	Y
THE UST WAS PLACED ONTO PLASTIC, SCRAPED OFF, INSPECTED FOR HOLES AND PHOTOGRAPHED	Y
A DISCHARGE WAS REPORTED TO THE NJDEP (609-292-7172), CASE# <u>N/A</u>	N
PHOTOS HAVE UST#, BLDG. #, DATE, TIME, NAME OF SSE AND DESCR. WRITTEN ON BACK	Y
GROUNDWATER WAS ENCOUNTERED AT _____ FEET BG, A SHEEN (WAS/WAS NOT) OBSERVED ON GW	N
IF OVA/Hnu WAS USED: WAS IT CAL. AND FOUND TO BE OPERATIONAL (cal. data on COC)	Y
IF SAMPLES WERE TAKEN: COC, SCALED SITE MAP (VERT. SOIL HORIZONS AND PLOT PLAN)	Y
ALL SAMPLE COLLECTION ACTIVITIES WERE AS DESCRIBED IN THE NJDEP FSPM, 1992	Y
ALL SAMPLING WAS BIASED TOWARD HIGHEST OVA/FID RECORDED SITES IAW 7:26E-3.6 <u>et seq.</u>	Y
ALL PETROL. CONT. SOILS WERE SECURED FROM THE WEATHER BY CLOSE OF BUSINESS TODAY	N/A
THE SSE AUTHORIZED BACKFILLING THE EXCAVATION (STONE TO 1" ABOVE GROUNDWATER)	Y
ADDITIONAL NOTES WERE TAKEN AND ARE RECORDED ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM	N
THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS WERE ADDED TO THE PROJECT FOLDER TODAY: (CIRCLE EACH) SCRAP TICKET, CSE PERMIT, ACCIDENT REPORT, HAZ. WASTE MANIFEST, DAILY UST CLOSURE LOG, SCALED SITE MAP (SAMPLING), SRF-CLOSURE, CHAIN OF CUSTODY, SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS, CLEAN FILL TICKETS (IN YDS ³), PHOTOGRAPHS (UST, EXCAVATION, SAMPLING POINTS)	Y

CHECK ALL BOXES, LEAVE NO BLANKS

I certify under penalty of law that tank decommissioning activities were performed in compliance with N.J.A.C. 7:14B-9.2(b)3 and 7:26 et seq. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false, inaccurate, or incomplete information, including fines and/or imprisonment.

SIGNATURE: _____ DATE: 6-17-97

ca\ms\ust\removal\sitessls.doc

APPENDIX C
WASTE MANIFEST



RD. 1, BOX 5A - OLD BRIDGE, NJ 08857

NON-HAZARDOUS
WASTE MANIFEST

1. Generator's US EPA ID No.

NJ221002097804856

Manifest
Document No.2. Page 1
of 1

NHZ 004856

3. Generator's Name and Mailing Address

U.S. Army Communications Electronics Command
Charles Wood Area c/o Joseph Falon, Bldg. 173
ATTN: SELFM-PW-EV Fort Monmouth, N.J. 07703

4. Generator's Phone (

908) 532-0989

5. Transporter 1 Company Name

LIONETTI OIL RECOVERY CO INC

6.

US EPA ID Number

NJ D 0 8 4 0 4 4 0 6 4

A. Transporter's Phone

908 721-0900

7. Transporter 2 Company Name

8.

US EPA ID Number

B. Transporter's Phone

9. Designated Facility Name and Site Address

LIONETTI OIL RECOVERY CO INC DBA LORCO PETROLEUM SVCS
RUNYON&CHEESEQUAKE RDS
OLD BRIDGE, NJ 08857

10.

US EPA ID Number

NJ D 0 8 4 0 4 4 0 6 4

C. Facility's Phone

908 721-0900

11. Waste Shipping Name and Description

12. Containers

No.

Type

13.
Total
Quantity14.
Unit
Wt/Vol

a.

PETROLEUM OIL (PETROLEUM OIL)
COMBUSTIBLE LIQUID UN1270 PGIII

0 0 1 T

Tx 535 G

b.

c.

d.

D. Additional Descriptions for Materials Listed Above

T, L PETROLEUM OIL 99%
WATER 1%

E. Handling Codes for Wastes Listed Above

T04 FILTRATION

15. Special Handling Instructions and Additional Information

24 HR EMERGENCY RESPONSE#(908) 721-0900 21,000
DECAL#7362 ERG#128 DEXSIL TEST KIT RESULTS PPM
MANIFEST USED FOR TRACKING PURPOSES ONLY

16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I certify the materials described above on this manifest are not subject to federal regulations for reporting proper disposal of Hazardous Waste.

Printed/Typed Name

EUGENE W LESINSKI

Signature

Eugene W Lesinski

Month Day Year

10 6 25 97

17. Transporter 1 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials

Printed/Typed Name

Richard Dirienzo

Signature

Richard Dirienzo

Month Day Year

10 6 25 97

18. Transporter 2 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Materials

Printed/Typed Name

EUGENE W LESINSKI

Signature

Eugene W Lesinski

Month Day Year

10 6 25 97

19. Discrepancy Indication Space

20. Facility Owner or Operator: Certification of receipt of waste materials covered by this manifest except as noted in Item 19.

Printed/Typed Name

Richard LeBeke

Signature

Richard LeBeke

Month Day Year

10 6 25 97

ORIGINAL - RETURN TO GENERATOR

APPENDIX D
UST DISPOSAL CERTIFICATE

[illegible]

1351

55-7233/2212

DATE _____

9/4/97

**PAY
TO THE
ORDER OF**

PAY TO THE ORDER OF Tecom Vinnell Service

\$ 97.30

DOLLARS Security features included. Details on back.

Sovereign Bank

1100135111 +1:22127233 21:000 1091099 206

MAZZA & SONS, INC.

Metal Recyclers
3230 Shafto Rd.
Tinton Falls, NJ
(908) 922-9292

Customer's Name

TF Com - VAINIFLL Service

Address

Weight	Price
--------	-------

Cast Iron

33 180

Steel

2780

Lt. Iron

Copper #1

B. 2537 (B. 2531)

Copper #2

Weight	Price
--------	-------

Lt. Copper

Brass

Alum Clean

Lead

Stainless

Battery

TOTAL AMOUNT:

\$ 97.30

Weigher

Customer

APPENDIX E

SOIL ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE

US ARMY FT. MONMOUTH ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY
NJDEPE # 13461

REPORT OF ANALYSIS

Client: U.S. Army
DPW, SELFM-PW-EV
Bldg. 173
Ft. Monmouth, NJ 07703

Project: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons
96-1262
Bldg. 2531
UST

Project # 2704
Date Rec. 06/17/97
Date Compl. 06/18/97
Released by:



Daniel K. Wright
Laboratory Director

Table of Contents

<u>Section</u>	<u>Pages</u>
Cover Sheet	1
Table of Contents	2
Method Summary	3
Conformance/Non-Conformance	4
Chain of Custody	5
Results Summary	6
Initial Calibration Summary	7
Continuing Calibration Summary	8-9
Surrogate Results Summary	10
MS/MSD Results Summary	11
Quality Control Spike Summary	12
Raw Sample Data	13-26
Laboratory Deliverable Checklist	27

Method Summary

NJDEP Method OQA-QAM-025-10/97

Gas Chromatographic Determination of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soil

Fifteen grams (15g)(wet weight) of a soil sample is added to a 125 mL acid cleaned, solvent rinsed, capped Erlenmeyer flask. 15g anhydrous sodium sulfate is added to dry sample. Surrogate standard spiking solution is then added to the flask.

Twenty five milliliters(25mL) Methylene Chloride is added to the flask and it is secured on a gyrotory shaker table. The agitation rate is set to 400rpm and the sample is shaken for 30 minutes. The flask is removed from the table and the particulate matter is allowed to settle. The extract is transferred to a Teflon capped vial. A second 25mL of Methylene Chloride is added to the flask and shaken for an additional 30 minutes. The flask is again removed and allowed to settle. The extracts are combined in the vial then transferred to a 1mL autosampler vial.

The extract is then injected directly into a GC-FID for analysis. The sample is analyzed for petroleum hydrocarbons covering a range of C8-C42 including pristane and phytane. Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon concentration is determined by integrating between 5 minutes and 22 minutes. The baseline is established by starting the integration after the end of the solvent peak and stopping after the last peak.


The final concentration of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons is calculated using percent solid, sample weight and concentration.

PHC Conformance/Non-conformance Summary Report

	<u>No</u>	<u>Yes</u>
1. Method Detection Limits provided.	<u>—</u>	<u>✓</u>
2. Method Blank Contamination - If yes, list the sample and the corresponding concentrations in each blank. _____ _____	<u>✓</u>	<u>—</u>
3. Matrix Spike Results Summary Meet Criteria. (If not met, list the sample and corresponding recovery which falls outside the acceptable range). <u>2642.06 136%</u> _____ _____	<u>✓</u>	<u>—</u>
4. Duplicate Results Summary Meet Criteria. _____ (If not met, list the sample and corresponding recovery which falls outside the acceptable range). _____ _____	<u>—</u>	<u>✓</u>
5. IR Spectra submitted for standards, blanks, & samples	<u>—</u>	<u>NA</u>
6. Chromatograms submitted for standards, blanks, and samples if GC fingerprinting was conducted.	<u>—</u>	<u>✓</u>
7. Analysis holding time met. (If not met, list number of days exceeded for each sample) _____ _____	<u>—</u>	<u>✓</u>
Additional Comments: _____ _____ _____		

Laboratory Authentication Statement

I certify under penalty of law, where applicable, that this laboratory meets the Laboratory Performance Standards and Quality Control requirements specified in N.J.A.C. 7:18 and 40 CFR Part 136 for Water and Wastewater Analyses and SW 846 for Solid Waste Analysis. I have personally examined the information contained in this report, and to the best of my knowledge, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate, complete, and meets the above referenced standards where applicable. I am aware that there are significant penalties for purposefully submitting falsified information, including the possibility of a fine and imprisonment.



Daniel K. Wright
Laboratory Manager



Fort Monmouth Environmental Testing Laboratory

Bldg. 173, SELFM-PW-EV, Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Tel (908)532-4359 Fax (908)532-3484 EMail:appleby@doim6.monmouth.army.mil

NJDEP Certification #13461

Chain of Custody Record

Customer: <u>GENE LESINSKI-DPW</u>				Project No: <u>96-1262</u>		Analysis Parameters					Comments: * = SAMPLES KEPT BELOW 4°C.	
Phone #: <u>20989</u>				Location: <u>B. 2531</u>		TPHC	ORG SOLIDS	MUNSELL				DUA
() DERA ADOMA () Other: _____												
Samplers Name / Company: <u>GARY DiMARTINIS-TUS</u>				Sample #								Remarks / Preservation Method
Lab Sample I.D.	Sample Location	Date	Time	Type	bottles							
2704.01	2531-A	6-17-97	1312	SOIL	1	X	X	X			ND	CENTER LINE @ 6.0' *
02	2531-B		1309								ND	↓
03	2531-C		1306								ND	↓
04	2531-D		1319								ND	SIDEWALL @ 5.5'
05	2531-E		1315								ND	↓
06	2531-F		1339								ND	PEPPING RUN @ 1.5'
07	2531-DUP		—								—	FIELD DUPLICATE ↓
NOTE: OVA CALIBRATED W/95 ppm (CH₄ + ZERO @) AIR @ 1245 HRS. ON 6/17/97 BY G. DiMARTINIS (#A52114)												
Relinquished by (signature): <u>[Signature]</u>		Date/Time: <u>6-17-97 1328</u>		Received by (signature): <u>[Signature]</u>		Relinquished by (signature):		Date/Time:		Received by (signature):		
Relinquished by (signature):		Date/Time:		Received by (signature):		Relinquished by (signature):		Date/Time:		Received by (signature):		
Report Type: () Full, ☒ Reduced, () Standard, () Screen / non-certified						Remarks: <u>DEDICATED SAMPLING TOOLS USED.</u>						
Turnaround time: ☒ Standard 4 wks. () Rush _____ Days, () ASAP Verbal _____ Hrs.												

LABORATORY DELIVERABLES CHECKLIST AND NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY

THIS FORM MUST BE COMPLETED BY THE LABORATORY OR ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT
AND ACCOMPANY ALL DATA SUBMISSIONS

The following Laboratory Deliverables checklist and Non-Conformance Summary shall be included in the data submission. All deviations from the accepted methodology and procedures, of performance values outside acceptable ranges shall be summarized in the Non-Conformance Summary. The Technical Requirements for Site Remediation, effective June 7, 1993, provides further details. The document shall be bound and paginated, contain a table of contents, and all pages shall be legible. Incomplete packages will be returned or held without review until the data package is completed.

It is recommended that the analytical results summary sheets listing all targeted and non-targeted compounds with the method detection limits, practical quantitation limits, and the laboratory and/or sample numbers be included in one section of the data package and in the main body of the report.

1. Cover page, Title Page listing Lab Certification #, facility name and address, & date of report submitted
2. Table of Contents submitted
3. Summary Sheets listing analytical results for all targeted and non-targeted compounds submitted
4. Document paginated and legible
5. Chain of Custody submitted
6. Samples submitted to lab within 48 hours of sample collection
7. Methodology Summary submitted
8. Laboratory Chronicle and Holding Time Check submitted
9. Results submitted on a dry weight basis
10. Method Detection Limits submitted
11. Lab certified by NJDEP for parameters of appropriate category of parameters or a member of the USEPA CLP

✓
✓
✓
✓
✓
✓
✓
✓
✓
✓
✓

Laboratory Manager or Environmental Consultant's Signature

Date 11/16/97



Laboratory Certification #13461

*Refer to NJAC 7:26E - Appendix A, Section IV - Reduced Data Deliverables - Non-USEPA/CLP
Methods for further guidance

FORT MONMOUTH ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING LABORATORY

DIRECTORATE OF PUBLIC WORKS

PHONE: (732) 532-6224 FAX: (732) 532-6263

WET-CHEM - METALS - ORGANICS - FIELD SAMPLING

CERTIFICATIONS: NJDEP #13461, NYSDOH #11699



ANALYTICAL DATA REPORT
Fort Monmouth Environmental Laboratory
ENVIRONMENTAL DIVISION
Fort Monmouth, New Jersey
PROJECT: UST Program

Bldg. 2531

Field Sample Location	Laboratory Sample ID#	Matrix	Date and Time of Collection	Date Received
B-1 6'	5371.01	Soil	22-Apr-00 09:35	04/24/00
DUP.	5371.02	Soil	22-Apr-00	04/24/00

ANALYSIS:
FORT MONMOUTH ENVIRONMENTAL LAB
TPHC, %SOLIDS

ENCLOSURE:
CHAIN OF CUSTODY
RESULTS

 5-4-00
Daniel Wright/Date
Laboratory Director

Table of Contents

<u>Section</u>	<u>Pages</u>
Method Summary	1
Conformance/Non-Conformance	2
Chain of Custody	3
Results Summary	4
Initial Calibration Summary	5
Continuing Calibration Summary	6-11
Surrogate Results Summary	12
MS/MSD Results Summary	13
Blank Spike Summary	14
Raw Sample Data	15-20
Laboratory Deliverable Checklist	21
Laboratory Authentication Statement	22

Method Summary

NJDEP Method OQA-QAM-025-10/97

Gas Chromatographic Determination of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Soil

Fifteen grams (15g)(wet weight) of a soil sample is added to a 125 mL acid cleaned, solvent rinsed, capped Erlenmeyer flask. 15g anhydrous sodium sulfate is added to dry sample. Surrogate standard spiking solution is then added to the flask.

Twenty-five milliliters (25mL) Methylene Chloride is added to the flask and it is secured on a orbital shaker table. The agitation rate is set to 400rpm and the sample is shaken for 30 minutes. The flask is the removed from the table and the particulate matter is allowed to settle. The extract is transferred to a Teflon capped vial. A second 25mL of Methylene Chloride is added to the flask and shaken for an additional 30 minutes. The flask is again removed and allowed to settle. The extracts are combined in the vial then transferred to a 1mL-autosampler vial.

The extract is then injected directly into a GC-FID for analysis. The sample is analyzed for petroleum hydrocarbons covering a range of C8-C42 including Pristane and Phytane. Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon concentration is determined by integrating between 5 minutes and 22 minutes. The baseline is established by starting the integration after the end of the solvent peak and stopping after the last peak.

The final concentration of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons is calculated using percent solid, sample weight and concentration.

000001

TPHC Conformance/Non-conformance Summary Report

- | | Indicate
Yes, No, N/A |
|---|--------------------------|
| 1. Method Detection Limits provided. | <u>Yes</u> |
| 2. Method Blank Contamination – If yes, list the sample and the corresponding concentrations in each blank.


_____ | <u>No</u> |
| 3. Matrix Spike Results Summary Meet Criteria
(If not met, list the sample and corresponding recovery which falls outside the acceptable range).

_____ | <u>Yes</u> |
| 4. Duplicate Results Summary Meet Criteria
(If not met, list the sample and corresponding recovery which falls outside the acceptable range).

_____ | <u>Yes</u> |
| 5. IR Spectra submitted for standards, blanks and samples. | <u>NA</u> |
| 6. Chromatograms submitted for standards, blanks and samples if GC fingerprinting was conducted. | <u>Yes</u> |
| 7. Analysis holding time met.
(If not met, list number of days exceeded for each sample).

_____ | <u>Yes</u> |

Additional comments: _____



Laboratory Manager

5-4-00

Date

000002



Tel (732)532-4359 Fax (732)532-6263 EMail:wrightd@mail1.monmouth.army.mil

Chain of Custody Record

Report of Analysis
U.S.Army, Fort Monmouth Environmental Laboratory
NJDEP Certification # 13461

Client : U.S. Army
 DPW. SELFM-PW-EV
 Bldg. 173
 Ft. Monmouth, NJ 07703

Project # : 5371
Location : Bldg.2531
UST Reg. # :

Analysis : OQA-QAM-025
Matrix : Soil
Inst. ID. : GC TPHC INST. #1
Column Type : RTX-5, 0.32mm ID, 30M
Injection Volume : 1uL

Date Received : 24-Apr-00
Date Extracted : 25-Apr-00
Extraction Method : Shake
Analysis Complete : 25-Apr-00
Analyst : B.Patel

Sample	Field ID	Dilution Factor	Weight (g)	% Solid	MDL (mg/kg)	TPHC Result (mg/kg)
5371.01	2531-1	1.00	15.10	85.20	183	ND
5371.02	Dup.	1.00	15.30	85.89	179	ND
METHOD BLANK	TBLK384	1.00	15.00	100.00	157	ND

ND = Not Detected
 MDL = Method Detection Limit

LABORATORY DELIVERABLES CHECKLIST AND NON-CONFORMANCE SUMMARY

THIS FORM MUST BE COMPLETED BY THE LABORATORY OR ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT
AND ACCOMPANY ALL DATA SUBMISSIONS

The following Laboratory Deliverables checklist and Non-Conformance Summary shall be included in the data submission. All deviations from the accepted methodology and procedures, of performance values outside acceptable ranges shall be summarized in the Non-Conformance Summary. The Technical Requirements for Site Remediation, effective June 7, 1993, provides further details. The document shall be bound and paginated, contain a table of contents, and all pages shall be legible. Incomplete packages will be returned or held without review until the data package is completed.

It is recommended that the analytical results summary sheets listing all targeted and non-targeted compounds with the method detection limits, practical quantitation limits, and the laboratory and/or sample numbers be included in one section of the data package and in the main body of the report.

- | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Cover page, Title Page listing Lab Certification #, facility name and address, & date of report submitted | ☒ |
| 2. Table of Contents submitted | ☒ |
| 3. Summary Sheets listing analytical results for all targeted and non-targeted compounds submitted | ☒ |
| 4. Document paginated and legible | ☒ |
| 5. Chain of Custody submitted | ☒ |
| 6. Samples submitted to lab within 48 hours of sample collection | ☒ |
| 7. Methodology Summary submitted | ☒ |
| 8. Laboratory Chronicle and Holding Time Check submitted | ☒ |
| 9. Results submitted on a dry weight basis | ☒ |
| 10. Method Detection Limits submitted | ☒ |
| 11. Lab certified by NJDEP for parameters of appropriate category of parameters or a member of the USEPA CLP | ☒ |

Laboratory Manager or Environmental Consultant's Signature _____
Date 5/4/00

Laboratory Certification #13461

*Refer to NJAC 7:26E - Appendix A, Section IV - Reduced Data Deliverables - Non-USEPA/CLP Methods for further guidance.

000021

Laboratory Authentication Statement


I certify under penalty of law, where applicable, that this laboratory meets the Laboratory Performance Standards and Quality Control requirements specified in N.J.A.C. 7:18 and 40 CFR Part 136 for Water and Wastewater Analyses and SW-846 for Solid Waste Analysis. I have personally examined the information contained in this report and to the best of my knowledge, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate, complete and meets the above referenced standards where applicable. I am aware that there are significant penalties for purposefully submitting falsified information, including the possibility of a fine and imprisonment.



Daniel K. Wright
Laboratory Manager

APPENDIX F

ELECTRONIC DATA DELIVERABLES



Bldg. 2531 UST Soil Sample GPS Map

US State Plane 1983
New Jersey (NY East) 2900
NAD 1983 (Conus)



Scale 1:100
0 12.50

US Survey Feet

r071014b.cor
7/10/2000
Pathfinder Office
 **Trimble**

BLDG. 2531 UST SOIL SAMPLES GPS POSITION & COORDINATES

US STATE PLANE 1983 NJ (NY EAST) 2900 NAD 1983 (CONUS)

(IN US SURVEY FEET)

SAMPLE POINTS

<u>POSITION / DESC.</u>	<u>Y COORD. (NORTHING)</u>	<u>X COORD. (EASTING)</u>
2531 SOIL B1	532446.748	607963.305

REFERENCE POINT

<u>POSITION / DESC.</u>	<u>Y COORD. (NORTHING)</u>	<u>X COORD. (EASTING)</u>
TELE POLE	532439.862	607984.762